# Traffic and Road Safety Advisory Panel (Special) AGENDA 

DATE: Monday 27 June 2016<br>TIME: $\quad \mathbf{7 . 3 0} \mathbf{~ p m}$<br>VENUE: Council Chamber, Harrow<br>Civic Centre

MEMBERSHIP (Quorum 3)
Chair: Councillor Barry Kendler

## Councillors:

Jeff Anderson
Jerry Miles
Anne Whitehead

Advisers:

Susan Hall
Ameet Jogia
Mrs Vina Mithani

To Be Appointed

Reserve Members:

1. Ghazanfar Ali
2. Nitin Parekh
3. Sachin Shah
4. Margaret Davine
5. Manjibhai Kara
6. Lynda Seymour
7. John Hinkley

Contact: Manize Talukdar, Democratic \& Electoral Services Officer
Tel: 02084241323 E-mail: manize.talukdar@harrow.gov.uk

## AGENDA - PART I

## 1. ATTENDANCE BY RESERVE MEMBERS

To note the attendance at this meeting of any duly appointed Reserve Members.
Reserve Members may attend meetings:-
(i) to take the place of an ordinary Member for whom they are a reserve;
(ii) where the ordinary Member will be absent for the whole of the meeting; and
(iii) the meeting notes at the start of the meeting at the item 'Reserves' that the Reserve Member is or will be attending as a reserve;
(iv) if a Reserve Member whose intention to attend has been noted arrives after the commencement of the meeting, then that Reserve Member can only act as a Member from the start of the next item of business on the agenda after his/her arrival.

## 2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

To receive declarations of disclosable pecuniary or non pecuniary interests, arising from business to be transacted at this meeting, from:
(a) all Members of the Panel;
(b) all other Members present.

## 3. APPOINTMENT OF VICE CHAIR

To appoint a Vice Chair of the Panel for the 2016/17 Municipal Year.

## 4. DEPUTATIONS

To receive deputations (if any) under the provisions of Executive Procedure Rule 48 (Part 4D of the Constitution).
5. REFERENCE FROM OTHER COMMITTEES AND PANELS (Pages 5-6)

To receive a Reference from the Planning Committee meeting of 25 May 2016.
6. APPOINTMENT OF ADVISERS (Pages 7-10)

To appoint advisers to the Panel for the 2016/17 Municipal Year.
7. PROPOSED SECONDARY SCHOOL - WHITCHURCH PLAYING FIELDS, WEMBOROUGH ROAD, STANMORE (Pages 11-442)

Report of the Corporate Director, Community.

## 8. ANY OTHER URGENT BUSINESS

Which cannot otherwise be dealt with.

## AGENDA - PART II - NIL

# TRAFFIC AND ROAD SAFETY ADVISORY PANEL - 27 JUNE 2016 

## REFERENCE FROM THE PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING OF 25 MAY 2016

## Minute Item 244: Planning Applications Received

## 244.

Planning Applications Received
In accordance with the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985, the Addendum was admitted late to the agenda as it contained information relating to various items on the agenda and was based on information received after the despatch of the agenda. It was admitted to the agenda in order to enable Members to consider all information relevant to the items before them for decision.

RESOLVED: That authority be given to the Head of Planning to issue the decision notices in respect of the applications considered.

## 1/02 - WHITCHURCH PLAYING FIELDS, WEMBOROUGH ROAD, STANMORE

REFERENCE: P/4910/15
DESCRIPTION: The Erection Of A Three Storey Building For Use As A School With Detached Sports Hall/Community Changing Block, Hard And Soft Landscaping, Sports Pitches And Multi-Use Games Areas (MUGA), Hard And Soft Play Areas, Parking, Bin Storage And Boundary Treatment .

Councillor Pritesh Patel left the room during consideration of this item.
The Chair emphasised that the Committee had unanimously agreed to grant the application at its meeting of 17 February 2016 subject to the completion of a section 106 Planning Obligation, the School Travel Plan (STP) and the Community Use Agreement being referred back to the Committee for further consideration.

Following questions from Members, an officer advised that:

- the coaches to be used by the school had a 50 seat capacity and it was anticipated that the coaches would transport 150 pupils both in the morning and in the afternoon, with each journey likely to be charged at $£ 7.50$. The existing service was over-subscribed and a waiting list was in operation. However, the planned increased in pupil numbers would likely lead to economies of scale which would bring down costs;
- the Council's travel planners and Highways officers were working closely with Avanti House School to ensure that the provisions contained in the STP would be met and it was important to note that the school had a good past record with in relation to implementing its STP. Nevertheless, the Council could not impose any penalties if the STP provisions were not met, but it would be in the interests of the school to ensure this was the case.

Members made the following additional comments:

- it was important to ensure that the STP measures were achievable and that local public transport provision would be able to cope with the likely increase in demand following the school's expansion;
- the school was located in an accessible location and he was in favour of the application in principle. However, in his view, the STP and any mitigating measures would require further specialist consideration and he proposed a motion to defer the application and for a Reference to be sent from the Planning Committee to the Traffic and Road Safety Advisory Panel (TARSAP) to further discuss and scrutinise the application and report back to the next meeting of the Planning Committee;
- the Chair added that there were a number of complex traffic management issues to be resolved with regard to the application, namely:
- whether the S106 mitigation measures were adequate;
- whether the plans for the roundabout to the West of the school required further discussions with TfL;
- the possible implementation of staggered start and finish times by the three schools located in close proximity, namely, Stanburn, Whitchurch and Avanti House.

He added that TARSAP was best placed to provide comments on the above matters. He proposed sending a Reference to TARSAP, requesting that a Special meeting of the Panel be convened to consider the application and that TARSAP's findings be reported to the 29 June 2016 meeting of the Planning Committee.

DECISION: DEFERRED, pending further consideration at a special meeting of the Traffic and Road Safety Advisory Panel to take place before the end of June 2016.

## REPORT FOR: TRAFFIC AND ROAD SAFETY ADVISORY PANEL

| Date of Meeting: | 27 June 2016 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Subject: | Appointment of Advisers to the Panel <br> $2016 / 17$ |
| Key Decision: | No |
| Responsible Officer: | Hugh Peart, Director of Legal and <br> Governance Services |
| Portfolio Holder: | Councillor Graham Henson, Portfolio Holder <br> for Environment, Crime \& Community Safety |
| Exempt: | No |

Decision subject to Yes (following consideration by the Portfolio Call-in: Holder)
Enclosures: Appendix 1 - Nominations Received

## Section 1 - Summary and Recommendations

This report advises Members about the appointment of advisers to the Panel for the 2016/17 Municipal Year. Members are requested to consider the report and agree the nominations for the 2016/17 Municipal Year.

Recommendations: That the Panel recommend to the Portfolio Holder for Environment, Crime \& Community Safety that the nominations for Advisers to the Panel set out at appendix 1, be agreed.

## Reason: (For recommendation)

To appoint advisers to the Panel for the 2016/17 Municipal Year, to assist in the work of the Panel.

## Section 2 - Report

2.1.1 Rule 35.4 of the Executive Procedure Rules of the Constitution provides for a Panel to recommend to the Executive that advisers be appointed to assist in the work of the Panel either generally or on specific matters.
2.2 The Panel appointed advisers to assist with its work for the Municipal Year 2015/16 and this term has now expired. Existing advisers have been contacted and asked to confirm whether their nominating organisation wishes them to continue to act as advisers to the Panel for the 2016/17 Municipal year.
2.3 The following advisers nominating organisations have confirmed that these individuals wish to stay on as advisers to the Panel for the 2016/17 Municipal year:
(1) Mr Anthony Wood, representing the interests of public transport users and nominated by Harrow Public Transport Users' Association (HPTUA);
(2) Dr Anoop Shah, representing cyclists interests and nominated by Harrow Cyclists
(3) Mr Nigel Long, nominated by the Harrow Association of Disabled People.

No nomination has been received this year from the pedestrian organisation, Living Streets.

## Financial Implications

2.4 There are no financial implications arising from this report.

## Risk Management Implications

2.5 If not appointed, the Panel may not have access to external expert advice from suitably qualified persons when conducting its business.

## Equalities implications

2.6 Contributes to the Council's fulfilment of its Public Sector Equality Duty.

## Corporate Priorities

2.7 Contributes to the following Corporate Priority: 'Making a difference for communities', by enabling representation from the voluntary \& community sector in Harrow on an advisory panel of the Executive.

## Section 3 - Statutory Officer Clearance

|  |  | on behalf of the <br> Chief Financial Officer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Date: 9 June 2016 |  |  |
|  |  | on behalf of the <br> Mame: Bob Huffam |
| Date: 10 June 2016 |  |  |

## Section 4 - Contact Details and Background Papers

Contact: Manize Talukdar, Democratic \& Electoral Services Officer Tel: 02084241323

Background Papers: The Council's Constitution, Report to TARSAP meeting held on 23 June 2011, Portfolio Holder Decision (PHD) 002/11

## Appendix 1 - Nominations Received

1. Harrow Public Transport Users Association (HPTUA) - Established group looking after all public transport users' interests within the Borough of Harrow.

Further info -
http://www.harrow.gov.uk/info/200078/public transport/1704/harrow public tr ansport users association/1

## Nominee

Mr Anthony Wood, Chairman of the HPTUA, has served on the Panel since 2006.
2. Harrow Cyclists - The Harrow Cyclists are a cycle campaign group whose aim is to encourage cycling in North West London. The group organise the following activities: a rides calendar; social nights; working with the council to make Harrow roads more bike friendly; helping with bicycle maintenance.

Website - http://www.harrowcyclists.org.uk/

## Nominee

Dr Anoop Shah - Has served on the Panel since 2013.
3. Harrow Association of Disabled People - Works to promote and bring about inclusion and equality for all disabled people in all areas of life.

## Nominee

Mr Nigel Long - CEO of HAD, replaces Nicky Baker.
Website - http://www.had.org.uk/
REPORT FOR: Traffic and Road Safety Advisory Panel
Date of Meeting: 27 June 2016
Subject: INFORMATION REPORT
Proposed Secondary School - Whitchurch Playing Fields, Wemborough Road, Stanmore
Responsible Officer: Tom McCourt - Corporate Director, Community
Exempt: ..... No
Wards affected: Belmont
Enclosures:Appendix A - Transport AssessmentAppendix B - Travel PlanAppendix C - Briefing note

## Section 1 - Summary

This is an information report that explains the transport assessment, travel plan and the proposed transport mitigations for the proposed secondary school at Whitchurch playing fields, Wemborough Road, Stanmore following a Reference from the Planning Committee on $25^{\text {th }}$ May 2016.

FOR INFORMATION

## Section 2 - Report

## Background

2.1 The Education Funding Agency (EFA) in conjunction with the governors of Avanti House Free School (AHFS) are proposing to build a secondary School on the existing green field land at Whitchurch Playing Fields, Wemborough Road, Stanmore. The playing fields are situated to the north of Wemborough Road and the east of Abercorn Road and are surrounded by a predominately residential area.
2.2 The proposed AHFS is planning to take occupation of the site from the beginning of the 2017/2018 academic year with an annual intake of 180 students per annum from year 7 to year 11 plus sixth form. At full occupation the school will serve 1,260 students supported by 120 fulltime equivalent (FTE) staff.
2.3 Directly to the south-east of the site is Whitchurch First and Junior Schools which have recently been granted planning permission for expansion from 695 to 905 pupils to reach full capacity in September 2020. The infant and junior schools are located on the same site. The main entrance to the school site is located on Wemborough Road in Stanmore and this access would also be used by the proposed secondary school.
2.4 Stanburn primary school is also located in close proximity to the site located in Abercorn Road just west of the playing fields and north of the junction with Wemborough Road. This school is not part of the school expansion programme.
2.5 The applicant prepared a transport assessment and travel plan for the proposal for AHFS which can be seen in appendices A \& B. This sets out a detailed assessment of the transport implications and mitigations proposed. The highway authority was satisfied that the assessment methodology was robust and that sufficient mitigation measures had been identified to address the main transport impacts of the development.
2.6 The Planning Committee, at its meeting on 17th February 2016, unanimously resolved to grant the planning application subject to the
completion of a section 106 Planning Obligation and referral back to the Planning Committee, in relation specifically to the Travel Plan and the Community Use Agreement, by 31st July 2016.
2.7 The Planning Committee, at its meeting on 25th May 2016, considered the application again and following some concerns expressed about the transport mitigations, requested that the matter be referred to TARSAP for consideration. TARSAP are therefore requested to consider the traffic, parking and public transport implications of the proposed construction of a new School and Sports Hall for Avanti House School on Whitchurch Playing Fields and to provide comments in the form of a Reference to the Planning Committee meeting scheduled for Wednesday 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ June 2016.

## Transport assessment

## Trip generation / distribution and traffic modelling

2.8 An important aspect of assessing the traffic impact of new development is estimating the additional trips on the network that will be generated. The additional trips generated by the development at full capacity are set out in section 5 of the transport assessment. The methodology compares trip rates using information from similar sites to the proposed site in the TRICS database (The National Standard for Trip Generation Analysis) in order to estimate the trip rates by mode in the AM and PM peaks for the development. Consideration has also been given to the postcode locations of existing pupils at the Krishna Avanti School in the current temporary school site on Beaulieu Drive, Pinner which will move to the new site. This is relevant because many pupils live within 1 km of the proposed site and could walk to school.

Table 5.1 Weekday Peak Hour Person Trip Generation - Proposed Uses (1,260 students)

| Mode of Travel | AM Peak (0800-0900hrs) |  |  | PM Peak (1500-1600hrs) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Two-Way Trip Rate (per pupil) | Mode Split | No. Movements | Two-Way Trip Rate (per pupil) | Mode Split | No. Movements |
| Car Occupants | 0.168 | 21.7\% | 212 | 0.075 | 8.7\% | 95 |
| Cyclists | 0.008 | 1.0\% | 10 | 0.008 | 0.9\% | 10 |
| Pedestrians | 0.246 | 31.8\% | 310 | 0.299 | 34.7\% | 377 |
| Public Transport | 0.233 | 30.1\% | 294 | 0.360 | 41.8\% | 454 |
| School Bus | 0.119 | 15.4\% | 150 | 0.119 | 13.8\% | 150 |
| TOTALS | 0.774 | 100.0\% | 976 | 0.861 | 100.0\% | 1086 |

2.9 The table above indicates the travel mode splits estimated by peak times and shows that travel by car would be limited to $21.7 \%$ in the AM peak and $8.7 \%$ in the PM peak. A much higher proportion of trips would be by sustainable transport modes (bus, walking or cycling) with $78.3 \%$ in the AM peak and $91.2 \%$ in the PM peak.
2.10 The distribution of these trips has been assessed by making a comparison with the postcode location data of pupils at the Whitchurch schools which is currently operating in this location.

Figure 11 Distribution of School Related Traffic

2.11 The plan above demonstrates that on this basis $71 \%$ of trips would come from the east of the site (Marsh Lane / Honeypot Lane / Whitchurch Lane) and only 29\% from the west (Wemborough Road / Abercorn Road / St Andrews Drive).
2.12 The traffic modeling has concentrated on three junctions on Wemborough Road. These are located at the site access, the roundabout at the west of the site (Abercorn Road / St Andrews Drive) and the traffic signals on the east of the site (Marsh Lane / Honeypot Lane). Traffic survey information at these locations was surveyed in 2014 and a traffic growth factor applied based on national TEMPRO (Trip End Model Presentation Program) traffic growth forecasts in order to estimate flows for a future scenario in 2020 when the school would be at full capacity. A base model situation for 2020 and a base + development situation for 2020 (trip generation figures added) are modeled separately. These scenarios are also split into the AM and PM peaks.
2.13 The modeling has shown that the traffic signals in the base 2020 scenario is close to capacity in the AM peak on the main road (Honeypot Lane / Marsh Lane) and over capacity for the Wemborough Road right turn. The table below indicates the typical queue lengths in the peak hours and percentage of capacity used (DoS).

Table 6.1 Whitchurch Lane / Honeypot Lane / Wemborough Road / Marsh Lane - 2020 Base

| Arm | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dos | Queue | Dos | Queue |
| Whitchurch Lane Left Ahead | 83.5\% | 14.1 | 74.7\% | 12.1 |
| Whitchurch Lane Right | 69.2\% | 2.2 | 47.9\% | 1.9 |
| Honeypot Lane Left Ahead | 97.8\% | 16.5 | 89.4\% | 13.0 |
| Honeypot Lane Right Ahead | 98.1\% | 17.5 | 90.5\% | 14.5 |
| Wemborough Road Left Ahead | 89.4\% | 17.3 | 73.4\% | 12.0 |
| Wemborough Road Right | 101.7\% | 9.6 | 93.0\% | 7.9 |
| Marsh Lane Left Ahead | 96.6\% | 16.6 | 91.2\% | 10.2 |
| Marsh Lane Right Ahead | 97.4\% | 18.4 | 92.2\% | 11.3 |

2.14 In the base + development 2020 scenario the junction is significantly over capacity on the same arms of the junction. On this basis the applicant has proposed a junction improvement to increase capacity at the junction to accommodate the additional traffic. A high proportion of the additional traffic generated by the development ( $71 \%$ ) will be travelling through this junction. The table below indicates the typical queue lengths in the peak hours and percentage of capacity used (DoS).

Table 6.2 Whitchurch Lane / Honeypot Lane / Wemborough Road / Marsh Lane - 2020 Base + Development

| Arm | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DoS | Queue | DoS | Queue |
| Whitchurch Lane Left Ahead | 82.1\% | 14.4 | 72.8\% | 11.9 |
| Whitchurch Lane Right | 60.8\% | 1.9 | 51.9\% | 1.9 |
| Honeypot Lane Left Ahead | 110.1\% | 32.0 | 94.4\% | 15.3 |
| Honeypot Lane Right Ahead | 110.3\% | 34.3 | 95.2\% | 17.0 |
| Wemborough Road Left Ahead | 87.4\% | 16.9 | 76.4\% | 13.2 |
| Wemborough Road Right | 104.5\% | 11.5 | 97.9\% | 10.3 |
| Marsh Lane Left Ahead | 108.1\% | 31.6 | 91.9\% | 10.5 |
| Marsh Lane Right Ahead | 108.6\% | 34.8 | 93.0\% | 11.6 |

2.15 It is considered that pedestrian safety would be improved by including a controlled crossing facility over the northern Marsh Lane arm in order to
connect pedestrian traffic from the school with bus stops on the northern side of Whitchurch Lane.
2.16 Detailed investigations have been undertaken at the Wemborough Road / Honeypot Lane / Marsh Lane junction in order to improve capacity and to provide additional controlled pedestrian crossing facilities at the signalised crossroads. An improvement scheme has been developed incorporating an additional controlled pedestrian crossing point on the Marsh Lane arm with highway modifications including carriageway widening on the north, west and southern arms to include additional traffic lanes. Appendix 16 in the Transport Assessment gives details of the proposed scheme. The table below indicates the typical queue lengths in the peak hours and percentage of capacity used (DoS). This demonstrates that the junction improvement would be within capacity taking account of traffic growth and additional trips from the development.

Table 8.1 LINSIG Output - '2020 Base + Development' (Proposed Junction Layout)

| Arm | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dos | Queue | Dos | Queue |
| Whitchurch Lane Left Ahead | 78.8\% | 16.4 | 68.1\% | 12.7 |
| Whitchurch Lane Right | 58.0\% | 2.0 | 45.4\% | 1.9 |
| Honeypot Lane Left Ahead | 95.5\% | 17.1 | 85.2\% | 11.7 |
| Honeypot Lane Right Ahead | 93.3\% | 15.3 | 78.4\% | 10.9 |
| Wemborough Road Left Ahead | 84.1\% | 19.1 | 71.7\% | 14.2 |
| Wemborough Road Right | 98.0\% | 9.7 | 87.1\% | 7.9 |
| Marsh Lane Left Ahead | 97.2\% | 20.3 | 84.8\% | 9.8 |
| Marsh Lane Right Ahead | 97.6\% | 21.6 | 85.6\% | 10.5 |
| Junction PRC (\%): | -8.9\% |  | 3.3\% |  |

2.17 The total cost of implementing the junction improvement is likely to be in the region of $£ 250,000-£ 500,000$ subject to the need to divert statutory undertaker's plant. The applicant intends to undertake these works themselves via a section 278 highways agreement owing to the need to introduce the improvement in advance of the new school opening and also because of the limited time available to undertake the development and implementation of the scheme. The highway authority has agreed this approach as it minimises the risk to the Council in project managing and delivering the scheme and the fact that the developer is best placed to manage the risk this poses to its overall project timetable.
2.18 There is a negligible impact on the site access to the development and both scenarios modeled demonstrate sufficient capacity. No improvement is required at this location.
2.19 The modeling has shown that the roundabout (Wemborough Road / Abercorn Road / St Andrews Drive) in the base 2020 scenario has sufficient capacity to operate normally. In the base + development 2020 scenario the roundabout still remains within capacity and there is a minor increase in queuing and delay on the Abercorn Road arm in the AM peak and the Wemborough Road arm in the PM peak. Therefore no improvement is considered necessary at this location.
2.20 The Planning Committee has queried whether an improvement should be undertaken at the roundabout junction and whether the use of traffic signals instead should be considered. The applicant has provided additional information in a briefing note to compare the operation of the roundabout with traffic signals as an alternative. This assessment can be seen in Appendix C. The table below clearly demonstrates that the traffic signals would introduce more queuing and delay at the junction when compared to the existing roundabout.

Table 1 Wemborough Road / Abercorn Road / St Andrews Drive Roundabout / Signals Queue Comparison

| Approach Arm | AM Peak Ave. Queue |  | PM Peak Ave. Queue |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Roundabout | Signals | Roundabout | Signals |
| Wemborough Road (E) | 6.8 | 14.2 | 21.3 | 16.1 |
| St Andrews Drive | 2.5 | 8.5 | 3.6 | 7.0 |
| Wemborough Road (W) | 3.1 | 7.8 | 3.0 | 6.4 |
| Abercorn Road | 10.9 | 14.0 | 3.6 | 12.5 |
| TOTAL: | $\mathbf{2 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 0}$ |

## Arrival / departure times

2.21 The opening hours for the new school will be 07:00-17:30 and include a comprehensive range of pre and post-school activities including a breakfast club (07:00-08:00) and additional education / training and sporting activities after school which will operate on a daily basis.
2.22 Separate start and finish times by key stage will be introduced and in conjunction with pre and post school activities this will result in staggering the arrival and departure of traffic during the peak periods in order to minimise the impact of school-related trips on the operation of the surrounding transport network at peak times. The table below gives details.

Table 4.1 Proposed School Start and Finish Times

| Time | Activity | No. Pupil Arrivals / Departures |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Morning |  | Breakfast Club |
| 07:00-08:00 | Key Stage 4 Registration | 60 |
| $07: 45$ | Key Stage 3 Registration | 320 |
| 08:15 | Key Stage 5 Registration | 520 |
| 09:45 | Official KS3 \& KS4 end of day | 340 |
| $15: 45$ | KS3/KS4 After School Clubs end | 500 |
| $16: 45$ | Official KSS end of day | 360 |
| $17: 30$ |  |  |

2.23 The majority of staff and students of AHFS will be arriving and departing at different times to those of the existing Whitchurch Schools, which operate start times of 08:45/08:55 and finish times of 15:15/15:20.

## Vehicular access

2.24 During the public consultation process suggestions were made with regard to creating a one way through route from Marsh Lane to Wemborough Road to accommodate vehicular traffic. The proposal was evaluated, however, this approach was not recommended because it would encourage more car trips and would increase usage of an access point which is too close to the existing traffic signals junction. This would cause conflict between vehicles waiting to turn and through traffic increasing delays for all traffic. As Marsh Lane is an important main distributor route in the area with bus routes it is necessary to ensure journey time reliability.
2.25 A significant concern from using this access point would be the inevitable problem caused by parents dropping off and picking up passengers on Marsh Lane and potentially causing significant safety issues with vehicles stopped on a busy route and children potentially crossing between parked cars and queuing vehicles. Such behaviour would be disruptive to traffic flow and effect the operation of the signals as well as resulting in pedestrian safety being compromised. Similar situations in other parts of the transport network have been extremely difficult to enforce and so this has been designed out of the proposed access arrangements for AHFS.
2.26 The existing access to the school from Wemborough Road will be used for the Whitchurch schools and the proposed AHFS. An assessment of capacity at the junction has demonstrated that with the staggered start and finish times it will be able to cope with all the movements at the existing junction without modifications being required.

## School Transport

2.27 Whilst a significant proportion of students within the catchment area will be able to use a public bus service, or combination of bus services to travel to school, it is proposed to supplement this with a private school operated bus service to accommodate the estimated demand to travel by bus.
2.28 On this basis at least half of the trips generated by AHFS will be accommodated by either public transport services or a school bus service provided by AHFS. The trip matrix above has indicated that in the AM period 294 trips will use public transport and 150 trips use the school bus. In the PM period 454 trips will use public transport and 150 trips will use the school bus.
2.29 In the travel plan it is proposed that the school minibus service will accommodate 50 students and will run 3 services ( 150 students in total) in both the AM and PM periods to cater for those students that do not have direct access to a bus route and to reflect the staggered school start / finish times by key stage. A route and details of pick-up / drop-off points have been identified within the School Travel Plan to demonstrate that it is feasible arrangement.
2.30 Planning Committee Members in February and in May queried whether AHFS could increase the number of school-operated minibuses to minimise the number of pupils being brought to school by car. In this regard it is necessary to consider that the trip matrix information above is based upon similar types of development in the TRICS database and reflects what the likely take up of travel by bus will be. As travel choices are ultimately made by the travelling public the use of this database provides a realistic view of the achievable modal split based on other sites that are already in operation. AHFS have indicated the split between public and private bus travel to achieve this proportion of trips by bus.
2.31 The proportion of trips by car is estimated in the trip matrix above as $21.7 \%$ in the AM period and $8.7 \%$ in the PM period. This is an overall average of $15.2 \%$ for the car mode which compares favourably with TfL's latest Travel in London Report 8, issued in 2015, which indicates the proportion of secondary school travel to school in outer London averages $16 \%$. The school travel plan does reflect an on-going commitment to promote the use of school buses to ensure that all opportunities to minimise car journeys are made and will be subject to regular review.
2.32 AHFS have indicated that the school bus is funded by parents and there is no limit on the number of minibuses that could be run to serve the school at Whitchurch Playing Fields.

## Public Transport

2.33 The nearest public bus stops to the development site are located on Wemborough Road, the closest being 250 m west of the pedestrian entrance to the school. The bus stops further west are provided with bus
shelters, seating, timetable information, with the exception of one stop (BL) which is not provided with a shelter. The stops are served by route 186. To the east of the site, services 79,186 and 340 stop regularly along Whitchurch Lane (B461) and benefit from shelters, seating and timetable information. The 324 service runs along Abercorn Road / St Andrews Drive to the west of the playing fields. The walking route from the school to the bus stops on the south side of Whitchurch Lane is via two controlled crossing facilities.
2.34 The closest bus stop for Route N98 is located 480 m south of the site on Honeypot Lane and is provided with a bus shelter, seating and timetable information. Abercorn Road, west of the school, links bus service 324 which stops approximately 420 metres from the school entrance. The service runs between Stanmore London Underground Station and Brent Cross via Kingsbury. A zebra crossing at the roundabout, south of Abercorn Road allows passengers to cross the road in order to walk to the school.
2.35 The nearest rail / London Underground station to the proposed school is Canons Park, approximately 600 metres ( 10 minute walk-time) to the east. Canons Park is operated by London Underground on the Jubilee Line located between Stanmore to the north and Queensbury to the south. A service is provided every 5 minutes and bus routes 79, 186 and 340 stop outside the station. Edgware Station (London Underground) is the northern terminus on the Northern Line, approximately 2.4 km from the proposed site and is also served by bus services 79,186 and 340. Services arrive in Edgware every 12 minutes.
2.36 Transport for London (TfL), in their capacity as the regional transport authority responsible for the provision of public transport, have assessed the impact of additional bus passengers from the development on the existing bus routes in the area based on the trip matrix above. Their assessment is that only route 186 experiences capacity concerns at peak times and is the only route that requires some mitigating measures.
2.37 TfL have confirmed that Mayoral funds are available to mitigate the public transport impacts of free school developments, and they will contribute $£ 75,000$ to operate an additional AM and PM peak service on route 186. The bus will be double-deck and accommodate 87 seated passengers. This is considered sufficient to accommodate the additional trips generated by the development. Appendix $C$ provides further details.
2.38 TFL have also indicated that if additional capacity is required this fund will provide the means to pay for additional services. Therefore it is possible to review where the demand is generated after the school opens and still be able to make any necessary changes.

## Pedestrians

2.39 Pedestrian infrastructure within the vicinity of the site is of a good standard with an illuminated local footway network accommodating the main pedestrian desire lines in the area.
2.40 Wemborough Road has a "pelican" pedestrian crossing located approximately10 metres from the main site entrance to the playing fields which provides a crossing facility by the main access to the existing Whitchurch Schools, playing fields and proposed development.
2.41 A range of pedestrian crossing facilities are in place around Stanburn School in Abercorn Road. All the approaches to the roundabout at Wemborough Road / Abercorn Road have crossing facilities provided. Wemborough Road (west side) and Abercorn road have "zebra" pedestrian crossings and Wemborough road (east side) and St Andrews Drive have pedestrian refuge islands. There is also another pedestrian refuge island further along Abercorn Road just north of Stanburn School.
2.42 Located to the east of the site is a signalised crossroad junction at Marsh Lane / Whitchurch Lane (B461) / Honeypot Lane (A4140) and Wemborough Road which has pedestrian crossing points with tactile paving and pedestrian refuge islands on all arms of the junction. The Honeypot Lane crossing point is provided with a staggered controlled pedestrian phase.
2.43 As a consequence of the development generating additional pedestrian traffic there is a need to provide an additional controlled crossing point at the traffic signals on the Marsh Lane arm, explained previously, owing to the increase in pedestrian movements and greater need to access the bus stops on Whitchurch Lane.

## Cycling

2.44 Cycling has a low mode share in the trip matrix above which reflects the low take up of cycling in Harrow currently. There is, however, a network of cycle routes in the area connecting with key destinations in the borough which are signed and have advisory cycle lane markings in the vicinity of the proposed school. These provide the opportunity for students / parents / staff to cycle to and from school on dedicated routes during school times.
2.45 The traffic signals junction Marsh Lane / Whitchurch Lane (B461) / Honeypot Lane (A4140) and Wemborough Road has advanced stop lines and holding areas on all arms of the junction to assist cyclists turning at the junction.
2.46 Where dedicated cycle routes are not present, carriageway widths are wide enough to accommodate both cyclists and vehicles and forward visibility is good enough to provide adequate inter-visibility between cyclists and vehicles.

## Parking (within development)

2.47 There is no prescriptive car parking standard within the London Plan or Harrow Council's Development Management Policies document in respect of education-based land uses. It is proposed therefore to provide a total of 69 parking spaces (including 5\% disabled provision, 10\% active electric vehicle charging points and $10 \%$ passive electric vehicle charging
points). This level of parking is considered appropriate based on site specific demand for the school and any proposed 'out of hours' leisure activities. The disabled and electric vehicle provision accords with London Plan standards and reflects consultation with the GLA. The implementation of the School Travel Plan will seek to minimise travel by car, and thereby reduce impact on parking accumulation within the car park.
2.48 The figure of 69 car parking spaces has been derived on the basis of the travel behaviour of existing AHFS staff. The current AHFS Travel Plan indicates that 53\% of staff travel by car with a further 24\% of staff car sharing. In terms of preferred mode of travel, $41 \%$ of staff said they would prefer to travel by car, whilst $41 \%$ would prefer to car share. An average of these figures would see a 63.3\% proportion of staff arriving / departing school by car. On the basis that the school will be targeting a $6 \%$ modal shift away from car travel as part of achieving a STARS 'Gold' accredited Travel Plan, it should be expected that the proportion of staff travelling to and from school by car will fall to c. $57.3 \%$. Applying this to 120 FTE staff would therefore require a parking supply of 69 spaces.
2.49 Whilst it is envisaged that the proportion of staff driving to school may increase further over time, it is considered that 69 parking spaces will provide sufficient parking for staff, visitors and for activities outside of school hours. The level of parking is considered a balance, such that it does not represent an over-supply of parking that would encourage staff to travel to school by car.
2.50 Specific guidance in respect of cycle parking is provided in the adopted London Plan Further Alterations (March 2015) document. It is proposed to provide 1 long-term cycle parking space per 8 students / staff plus an additional short stay space per 100 students. In this regard, at full capacity, the school will provide as a minimum covered long-stay cycle parking for 173 cycles and 12 additional short stay spaces. This will support cycle trips undertaken by pupils and staff, which is expected to be in the order of 10 movements during the peak hour periods. This is anticipated to increase significantly through the Travel Planning process, which will focus in particular on cycle training, maintenance and safety.

Parking (access road and car parks)
2.51 Parking demand data was obtained in order to gauge current parking levels within the existing car parks and access road to the south of the site in order to assess the impact of the development on the availability of parking spaces. The car parks have a total of 102 spaces and is the optimum location for school related set-down / pick-up activity, in order to reduce the risk of these activities occurring on the public highway and being in conflict with through traffic.
2.52 Appendix 15 in the Transport Assessment gives details of the parking accumulation calculations. Under existing traffic conditions the car parking spaces reach capacity during the AM peak at 08:45 and during
the PM peak at 15:00 \& 15:15 for the periods at the start and end of the Whitchurch Schools days.
2.53 The car parking accumulation predicted in the future takes account of the AHFS traffic, picking up and dropping off, based on staggered start and finish times as explained previously, combined with the additional traffic linked to the expansion of the Whitchurch schools. This has highlighted that there is a significant shortfall in capacity at 08:45-09:00 and 15:00 $-15: 30$ of over 50 vehicles which is predominantly caused by the expansion of Whitchurch Schools rather than the additional traffic generated by AHFS. It is suggested in the assessment that the AHFS travel plan mitigates any potential impact of AHFS traffic by encouraging further travel by sustainable modes to reduce car usage.
2.54 The STP for Whitchurch School, which was recently revised following the approval of the school expansion for that school, does indicate an action to introduce parking controls into the existing parking areas and access road in 2016/17 and the council's traffic team is currently investigating the development of a scheme to be funded from funds for highway measures related to school travel plans in this year's TFL local implementation plan programme of works.
2.55 This area of land is not highway but is land in the ownership of the Council. The introduction of a parking scheme would therefore require the creation of an off street parking places order to control traffic and could be enforced by the Council's parking enforcement team.

## School Travel Plan (STP)

2.56 Harrow places a strong emphasis on developing School Travel Plans in order to promote sustainable travel modes including walking, cycling and the use of public transport to reduce travel by car as well as delivering health benefits and a reduction in air pollution.
2.57 AHFS is committed to implementing a TfL STARS accredited Travel Plan at the proposed development site and has already achieved STARS 'Gold' accreditation for the 2014/2015 academic year at the existing school at Common Road demonstrating their commitment to travel planning measures and achieving their targets / objectives.
2.58 The key objective of the STP is to set out a package of measures for reducing the number of car trips generated by parents and staff at the school and to improve safety on the school journey. The planning obligation will be secured by way of a Section 106 Agreement.
2.59 Annual travel surveys of staff and students will be conducted, and survey results will be submitted to Harrow Council for monitoring. Following initial occupation, travel surveys will be carried out in the spring term of the 2017/2018 academic year. The School's Travel Plan Coordinator will be responsible for undertaking the initial and subsequent surveys as well as monitoring other aspects of the Travel Plan.
2.60 The TfL STARS accredited Travel Plan will be underpinned by a comprehensive and deliverable Action Plan. The Action Plan will clearly outline a list of actions to be undertaken so as to promote the Travel Plan to students, parents/ carers and staff. The success of the Travel Plan will be judged against TfL STARS accreditation criteria which rewards schools for efforts made toward reducing the travel impact of their activities with three accreditation levels, Bronze, Silver and Gold.
2.61 The school has indicated that it is committed to the regular monitoring and reviews of the STP as a means of ensuring that it meets the aims, objectives and targets as set out within the Plan. The output of the annual monitoring and review process will be a Monitoring Report made available to the Council and other stakeholders.
2.62 If targets are not being met the Schools travel plan coordinator will, in consultation with the Harrow Council School Travel Plan Officers, amend the Action Plan detailing the necessary activities to be undertaken and timescales for the implementation of recommendations/ modifications.
2.63 The council travel planning officers have worked closely with AHFS and their transport consultant to assist them with the development of an appropriate and effective STP document which has been developed in conjunction with the transport assessment. Discussions with Whitchurch School and Stanburn School have been held to ensure there is a coordinated approach to the school travel plans. The main initiatives included in the AHFS STP are:

- Introduce a travel Plan Coordinator responsible for delivering the aims and objectives of the STP,
- Introduce a Travel Plan working Group to review travel plan objectives, targets and surveys,
- Introduce staggered start and finish times for key stages as well as pre and post school activities to spread the arrival / departure rate of students and minimize the impact on the transport network,
- The implementation of a bus service to serve those students within the catchment area of the school that do not have direct access to existing public transport routes,
- Travel Information on the School Website, in the School Prospectus and on notice boards,
- Engage with pupils and parents to promote principles of highway code, and remind parents of parking awareness during school drop off and pick up parents,
- The establishment and operation of a School Car Share scheme,
- Promotion of Walking and Cycling as viable modes of travel amongst students and staff,
- Active encouragement of the use of existing, local public transport services for access to the school,
- The implementation of a personalised sustainable travel planning service,
- Working in partnership with Travel Plan officers at the Council and TPC's at other local schools,
- Use of marshalls on site for both AM and PM pick up / drop off periods every school day to manage traffic flow,
- Provide a staff prescence at key crossing locations to promote safety of staff, students and visitors,
- Parents agreeing and signing a travel plan charter committing to the minimisation of car travel wherever possible.
2.64 The main target used to judge the success of a travel plan is considered to be the change in modal split of trips from cars to non-car modes. The STP sets out an ambition to achieve STARS silver accreditation within 1 year and gold accreditation within 2 years. The table below sets out the proposed modal split targets to achieve this.

Table 7.2 Travel Plan Targets

| Mode | Baseline <br> Modal Split* | 2017/18 <br> (540 students) | 2018/19 <br> (720 students) | 2019/20 <br> (900 students) | 2020/21 <br> (1080 students) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Car Occupants | $15 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Cycle | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Walk | $33 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Public Transport | $36 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| School Bus | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| TOTALS | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

2.65 The Planning Committee has queried whether marshaling activities of both AHFS and Whitchurch schools can be coordinated to manage traffic flow more effectively and also asked whether parking controls can be introduced in the parking areas to deter long term parking, particularly sixth form students, to maximize space for drop off and pick up activity.
2.66 With regard to marshaling it is quite clear that the different start and finish times of AHFS and Whitchurch schools will mean that there will not be any simultaneous drop off and pick up activity. Currently Whitchurch School does not organize any marshaling and so AHFS will be the only school providing marshaling for drop off and pick up specifically for AHFS traffic which occurs at different times of the day to both Whitchurch and Stanburn schools.
2.67 As mentioned previously The STP for Whitchurch School indicates an aspiration to introduce parking controls into the existing parking areas and access road to address additional dropping off / picking up associated with the school expansion.
2.68 Currently the Council school travel planners are arranging a meeting with Whitchurch and Stanburn schools to seek a wider commitment to work together on their school travel planning objectives to maximise the impact
of combined initiatives on transport modal shift and that each school will be asked to sign a statement to reinforce this commitment.
2.69 With regard to the sixth form at AHFS they will be subject to Travel Plan monitoring, targets and enforcement. It is anticipated that approximately half of the sixth form will be of driving age at any one time and there could be around 20 sixth formers driving to school. AHFS have indicated that these students will be educated on inconsiderate parking practices and liaison between AHFS and the local community will be maintained to ensure any such issues are highlighted and addressed expediently.

## Refuse Collection, Deliveries \& Servicing

2.70 Given the nature of the proposed development, the number of service vehicles that will deliver to AHFS on a daily or weekly basis will be minimal. These will be limited to waste collection, deliveries to the canteen and general supplies. A framework Delivery and Servicing Plan (DSP) has been developed and is included as part of the planning submission. Conclusions within the DSP include the following:

- The number of delivery and servicing movements at the Avanti House Secondary School would be minimal.
- The majority of delivery and servicing movements would be undertaken by a vehicle no larger than a transit van, with swept path analysis undertaken for a range of access options.
- Servicing movements would as far as possible be undertaken outside of school start / finish times and would therefore not conflict with access to cycle parking;
- Refuse collection would be undertaken within the school, outside of school operational hours.
2.71 Swept path analysis of vehicles has also been undertaken and appended to the DSP demonstrating that all delivery, servicing, emergency and refuse collection vehicles can enter and exit the development site in a forward direction adequately.


## Proposed Construction Activities and mitigation

2.72 The construction works are programmed to take a total of 68 weeks, with a view to the school being operational at the beginning of the 2017/2018 academic year.
2.73 In order to mitigate the impact of construction vehicle movements we would recommend they are restricted during morning and evening peak hours. Measures to protect existing footways and marked pedestrian routes using barriers / signage, as appropriate should also be in place.
2.74 Conflict between construction site traffic and Whitchurch School traffic / pedestrian movements will be avoided wherever possible and in particular during school set-down / pick-up periods, when parents and pupils are most likely to be circulating the car park area.
2.75 The internal traffic will be managed to avoid any congestion within the school site associated with the relocation of the existing car park as this could restrict the movement of traffic within the school grounds.
2.76 The routes are assigned to direct and strategic roads and as such drivers would be expected to comply with the preferred routing method i.e. via the M1 / A41 / A410 Spur Road / A410 London Road / A4140 Marsh Lane and Wemborough Road.
2.77 The contractor must sign up to Harrow Council's Considerate Contractors Scheme, and develop a Construction Management Plan.
2.78 A framework Construction Logistics Plan is included as part of the planning submission and provides swept path analysis to confirm that construction vehicle access can be gained to the site, with the ability to turn on site and depart in forward gear. Any modifications required to the access way to facilitate the movement of construction vehicles to and from the school, will be subject to agreement.

## Conclusion

2.79 The proposed school will have an impact on the existing highway network and this has been considered fully within the transport assessment, travel plan and briefing note. It is not considered that there will be any residual cumulative impacts in terms of highway safety or on the operational capacity of the surrounding transport network providing the mitigating measures identified are put in place.
2.80 Details of interventions are summarised in the table below:

| Main interventions | Comments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Junction improvement <br> to the Marsh Lane / <br> Honeypot Lane / <br> Whitchurch Lane / <br> Wemborough Road <br> junction | This required to address the shortfall in <br> capacity demonstrated in the transport <br> modeling in the year 2020 and to <br> accommodate an additional controlled <br> crossing point on Marsh Lane for the <br> predicted increase in pedestrian traffic. The <br> applicant will introduce the scheme via a <br> section 278 agreement (estimated costs <br> range between £250k - £500k). |
| Transport for London to <br> provide an additional <br> bus on route 186 in the <br> AM and PM peaks | TFL have identified a shortfall in bus capacity <br> and will use mayoral funding (£75k) intended <br> to support free schools public transport to <br> provide additional capacity (87 passengers in <br> both AM and PM peaks). |
| The school to provide a <br> private school operated <br> bus service to <br> supplement the existing <br> bus network. | This measure is required to to cater for those <br> students that do not have direct access to a <br> bus route to ensure that the travel by bus <br> mode is maximised (150 passengers in both <br> AM and PM peaks) |
| AHFS to introduce <br> staggered start and | This will minimise the impact on the peak <br> periods of traffic flow and manage the flow of |


| finish times and on site <br> marshalling during pick <br> up / drop off periods | traffic more effectively at school opening and <br> closing times in the access road and parking <br> areas. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Introduction of a school <br> travel plan, school <br> travel plan coordinator <br> and school travel plan <br> working group | Development of an action plan to achieve <br> STARS silver accreditation by 2017/18 and <br> gold accreditation by 2018/19 The proportion <br> of travel by car mode is proposed to reduce <br> from a base of 15\% to 12\% and 9\% <br> respectively. |
| Introduce parking <br> controls in existing <br> access road and car <br> parks | This is identified separately in the STP for <br> Whitchurch School and is currently being <br> investigated by the Council's traffic team to <br> implement a scheme in 2016/17. |

## Section 3 - Further Information

3.1. The purpose of this report is to inform the Panel about the transport implications of the proposed development. Details of the applicant's transport assessment, travel plan and briefing note can be seen in Appendices A, B \& C .

## Section 4 - Financial Implications

4.1. There are no direct financial implications to the council. Any suggested transport mitigations would be taken forward by the applicant.

## Section 5 - Equalities implications

5.1 Was an Equality Impact Assessment carried out? No.
5.2 The Transport Local Implementation Plan (LIP) sets out the relevant transport policies and objectives of the Council and was subject to an Equalities Impact Assessment which identified that there was no negative impact on any of the protected groups. The transport mitigations in the report accord with the principles of the Council's LIP.

## Section 6 - Council Priorities

6.1 The transport mitigations suggested in the report will contribute to achieving the administration's priorities:

- Making a difference for the vulnerable
- Making a difference for communities
- Making a difference for local businesses
- Making a difference for families


## Section 7 - Statutory Officer Clearance

## Ward Councillors notified:

# Section 8 - Contact Details and Background Papers 

## Contact:

David Eaglesham
Tel: 0208424 1500, Fax: 0208424 7662, E-mail:
david.eaglesham@harrow.gov.uk
Background Papers:
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This Transport Assessment (TA) has been prepared on behalf of the Education Funding Agency (EFA) in conjunction with the governors of Avanti House Free School (AHFS) to consider the highways and transport implications related to the development of a Secondary School on existing greenfield land at Whitchurch Playing Fields, Stanmore.

The purpose of this TA is to consider the implications of development related travel on the operation of the surrounding highway and transport networks. Furthermore this TA will consider the appropriateness of development in this location in transport policy terms, giving due regard to the need to ensure that it is accessible by all modes of travel.

The TA will demonstrate that in terms of Planning Policy at both National and Local level with respect to issues such as sustainability and traffic impact, the application site is more than capable of accommodating the proposed level of development.

On this basis Section 2 of the TA considers the application site's existing conditions and details of the proposed development including vehicular access.

The policy context within which the development proposals should be assessed from a highways and transport perspective will be detailed in Section 3.

Section 4 of the TA considers baseline conditions related to the application site including a review of pedestrian and cycle accessibility, public transport accessibility, the surrounding highway network, highway safety records and base traffic conditions.

An exercise to consider the level of trip generation of the proposed development, the modal share of such trips and their distribution onto the surrounding highway and transport networks are considered in Section 5 of the TA.

Section 6 of the TA considers, in detail, the impacts of the proposed development on the local road network as well as existing transport routes in the vicinity of the site.

Section 7 details parking provision and a parking accumulation assessment. This section also includes details of the Construction Management Plan and Deliveries \& Servicing Plan.
1.11 Any mitigation required to overcome the impacts of the proposed development is considered in Section 8 of the TA. Within this section details are provided of measures to be put in place by AHFS, through the Travel Plan process, to manage trips generated by the school so as to minimise impact on the local community.

Finally Section 9 provides a summary and conclusion to the TA.

## 2. APPLICATION SITE \& EXISTING USE

## Site Information

The application site is located on existing greenfield land at Whitchurch Playing Fields which is situated to the north of Wemborough Road and the east of Abercorn Road in a predominately residential area. Directly to the south-east of the site is Whitchurch First and Junior Schools which have recently been granted planning permission for expansion from 695 to 905 pupils to reach full capacity in September 2020. The application site location in relation to the surrounding area is shown at Figure 1.

Figure 1 Application Site Location


## The Proposal

As noted in Section 1, the proposed AHFS plans to take occupation of the site from September 2017. The school will see an annual intake of 180 per annum until full occupation of 1,260 pupils plus 120 FTE staff. The school will provide secondary education for Year 7-11 inclusive in addition to sixth form.

School opening hours will be 07:00-17:30 and include a comprehensive range of pre and post-school activities including a breakfast club and additional education / training and sporting activities after school which will operate on a daily basis. In addition to the separate start / finish times by key stage, this will result in staggering the start and finish times of the school, as detailed below.

Table 2.1 Proposed School Start and Finish Times

| Time | Activity | No. Pupil Arrivals / Departures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Morning |  |  |
| 07:00-08:00 | Breakfast Club | 60 |
| 07:45 | Key Stage 4 Registration | 320 |
| 08:15 | Key Stage 3 Registration | 520 |
| 09:45 | Key Stage 5 Registration | 340 |
| Evening |  |  |
| 15:45 | Official KS3 \& KS4 end of day | 400 |
| 16:45 | KS3/KS4 After School Clubs end | 500 |
| 17:30 | Official KS5 end of day | 360 |

2.4 Indeed it is the case that the majority of both staff and students of AHFS will be arriving and departing at different times to those of the network peak and the neighbouring Whitchurch Schools, which operate start times of 08:45/08:55 and finish times of $15: 15 / 15: 20$. It is also the case that the arrival / departures times of the proposed school will be during the AM and PM 'shoulder' peak periods on the wider highway network thereby minimising the impact of school-related trips on the operation of the surrounding highway and transport networks.

It should be noted that the 2014/2015 Year 7-9 pupil home locations were not focused around the school site located on Common Road. It is in fact the case that the catchment of the 2014/2015 Year 7-9 pupils was centred more around the Whitchurch playing fields site providing considerable opportunity for existing and prospective pupils to walk and cycle to school. Figure 2 illustrates the 2014/2015 Year 7-9 pupil's home postcode locations, and also shows the location of the previous school site (as vacated July 2015), temporary school site on Beaulieu Drive, Pinner (to be occupied by the school over the 2015-2017 academic years) and the permanent proposed school site at Whitchurch playing fields.

Figure 2 2014/2015 Year 7-9 Pupil Home Locations


Whilst a significant proportion of students within the catchment area will be able to use a public bus service, or combination of bus services to travel to school, it is proposed to supplement this with a private school operated bus service. Further details of the school bus service are provided in Section 8 of the TA.

## Vehicular Access

The existing playing fields on the proposed development site, and the Whitchurch First and Junior Schools southeast of the site are accessed from Wemborough Road via separate entry and egress simple priority junctions, and thereafter a shared access way. The egress onto Wemborough Road is provided with segregated left and right turn lanes.

With regard to the future vehicular access arrangements, upon occupation of the site by AHFS, it is proposed to utilise the existing priority junction arrangement and shared access way from Wemborough Road into the site for deliveries / servicing and staff access only. Delivery movements will be restricted to times away from the start and finish of the school day and outside of network 'peak' hours. It is understood that any modifications required to the access way to facilitate the movement of larger vehicles to and from the school, will be subject to agreement with Harrow Council Highways, Planners and Corporate Estates departments.

Further to the scoping meeting held with Harrow Council Highways on 13 January 2015, it was considered that vehicular set-down / pick-up trips were most likely to be undertaken in the public car park to the south of the school. On this basis, a parking beat survey was undertaken at the car park during the typical AM and PM drop-off / pick-up periods on 20 January 2015. The results of this parking survey with analysis of available parking supply and demand generated by the AHFS proposals are presented in Section 7 of this TA. It should be noted that 'committed' parking demand associated with the expansion of the Whitchurch First and Junior Schools has been taken into account in these calculations.

Figure 3 shows the proposed security and access arrangements for AHFS. These arrangements will be supported by signage and road markings, as appropriate. The strategy will also be embodied within the School's Travel Plan and Delivery / Servicing Plan such that all end users will be made aware of the arrangements to be put in place. It should be noted that the pedestrian access point off Wemborough Road will act as the sole point of access on foot.

Figure 3 Site Layout, Security \& Access Arrangements

2.11 Through the public consultation process it has been noted that there has been support for the concept of a vehicular access way from Marsh Lane, creating a route through to Wemborough Road. It has been suggested that such a route could operate as one way with entry from Marsh Lane, drop-off / pick-up outside the school, and exit onto Wemborough Road.
2.12 This concept has been discussed with Harrow Highways on numerous occasions, who have raised a number of highway safety and capacity based concerns as identified below:

- By providing an alternative access route it would only encourage car trips;
- The new junction would be too close to the existing signalised crossroads and could cause conflict in respect of vehicles queuing back from the signals and blocking the school access junction;
- It could encourage 'rat-running' to avoid the signalised crossroads;
- Marsh Lane is the key distributor route in the area and any new access points are generally resisted;
- Even if it is a vehicular route parents might choose to drop-off / pick-up on Marsh Lane which raises safety issues with vehicles stopped on a busy route and children potentially crossing between stopping / queuing cars;
- Such an arrangement would be difficult to police, if as suggested, the route only operated for certain periods of the day.
2.13 In respect of providing a pedestrian only access at this location the last point would remain a concern for the Highway Authority, given that parents would be likely to drop-off on Marsh Lane in the AM peak and potentially wait for their children to finish school during the PM peak period. Such behaviour could also be disruptive to traffic flow and the operation of the signal junction to the south.

For the reasons outlined above Harrow Council Highways would not support any form of access to the school from Marsh Lane.

## 3. POLICY CONTEXT

3.1 An important consideration of the promotion of the proposed development is to highlight the guidance given with respect to transport policies at both National and Local Government level. In overall terms, planning policy seeks to achieve a balance by integrating land use and transport policy to create more sustainable development by appropriate location and design.

## National Planning Policy Framework

3.2 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published in March 2012. Within the core planning principles as highlighted in para. 17 of the NPPF it states that planning should "...actively manage patterns of growth to make the fullest possible use of public transport, walking and cycling, and focus significant development in locations which are or can be made sustainable..."
3.3 Section 4 of the NPPF continues on the theme of promoting sustainable transport. In para. 29 it highlights the role that transport policies have in contributing to wider sustainability and health objectives, citing smarter use of technologies and giving people real choice about how they travel as playing a key role in this regard. Para. 29 also recognises that different policies and measures to promote sustainable transport will apply and vary between rural and urban communities.
3.4 Para. 32 of the NPPF requires developments that generate significant amounts of movement to be supported by a Transport Statement of Transport Assessment. Within such documentation there is a requirement to ensure that:

- opportunities for sustainable transport modes have been taken up;
- safe and suitable access to the site can be achieved for all people; and
- cost effective improvements can be made, if required, to the limit the significant impacts of development.
3.5 Para. 32 goes on to state that "...development should only be prevented or refused on transport grounds where the residual cumulative impacts of development are severe."
3.6 Paragraph 35 of the NPPF states that "Plans should protect and exploit opportunities for the use of sustainable transport modes for the movement of goods or people..." It goes on to state that where practical developments should be located and designed to:
- "accommodate the efficient delivery of goods and supplies;
- give priority to pedestrian and cycle movements, and have access to high quality public transport facilities;
- create safe and secure layouts which minimise conflicts between traffic and cyclists or pedestrians, avoiding street clutter and where appropriate establishing home zones;
- incorporate facilities for charging plug-in and other ultra-low emission vehicles; and
- consider the needs of people with disabilities by all modes of transport."

Para. 36 of NPPF suggests that a key tool to achieving the goals as set out in para. 35 is through Travel Plans.

Para. 37 of NPPF recommends that "Planning policies should aim for a balance of land uses within an area so that people can be encouraged to minimise journey lengths for employment, shopping, leisure, education and other activities."

## London Plan (Further Alterations - 2015)

Within the latest version of the London Plan (March 2015) the Mayor outlines his key policy objectives. Chapter 6 of the London Plan, entitled 'London's Transport', recognises that transport plays a fundamental role in addressing the whole range of the Mayor's spatial, environmental, economic and social policy priorities. The Mayor will work with all relevant partners to encourage the closer integration of transport and development and by:

- "..encouraging the patterns and nodes of development that reduce the needs to travel, especially by car;
- ..seeking to improve capacity and accessibility of public transport, walking and cycling, particularly in areas of greatest demand
- ...supporting development that generates high levels of trips at locations with high public transport accessibility and / or capacity, either currently or via committed funded improvements
- ...promoting walking by ensuring an improved public realm...."


## Harrow Council's Core Strategy (2012)

The Core Strategy, adopted 12 February 2012, is a key part of Harrow's Local Plan, and sets out the Borough's strategic approach to managing growth and development to 2026.

11 Within the Core Strategy, there are a number of objectives which relate to transport:

- "enhance the infrastructure, environment and other resources which make Harrow a desirable place to live, work and visit by improving sustainable transport capacity, accessibility and quality to meet users' needs and expectations;
- manage the Borough's contribution to climate change by co-ordinating development and public transport to promote more sustainable patterns of land use to reduce reliance on private vehicles;
- adapt to population and demographic changes to meet people's needs and quality of life by promoting walking, cycling and participation in sport by all ages."
3.17 Harrow cycling policies C1 and C4 set out the aim to provide cycle training for adults and children, in particular to facilitate cycle trips to and from school.
3.18 Harrow walking policies W1 and W3 set out the council's aspiration to encourage school walking buses and in general promote walking as a transport mode as a viable alternative to motorised travel.
3.19 Harrow travel planning policies 1-10 reference the importance of developing school Travel Plans, encouraging sustainable and healthy travel choices that are deliverable and secured via TfL's accreditation criteria.

Harrow public transport policies target working in partnership with TfL to deliver services that meet the demands of school travel, making public transport an attractive and viable method of transport for students, staff and visitors.

## Scoping Discussions with London Borough of Harrow and the Greater London Authority

3.21 An initial meeting was held with Harrow Council Highways on 12 May 2014, through which a scope of junction surveys was identified. Subsequent to this, a Pre-Application meeting was held with Council Planners on 19 December 2014 and a more detailed scoping meeting with Harrow Council Highways on 13 January 2015 - the minutes of which (as agreed with Harrow Highways) are provided at Appendix 1.
3.22 A meeting was held to review draft Transport Assessment and Travel Plan documents with Harrow Council Highways on 4 August 2015. This was followed by a Pre-Application meeting with all Harrow Council planning disciplines on 12 August 2015. These most recent meetings have focused on the scope and delivery of junction improvement proposals at the signalised crossroads to the east of the site.

Pre-Application meetings were held with the GLA on 19 March 2015 and 30 June 2015 with transport comments provided by the GLA from both meetings set out at Appendix 2.

## 4. BASELINE CONDITIONS

## Surrounding Highway Network

4.1 Wemborough Road is a two-way residential road which forms a crossroad junction with Marsh Lane (A4140) / Whitchurch Lane (B461) / Honeypot Lane (A414) to the east and a 4 -arm roundabout with Abercorn Road / St. Andrew's Drive to the west. To the east of the signal junction is Canons Park Underground Station and to the north Stanmore Underground Station.
4.2 St Andrew's Drive has no on-street parking restrictions except within the vicinity of the roundabout. Abercorn Road is subject to on-street parking restrictions within the vicinity of the roundabout and the Stanburn Primary School access, with single yellow line parking restrictions present on the southbound side of the carriageway operational Monday-Friday 0800-0930 \& 1500-1630.
4.3 Wemborough Road is the subject of a 30 mph speed limit which continues along St. Andrew's Drive, Abercorn Road, Marsh Lane and Whitchurch Lane. The road has a vehicular weight restriction of 7.5T expect for access.
4.4 Honeypot Lane (A4140), a dual carriageway, is subject to a 40 mph speed limit and is provided with grass verges between the footway and both the north and southbound carriageways. Both Honeypot Lane (A4140) and Marsh Lane to the north of the crossroad junction are subject to double yellow line parking restrictions.
4.5 Whitchurch Lane (B461) is subject to double yellow line restrictions for an approximate distance of 500 m east of the crossroad junction and thereafter single yellow line restriction apply. Wemborough Road forms three priority junctions with Gyles Park, Bush Grove and Bromfield. Bush Grove and Bromfield are subject to single yellow line parking restrictions Monday - Friday 1400-1500 whereas Gyles Park has no on-street parking restrictions.
4.6 North of the development site, Old Church Lane connects with other neighbouring residential streets and cul-de-sacs including Cranmer Close and Lansdowne Road.
4.7 Wemborough Road is subject to recently implemented single yellow line parking restrictions, save for the pedestrian crossings and bus stops outside the school entrance. These were introduced following the Canons Park Area parking review (see Appendix 3). Restrictions are operational Mon-Fri, 2-3pm, aiming to reduce parking congestion created by commuters using Canons Park LU Station.
4.8 On-street parking bays are located outside Canons Park shopping parade which specifies restrictions Monday - Saturday 0800-1830. Parking is free for permit holders or pay and displays machines are available for a maximum stay of 2 hours. Single yellow restrictions apply Monday - Saturday 10001100 \& 1400-1500 at this location also.

To the north of the application site, Marsh Lane junctions with London Road and The Broadway. London Road provides access to the M1 via the A41 and the Broadway provides access to north Stanmore and further north towards Watford.

## Highway Safety

To enable review of the road safety record of the road network in the immediate vicinity of the application site, Personal Injury Accident (PIA) data has been secured from Transport for London (TfL) for a 5 -year period up to the end of November 2013. Full details of the PIAs together with a location map are included as Appendix 4 to the TA and illustrated in Figure 4.
4.11 From the data supplied by TfL it can be seen that there have been 48 recorded PIAs of which 46 have been classified as 'slight' and two as 'serious'. The 'slight' incidents were attributed to reasons including pedestrians crossing at inappropriate times or locations, rear vehicles shunts, vehicles turning right into the path of oncoming traffic and careless driving.

The two PIAs classified as 'serious' were attributed to a vehicle pulling out into the path of an oncoming vehicle and a rear end shunt caused by sudden braking. These incidents occurred along Whitchurch Lane close to the junction with Donnefield Avenue and Honeypot Lane crossroad junction respectively and thus not in the immediate vicinity of the proposed site.

Figure 4 PIA Location Map

4.13 Of the 48 PIAs, 16 involved pedestrians and of these less than half involved children. The reasons for the incidents were attributed to pedestrians' inappropriate use/failure to use crossing facilities, attempting to cross between parked cars, failure to look properly and carelessness. Only one PIA occurred along Wemborough Road which involved a child.
4.14 Three incidents occurred in the vicinity of the site access junction. The first incident involved a pedestrian crossing between parked cars and failure to use crossing facilities. The second incident involved a vehicle losing control and driving into a stationary vehicle and the final incident occurred as a result of a vehicle pulling into the path of cyclist which was attributed to failing to look properly.

In the context of the PIAs identified, and in particular those occurring at the signal junction to the east of the site, potential mitigation measures have been considered within Section 8 of this report.

## Base Traffic Conditions

In order to determine baseline traffic operational conditions on the road network in the vicinity of the application site, in discussion with Harrow Council Highways on $12^{\text {th }}$ May 2014 assessment has been undertaken on the following junctions which are illustrated in Figure 5 below:

- Whitchurch Lane / Honeypot Lane / Wemborough Road / Marsh Lane signalised crossroads;
- Whitchurch Schools Access / Wemborough Road priority junction (Site Access); and
- Wemborough Road / St Andrews Drive / Abercorn Road roundabout.

Figure 5 Junction Assessment Location Plan

4.17 Manual Classified Turning Movement (MCC) surveys were undertaken on all junctions identified above on Wednesday $18^{\text {th }}$ June 2014 conducted over the AM peak periods, 07:00-10:00 and the PM peak period 16:00-19:00. A copy of the MCC surveys is included as Appendix 5 and details of the peak hour turning movements are appended to this report.

Tables 4.1 - 4.3 provides summaries of the ARCADY, PICADY and LINSIG outputs that assess the operational conditions of these three junctions during the AM and PM peak hours. The results of each analysis are included at Appendices 6-8 respectively. It should be noted that the 'peak hour' periods used for analysis are centred around the KS3 start / finish times for the Avanti House School, on the basis that these are the periods during which the school will generate the most vehicle trips. The AM peak period is 0745-0845, whilst the PM peak period is 1615-1715. Traffic flow diagrams for the 2014 surveyed AM and PM peak scenarios are provided at Figures 1.1-1.2, 2.1-2.2 and 3.1-3.2 for each junction respectively.

Table 4.1 Whitchurch Lane / Honeypot Lane / Wemborough Road / March Lane - 2014 Surveyed Flows

| Arm | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DoS | Queue | DoS | Queue |
| Whitchurch Lane Left Ahead | 81.1\% | 12.7 | 72.3\% | 11.0 |
| Whitchurch Lane Right | 55.2\% | 1.8 | 41.0\% | 1.7 |
| Honeypot Lane Left Ahead | 84.5\% | 10.3 | 78.0\% | 9.9 |
| Honeypot Lane Right Ahead | 85.4\% | 11.0 | 79.8\% | 11.2 |
| Wemborough Road Left Ahead | 84.0\% | 14.1 | 67.9\% | 10.4 |
| Wemborough Road Right | 79.7\% | 3.6 | 75.8\% | 4.6 |
| Marsh Lane Left Ahead | 84.0\% | 10.8 | 75.3\% | 6.8 |
| Marsh Lane Right Ahead | 85.6\% | 12.2 | 77.2\% | 7.7 |

Table 4.1 shows that the under its existing highway layout the signalised junction operates within overall capacity, and with degrees of saturation of less than $90 \%$ across all approach arms. The greatest levels of queuing are present on the Whitchurch Lane and Wemborough Road approach arms in the AM peak, and on the Whitchurch Lane and Honeypot Lane approach arms in the PM peak.

Table 4.2 Whitchurch Schools Access / Wemborough Road - 2014 Surveyed Flows

| Arm | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Max RFC | Queue | PM Peak Hour |  |
| Max RFC | Queue |  |  |  |
| Whitchurch Schools LT | 0.079 | 0.1 | 0.037 | 0.0 |
| Whitchurch School RT | 0.131 | 0.1 | 0.110 | 0.1 |
| Wemborough Road | 0.202 | 0.5 | 0.059 | 0.1 |

4.21 From Table 4.3 it can be seen that under its existing highway layout the junction operates within capacity during both the AM and PM peak periods, with the most notable queuing on the Abercorn Road approach arm in the AM peak and Wemborough Road (E) approach arm during the PM peak.

## Pedestrian \& Cycle Accessibility

The Chartered Institution of Highways and Transportation document 'Guidelines for Providing for Journeys on Foot' state that "walking accounts for over a quarter of all journeys and four fifths of journeys less than one mile". The document also provides guidance on acceptable walking distances and suggests that a preferred maximum walking distance of 2 km is applicable for school trips. In relation to cycling, it is also recognised that this mode also has the potential to substitute short car journeys particularly those less than 5.0 kilometres. Figure 6 below illustrates the 2.0 km walking and 5.0 km cycling catchment areas of AHFS.
4.23 Wemborough Road is provided with lit footways on both sides of the carriageway and approximately 10 metres from the main site entrance is a pelican crossing across Wemborough Road. Existing school signage and carriageway markings are present alerting drivers to the fact that children will be crossing the road.
4.24 Pedestrian infrastructure within the vicinity of the site is of a good standard with pedestrian crossing points present along key pedestrian desire lines and the local footway network provided with lit footways. Abercorn Road to the west of the site benefits from three pedestrian crossing points.
4.25 The 4-arm roundabout to the west of the site benefits from pedestrian crossing zones, with either zebra crossing facilities or pedestrian refuge islands and tactile paving on all arms of the junction.

Located to the east of the site is a signalised crossroad junction linking Marsh Lane / Whitchurch Lane (B461) / Honeypot Lane (A4140) / Wemborough Road which benefits from pedestrian crossings with tactile paving and pedestrian refuge islands on all arms of the junction. Honeypot Lane is provided with staggered signalised pedestrian crossing facilities.

It will be demonstrated in Section 6 of this TA that the footways surrounding the site access are capable of absorbing existing foot traffic and that associated with the school proposals and expansion of the neighbouring Whitchurch Schools.

Figure 6 Potential Walk \& Cycle Catchment

4.28 Figure 7 shows an extract of the local TfL cycle guide from which is can be seen that there is a network of signed and recommended routes for cyclists within the vicinity of the proposed school. Wemborough Road benefits from dedicated on-road cycle lanes as does Marsh Lane.

Where dedicated cycle routes are not present, carriageway widths are wide enough to accommodate both cyclists and vehicles and visibility is generally of a good level aiding inter-visibility between cyclists and vehicles.

Figure 7 Local Cycle Routes


Within Figure 7, yellow routes denote quieter roads that have been recommended by other cyclists and may connect to other route sections. Blue routes are signed or marked for use by cyclists on a mixture of quite or busier roads and green routes are off-road routes which may also be shared with pedestrians. The full map can be found in the TfL Local Cycle Guide 3.

## Public Transport Accessibility

## Bus Services

4.33 The nearest bus stops to the application site are located on Wemborough Road, the closest being 250 m west of the pedestrian entrance to the school. The bus stops further west are provided with bus shelters, seating, timetable information, with the exception of Stop BL which is not provided with sheltering. The stops are served by route 186 .

To the east of the site, services 79,186 and 340 stop regularly along Whitchurch Lane (B461) and benefit from shelters, seating and timetable information. The walking route from the school to the bus stops on the south side of Whithchurch Lane is via two sets of controlled crossing facilities.
4.35 The most direct route to the stops on the north side of Whitchurch Lane requires pedestrians to use the uncontrolled crossing over Marsh Lane. Investigation has been undertaken within Section 8 of this report as to whether a signalised crossing facility could be delivered at this location. An overview of connectivity between the school site and the nearest bus stops is provided at Figure 8 below.

Figure 8 Pedestrian Connectivity to Local Bus Stops


A summary of the weekday daytime operations of these bus services is provided in Table 4.4.

Table 4.4 Direct Bus Services \& Frequencies

| Route <br> No. | Nearest Bus Stop | Route | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 186 | 250 metres | St Mark's Hospital-Harrow-Edgware-Brent Cross | Every 12 minutes |
| 79 | 260 metres | Edgware-Honeypot Lane-Alperton | Every 12 minutes |
| 340 | 260 metres | Edgware-Stanmore-Harrow | Every 12 minutes |
| 324 | 420 metres | Stanmore-Kingsbury Station-Brent Cross | $3 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| N98 | 480 metres | Stanmore-Willesden-Edgware-Holborn | $4 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{hr}$ |

The bus routes set out in Table 4.4 will provide a direct route to the proposed school for a good proportion of prospective students. Table 4.5 identifies additional connecting bus services which will allow access from other home locations with North London, particularly around the Enfield / Bush Hill Park or Cockfosters areas.

Table 4.5 Connecting Bus Services

| Route <br> No. | Route | Connecting At | Connecting <br> Route No. | Frequency |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 32 | Edgware-Cricklewood-Kilburn | High Street (A5) | $79 / 186 / 340$ | Every 20 minutes |
| 142 | Watford-Bushey-Brent Cross | High Street (A5) | $79 / 186 / 340$ | Every 12 minutes |
| 204 | Edgware-Wembley Central <br> Station-Sudbury | High Street (A5) | $79 / 186 / 340$ | Every 10 minutes |
| 288 | Queensbury-Edgware Bus <br> Station-Broadfields | High Street (A5) | $79 / 186 / 340$ | Every 10 minutes |
| 292 | Borehamwood-Barnet Way- <br> Colindale | High Street (A5) | $79 / 186 / 340$ | Every 15 minutes |
| 644 | Hatfield-Barnet-Edgware- <br> Wembley Park Station | High Street (A5) | $79 / 186 / 340$ | Every 30 minutes |

4.40 It can be seen that these connections offer students the opportunity to travel from their home from a variety of locations to the school by public transport, i.e. 'door to door' in a maximum journey time of 45 minutes.

## Rail Services

PTAL or Public Transport Accessibility Level is a widely adopted tool amongst London Authorities for measuring a sites' accessibility. The PTAL methodology identifies the key factors that influence personal choice of a public transport mode as being, number of accessible services, walk distances, frequency, reliability and time of day / day of week. On the basis of these factors, a formula has been developed to calculate an Accessibility Index (AI) for any given location.
4.44
4.45

Using the PTAL methodology / formula, a PTAL has been calculated for the application site, the results of which are included as Appendix 9. From Appendix 9 it can be seen that the application site has an Al value of 8.73 or a PTAL banding of 2 .

In overall terms, whilst the PTAL value for the site is low, the accessibility of the application site by public transport offers a range of alternative travel choices to both student and staff and there are a wide range of journey origins and destinations can be reached by the bus and underground networks.

## 5. PROPOSED TRIP GENERATION \& DISTRIBUTION

5.1 The AHFS will generate person trip movements by all modes of travel throughout a weekday period and in particular the AM and PM peak periods. The AHFS will provide a comprehensive range of preand post- school activities including a breakfast club and additional education / training and sporting activities after school. The effect of these activities will be to stagger arrivals and departures (in addition to the staggered start / finish times by key stage) thereby reducing the potential impact of person trips generated by the AHFS on the local area and transport networks.

In respect of modal split information, the existing AHFS School Travel Plan (albeit for their previous location at Common Road) contains hands-up survey information undertaken to determine children's methods of travel to school. The School Travel Plan is provided at Appendix 10.
5.3 It is however noted, that the location of the school at the time on Common Road, was less accessible to public transport, pedestrian and cycle routes in comparison to the Whitchurch Fields site. The Common Road site was also not as central to the school catchment as the Whitchurch Fields site (2014/2015 Year 7-9 catchment illustrated in Section 2). It is therefore considered that the use of TRICS data for Secondary School provides a more accurate idea of children's method of travel to and from the Whitchurch site, as well as deriving trip rates.

Table 5.1 provides a summary of weekday peak hourly person trip rates and resultant movements by mode of travel for the proposed uses on the site based on the full occupation scenario, as described above. Whilst it is noted that the site may be used for 'out of hours' leisure activities, in the context of school trip generation, these trips will be minimal and will occur outside of network peak periods.
5.5 The original trip rates (as agreed with Harrow Council Highways) have been modified to reflect comments provided by the GLA. Through the revised selection of TRICS survey sites (discounting schools from outside London) a trip generation profile has been generated that maintains a similar level of car based trips whilst re-dressing the balance of trips by sustainable modes to reflect a greater proportion of public transport users. A copy of the TRICS output is provided at Appendix 11.
5.6 It should be noted that on the basis of the school providing a dedicated bus service to transport c. 150 children in the AM and PM peak periods (one run for each key stage), the school bus has been included as an additional travel mode with the pedestrian mode discounted accordingly in order to maintain consistent total two-way trips rates and movements.
5.7 In respect of pedestrian trips in particular, Harrow Council Highways advised that any modal split assumptions should be substantiated by first principles information. In this regard, Figure 9 below illustrates the proportion of 2014/2015 academic year pupils living within 1200 m of the school (c. 20 minute walk time) and that could therefore reasonably walk to the school.

From the 2014/2015 pupil postcode locations shown at Figure 9, it is illustrated that approximately 80 of 320 pupils lived within a 20 minute walk of the school. This amounts to $25 \%$ of the school population at that time. On the basis that when the school is relocated to Whitchurch Playing Fields it will attract more pupils from its proximity, and taking into account the likelihood that as the school grows there are more likely to be siblings able to walk together to school, it is not considered unreasonable to expect an increase in pedestrian trips to c. 30-35\% as indicated in the TRICS output at Table 5.1.

Figure 9 Pupils Living within 1.5km of Proposed School Site


Table 5.1 Weekday Peak Hour Person Trip Generation - Proposed Uses (1,260 students)

| Mode of Travel | AM Peak (0800-0900hrs) |  |  | PM Peak (1500-1600hrs) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Two-Way Trip <br> Rate (per pupil) | Mode Split | No. <br> Movements | Two-Way Trip <br> Rate (per pupil) | Mode Split | No. <br> Movements |
|  | 0.168 | $21.7 \%$ | 212 | 0.075 | $8.7 \%$ | 95 |
| Cyclists | 0.008 | $1.0 \%$ | 10 | 0.008 | $0.9 \%$ | 10 |
| Pedestrians | 0.246 | $31.8 \%$ | 310 | 0.299 | $34.7 \%$ | 377 |
| Public Transport | 0.233 | $30.1 \%$ | 294 | 0.360 | $41.8 \%$ | 454 |
| School Bus | 0.119 | $15.4 \%$ | 150 | 0.119 | $13.8 \%$ | 150 |
| TOTALS | $\mathbf{0 . 7 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 6}$ |

From Table 1 it can be seen that the proposed uses on site have the potential to generate between 976 and 1086 total person trips during the weekday AM and PM peak periods. Of these some 95-212 are car borne trips, equating to a modal share of some $9-22 \%$. Of the remainder of these trips, the number of public transport users equates to around $30-42 \%$, cyclists $1 \%$ and pedestrians between 32$35 \%$. The impact of development related trips is considered in Section 6 of this Transport Assessment.

## Vehicle Trip Distribution

5.10 In consultation with Harrow Council Highways it is proposed to distribute school-related traffic using the home postcode information for the neighbouring Whitchurch Schools. Pupil postcode plots for the Whitchurch School have been obtained from their Travel Plan, and origin / destination 'zones' derived based on shortest driven routes from the school access point. Figure 10 illustrates the designation of zones and percentage of Whitchurch School pupils drawn from each.

Figure 10 Derivation of Traffic Distribution from Whitchurch Schools Home Postcode Data


The resultant distribution of traffic by percentage through the site access junction and roundabout / signal junctions to the west and east is shown at Figure 11, and used thereafter within the appended traffic flow figure diagrams for the distribution of 'committed development' relating to the expansion of the Whitchurch Schools, and traffic associated with the AHFS proposals.

Figure 11 Distribution of School Related Traffic


## 6. IMPACTS

## Road Network

6.4 The distribution of development traffic has been based on the methodology as identified in Section 5 of this TA. On the basis of the distribution of development traffic, the three junctions referred to in Section 4 have been tested for operational capacity to consider the traffic impact of the development on the local highway network.

## Whitchurch Lane / Honeypot Lane / Wemborough Road / Marsh Lane Signal Junction

In order to assess the impact of development-related trips, and in particular car borne traffic, a future year assessment has been undertaken. For the purposes of a robust assessment both AM and PM peak hour school flow scenarios have been superimposed onto the network peak hour flows. This therefore takes no account of the potential spreading of school related traffic resulting from the staggering of start / finish times by key stage.

The 2014 surveyed traffic flows have been factored up to 2020 using TEMPRO growth for 'Harrow minor' road types, a copy of which is included as Appendix 12.

- 2014-2020 AM Peak - x 1.0647
- 2014-2020 PM Peak - x 1.0637

The impact of the increases in vehicular traffic on the existing road network have been assessed by comparing 'Base' with 'Base + Development' traffic conditions for the proposed future assessment year. The purpose of this analysis is to establish the potential impact of traffic flows associated with the proposed scheme on the operation of the surrounding highway network during the weekday AM and PM peak periods. It should be noted that the committed traffic in relation to the expansion of the neighbouring Whitchurch First and Junior Schools has been taken into account and incorporated into the 'base' scenario.

Tables 6.1 and 6.2 provides a summary of the LINSIG outputs that assess the 2020 'Base' and 'base + development' flows of the proposed school during the weekday AM and PM peak periods. These flows are also illustrated in appended Figures 1.3-1.12. The results of the LINSIG analysis are included as Appendix 6.

Table 6.1 Whitchurch Lane / Honeypot Lane / Wemborough Road / Marsh Lane - 2020 Base

| Arm | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | DoS | Queue | DoS | Queue |
| Whitchurch Lane Left Ahead | $83.5 \%$ | 14.1 | $74.7 \%$ | 12.1 |
| Whitchurch Lane Right | $69.2 \%$ | 2.2 | $47.9 \%$ | 1.9 |
| Honeypot Lane Left Ahead | $97.8 \%$ | 16.5 | $89.4 \%$ | 13.0 |
| Honeypot Lane Right Ahead | $98.1 \%$ | 17.5 | $90.5 \%$ | 14.5 |
| Wemborough Road Left Ahead | $89.4 \%$ | 17.3 | $73.4 \%$ | 12.0 |
| Wemborough Road Right | $101.7 \%$ | 9.6 | $93.0 \%$ | 7.9 |
| Marsh Lane Left Ahead | $96.6 \%$ | 16.6 | $91.2 \%$ | 10.2 |
| Marsh Lane Right Ahead | $97.4 \%$ | 18.4 | $92.2 \%$ | 11.3 |

Table 6.2 Whitchurch Lane / Honeypot Lane / Wemborough Road / Marsh Lane - 2020 Base + Development

| Arm | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DoS | Queue | DoS | Queue |
| Whitchurch Lane Left Ahead | 82.1\% | 14.4 | 72.8\% | 11.9 |
| Whitchurch Lane Right | 60.8\% | 1.9 | 51.9\% | 1.9 |
| Honeypot Lane Left Ahead | 110.1\% | 32.0 | 94.4\% | 15.3 |
| Honeypot Lane Right Ahead | 110.3\% | 34.3 | 95.2\% | 17.0 |
| Wemborough Road Left Ahead | 87.4\% | 16.9 | 76.4\% | 13.2 |
| Wemborough Road Right | 104.5\% | 11.5 | 97.9\% | 10.3 |
| Marsh Lane Left Ahead | 108.1\% | 31.6 | 91.9\% | 10.5 |
| Marsh Lane Right Ahead | 108.6\% | 34.8 | 93.0\% | 11.6 |

From Tables 6.1 and 6.2 it can be seen that under Year 2020 'base + development' traffic flow conditions the signalised crossroad junction will continue to function above overall capacity, when compared with 2020 'base' conditions. Honeypot Lane and Wemborough Road approach arms will experience the highest degrees of saturation and queuing, particularly during the AM peak periods. It should nevertheless be noted that in reality, pupils arriving by car will be spread between 07:00 and 09:45 rather than concentrated into a single hourly period, and therefore to some degree the traffic impact is overstated.

## Whitchurch Schools Access / Wemborough Road (Site Access) Priority Junction

6.7 Tables 6.3 and 6.4 provide a summary of the PICADY outputs that assess the 2020 'Base' and 'base + development' flows of the proposed school during the weekday AM and PM peak periods. These flows are also illustrated in Figures 2.3-2.12. The results of the PICADY analysis are included as Appendix 7.

Table 6.3 Whitchurch Schools Access / Wemborough Road (Site Access) - 2020 Base

| Arm | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Max RFC | Queue | PM Peak Hour |  |
| Max RFC | Queue |  |  |  |
| Whitchurch Schools LT | 0.207 | 0.3 | 0.152 | 0.2 |
| Whitchurch School RT | 0.363 | 0.6 | 0.322 | 0.5 |
| Wemborough Road | 0.400 | 1.2 | 0.245 | 0.7 |

Table 6.4 Whitchurch Schools Access / Wemborough Road (Site Access) - 2020 Base + Development

| Arm | AM Peak Hour <br> Max RFC |  | Queue | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Max RFC | Queue |  |  |  |
| Whitchurch Schools LT | 0.295 | 0.4 | 0.274 | 0.4 |  |
| Whitchurch School RT | 0.502 | 1.0 | 0.410 | 0.7 |  |
| Wemborough Road | 0.793 | 5.7 | 0.282 | 0.8 |  |

From Table 6.4 it can be seen that under Year 2020 'base + development' traffic flow conditions the priority junction will continue to function within capacity and with queues that can be accommodated within the available road space.

## Wemborough Road / St Andrew's Drive / Abercorn Road Roundabout

Tables 6.5 and 6.6 provide a summary of the ARCADY outputs that assess the 2020 'Base' and 'base + development' flows of the proposed school during the weekday AM and PM peak periods. These flows are also illustrated in Figures 3.3-3.12. The results of the ARCADY analysis are included as Appendix 8.

Table 6.5 Wemborough Road / St Andrew's Drive / Abercorn Road - 2020 Base

|  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Max RFC | Queue | Max RFC | Queue |
| Wemborough Road (E) | 0.870 | 6.1 | 0.980 | 16.1 |
| St Andrew's Drive | 0.690 | 2.1 | 0.790 | 3.4 |
| Wemborough Road (W) | 0.730 | 2.7 | 0.760 | 3.0 |
| Abercorn Road | 0.900 | 7.7 | 0.790 | 3.6 |

Table 6.6 Wemborough Road / St Andrew's Drive / Abercorn Road - 2020 Base + Development

| Arm | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max RFC | Queue | Max RFC | Queue |
| Wemborough Road (E) | 0.890 | 6.8 | 1.000 | 21.3 |
| St Andrew's Drive | 0.720 | 2.5 | 0.800 | 3.6 |
| Wemborough Road (W) | 0.770 | 3.1 | 0.760 | 3.0 |
| Abercorn Road | 0.950 | 10.9 | 0.790 | 3.6 |

6.10 From Table 6.6 it can be seen that under Year 2020 'base + development' traffic flow conditions the roundabout junction will experience some increase in queuing but not to a substantial degree. This is most evident on the Abercorn Road arm during the AM peak and on the Wemborough Road (E) arm during the PM peak with this arm operating at an RFC of 1.000.

## Pedestrian and Cycle Infrastructure

6.11 In respect of the impact of the development on local pedestrian infrastructure, the footways on Wemborough Road are generally provided to a c. 2.6 m width and will support all pedestrian activity entering and exiting the site.
6.12 Using the TFL Pedestrian Comfort Guidance it is noted that a footway with a clear unobstructed width of 2.6 m in a 'residential' area can support in the order of 1,650 two-way hourly movements, maintaining a 'comfortable' pedestrian experience. Appendix 13 illustrates the output from a TfL based 'Pedestrian Level of Service Assessment', demonstrating the maximum value of 1,650 two-way hourly movements within the comfortable ' $\mathrm{B}+$ ' standard.
6.13 Predicted pedestrian trip generation associated with the AHFS, as set out in Section 5, is in the order of 310-377 hourly two-way pedestrian movements during the peak hour periods. Committed pedestrian activity associated with the neighbouring school has also been taken into account. This has been derived on the basis of the Whitchurch Schools Travel Plan, which states that in 2014 41\% of children walked to school. Applying this percentage to the fully expanded school at 905 pupils, results in a predicted 371 pupils using the Wemborough Road footways.
6.14 Consequently, the combined number of pedestrians using the Wemborough Road footways from the Whitchurch and Avanti Schools totals a maximum of 748 two-way movements, over a peak hourly period. This still leaves capacity for a further 902 two-way pedestrian movements within the peak hours, before the footway function reduces from 'comfortable' to 'acceptable'.

On the basis of on-site observation and even taking into account pedestrian activity associated with the Stanburn Primary School on Abercorn Road, it is conclusive that the Wemborough Road footways would operate within capacity under future conditions.

## 7. <br> PARKING

7.1 Parking demand data has been obtained in order to gauge current parking levels within the car park to the south of the site in order to assess the impact of the development on parking supply. It was agreed with Harrow Council Highways through the scoping process, that the car park would represent the optimum location for school related set-down / pick-up, and thereby reduce the risk of these activities occurring on the public highway, and in particularly where waiting restriction apply.
7.2 Parking beat surveys have been carried out by an independent survey specialist during typical weekday peak periods including school drop-off / pick-up periods, between 07:00-10:00 and 15:0018:00 on Tuesday $20^{\text {th }}$ January 2015. The parking beat surveys established the demand for parking in 15 minute intervals throughout the survey periods. The survey cordon is illustrated in Figure 12 below.

Figure 12 Parking Stress Survey Cordon

7.3

The results of the parking beat surveys are contained in Appendix 14 and the summary of results is provided in Table 7.1.

Table 7.1 Surveyed Car Park Demand

| Time Period | Zone 1 <br> Total Number of Spaces: 28 |  | Zone 2 <br> Total Number of <br> Spaces: 64 |  | Zone 3 <br> Total Number of <br> Spaces: 10 |  | Total of all Zones <br> Total Number of Space: 102 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Demand | Spare Capacity | Demand | Spare Capacity | Demand | Spare Capacity | Total Demand | Total Spare Capacity |
| 07:00 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 63 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 101 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 44 |
| 07:30 | 1 | 27 | 2 | 62 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 95 |
| 07:45 | 0 | 28 | 4 | 60 | 8 | 2 | 12 | 90 |
| 08:00 | 5 | 23 | 4 | 60 | 8 | 2 | 17 | 85 |
| 08:15 | 11 | 17 | 7 | 57 | 10 | 0 | 28 | 74 |
| 08:30 | 22 | 6 | 20 | 44 | 10 | 0 | 52 | 50 |
| 08:45 | 28 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 102 | 0 |
| 09:00 | 24 | 4 | 10 | 54 | 10 | 0 | 44 | 58 |
| 09:15 | 23 | 5 | 28 | 36 | 10 | 0 | 61 | 41 |
| 09:30 | 23 | 5 | 28 | 36 | 9 | 1 | 60 | 42 |
| 09:45 | 23 | 5 | 28 | 36 | 9 | 1 | 60 | 42 |
| 10:00 | 24 | 4 | 28 | 36 | 8 | 2 | 60 | 42 |
| Total | 184 | 180 | 255 | 544 | 96 | 34 | 505 | 764 |
| 15:00 | 28 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 102 | 0 |
| 15:15 | 28 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 102 | 0 |
| 15:30 | 28 | 0 | 50 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 88 | 14 |
| 15:45 | 24 | 4 | 20 | 44 | 9 | 1 | 53 | 49 |
| 16:00 | 22 | 6 | 19 | 45 | 9 | 1 | 50 | 52 |
| 16:15 | 28 | 0 | 16 | 48 | 9 | 1 | 53 | 49 |

Table 7.1 Surveyed Car Park Demand (Cont.)

| $16: 30$ | 25 | 3 | 14 | 50 | 4 | 6 | 43 | 59 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $16: 45$ | 17 | 11 | 11 | 53 | 4 | 6 | 32 | 70 |
| $17: 00$ | 14 | 14 | 9 | 55 | 4 | 6 | 27 | 75 |
| $17: 15$ | 11 | 17 | 5 | 59 | 2 | 8 | 18 | 84 |
| $17: 30$ | 11 | 17 | 4 | 60 | 3 | 7 | 18 | 84 |
| $17: 45$ | 9 | 19 | 4 | 60 | 1 | 9 | 14 | 88 |
| $18: 00$ | 2 | 26 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 100 |
| Total | 247 | 117 | 280 | 552 | 75 | 55 | 602 | 724 |

7.4 Table 7.1 indicates that the two set-down periods show comparable parking demands levels. Analysis shows that under existing conditions all zones reach capacity during the AM peak at 08:45 and during the PM peak at 15:00 \& 15:15. It is noted that these are the periods at the start and end of the neighbouring Whitchurch Schools days.
7.5 Analysis of predicted parking demand associated with the AHFS drop-offs / pick-ups, in the context of the identified supply is provided at Appendix 15. The calculations also take into account reduced supply as a result of the Whitchurch Schools expansion. The committed trip generation figures used in relation to the Whitchurch Schools expansion were taken from the approved Mott MacDonald Transport Assessment (March 2014).

The methodology used to derive the parking accumulation associated with the AHFS considers the 3 hour TRICS based vehicle trip generation over the AM and PM peak periods (ie. 07:00-10:00 arrivals and 14:00-17:00 departures). These trips have then been superimposed onto the parking profile in accordance with the AHFS start / finish times, in proportion to the predicted number of pupils arriving / departing through each time period (see Table 2.1).
7.7 For the purpose of the parking accumulation calculations it has been assumed that 75\% pupils arrive / depart school in the 15 minute period before or after their school start / finish time. The remaining $25 \%$ pupils arrive / depart school 15-30 minutes before or after school start / finish time.
7.8 Consequently, the parking accumulation calculations illustrate that over the AM and PM peak survey periods, the following patterns occur:

- Around the Breakfast Club, AHFS KS4 and KS3 start times it is predicted that there will be sufficient spare capacity to accommodate demand;
- During the periods that the Whitchurch Schools drop-off there would be a shortfall in parking supply, particularly between 08:45 and 09:00;
- Between 09:30 and 09:45 when the majority of AHFS KS5 drop-offs take place there will be potential for demand to exceed supply by 9 vehicles;
- Between 15:00 and 15:30 it is predicted that Whitchurch Schools expansion traffic will mean the car park continues to operate at capacity;
- Between 15:30 and 17:45 when AHFS pick-ups take place there is sufficient parking supply to accommodate demand.

This level of parking is considered appropriate based on site specific demand for the school and any proposed 'out of hours' leisure activities. The disabled and electric vehicle provision accords with London Plan standards and reflects consultation with the GLA.
7.15 The figure of 69 car parking spaces has been derived on the basis of the travel behaviour of existing AHFS staff. The current AHFS Travel Plan (included at Appendix 10) indicates that $53 \%$ of staff travel by car with a further $24 \%$ of staff car sharing. In terms of preferred mode of travel, $41 \%$ of staff said they would prefer to travel by car, whilst $41 \%$ would prefer to car share. An average of these figures would see a $63.3 \%$ proportion of staff arriving / departing school by car. On the basis that the school will be targeting a $6 \%$ modal shift away from car travel as part of achieving a STARS ‘Gold' accredited Travel Plan, it should be expected that the proportion of staff travelling to and from school by car will fall to $\mathrm{c} .57 .3 \%$. Applying this to 120 FTE staff would therefore require a parking supply of c .69 spaces.

Section 5 shows that AHFS has the potential to generate an increase in public transport trips of 294454 movements during the AM and PM peak periods. Given the school's proximity to bus services on Wemborough Road, Whitchurch Lane and Honeypot Lane, clearly a large proportion of these trips will be undertaken by bus.

In this regard, TfL have stated that as a free school, TfL will not seek additional financial contribution toward bus capacity.
7.23 At full capacity, the school will provide as a minimum covered long-stay cycle parking for 173 cycles and 12 additional short stay spaces. This will support cycle trips undertaken by pupils and staff, which is expected to be in the order of 10 movements during the peak hour periods. This is anticipated to increase significantly through the Travel Planning process, which will focus in particular on cycle training, maintenance and safety.

A framework Delivery and Servicing Plan (DSP) has been developed and is included as part of this planning submission. Conclusions within the DSP include the following:

- The number of delivery and servicing movements at the Avanti House Secondary School would be minimal;
- The majority of delivery and servicing movements would be undertaken by a vehicle no larger than a transit van, with swept path analysis undertaken for a range of access options;
- Servicing movements would as far as possible be undertaken outside of school start / finish times and would therefore not conflict with access to cycle parking;
- Refuse collection would be undertaken within the school, outside of school operational hours.

Swept path analysis has also been undertaken and appended to the DSP demonstrating that all delivery, servicing, emergency and refuse collection vehicles can enter and exit the development site in a forward gear.

## 8. MITIGATION \& PLANNING OBLIGATIONS

## Travel Plan Structure

8.1 As noted in Section 4 of the TA, a full and through assessment of the impact of person trips generated by the AHFS proposals has been undertaken. In order to ensure that the school maintains a minimal impact on highways and transport operations in the local area, AHFS Secondary School will prepare and implement a STARS accredited Travel Plan. AHFS currently have an accredited Travel Plan, and this will be updated upon occupation of the site at Whitchurch Playing Fields to reflect site specific characteristics.
8.2 It is worthy of note that AHFS achieved STARS ‘Gold' accreditation for the 2014/2015 academic year, demonstrating their dedication to Travel Planning measures and achieving their targets / objectives, a commitment that will be continued and built upon at the Playing Fields site.
8.3 A Travel Plan (TP) has been prepared to accompany the planning application, as a separate document. In preparing the TP reference is made to guidance given in NPPF (2012), the London Plan (2015), Harrow's Core Strategy (2012) as well as TfL's 'Travel Planning for New Development in London.
8.4 The key objective of the TP is to set out a package of measures for reducing the number of car trips generated by parents and staff at the school and to improve safety on the school journey. In terms of planning obligations it is intended that the TP will be secured by way of a Section 106 Agreement, should consent be granted.
8.5 It is proposed to retain the deputy Headteacher as Travel Plan Coordinator (TPC) for AHFS, assisted by administrative staff to deliver the aims and objectives of the Travel Plan.
8.6 The TfL STARS accredited Travel Plan will be underpinned by a comprehensive and deliverable Action Plan. The Action Plan will clearly outline a list of actions to be undertaken so as to promote the Travel Plan to students, parents/ carers and staff. The success of the Travel Plan will be judged against TfL STARS accreditation criteria. The school will be targeting gold accreditation within 2 years of opening (to be maintained thereafter), which will involve completing 25 TfL initiatives;
8.7 The TPC is committed to the regular monitoring and review of the Travel Plan as a means of ensuring that it meets the aims, objectives and targets as set out within the Plan. The output of the annual monitoring and review process will be a Monitoring Report made available to the Council and other stakeholders.
8.8 The most important part of the monitoring process will be the regular re-survey of students and staff on an annual basis. The main purpose of the surveys will be to identify modal split and monitor staff and student travel patterns. The results of these surveys will be analysed by the TPC and the Harrow Council School Travel Plan Officer and will form a key element of the monitoring process. The surveys will seek to understand why certain modes of transport are used and to identify any possible barriers to using sustainable modes of transport.
8.9 Should there be a need to modify or change any aspect of the travel initiatives, the TPC, in consultation with Council Officers, will amend the Action Plan detailing agreed activities to be undertaken and timescales for the implementation of recommendations/ modifications. Should it transpire that STARS targets are not being met financial sanctions will be imposed to fund additional measures to support the travel plan and increase the school's sustainable mode share.

## Travel Plan Initiatives

8.10 Key physical and management initiatives to be implemented within the AHFS Travel Plan include:

- Travel Information on the School Website, also repeated in the School Prospectus and on notice boards, as appropriate, to include:
- guidance to parents / guardians on the policy related to set down / pick up at the start and end of the school day so as to minimise impact on movement and parking within the local area and the surrounding local roads;
- guidance on road safety and safe access to / from the school;
- details of safe walking and cycling routes to the school;
- details of public transport services, with links to TfL and other useful websites;
- details of the timings / routings of the bus service run by the school as well as the mechanisms for sign up to the service.
- The establishment and operation of a School Car Share scheme;
- secure area on school website where parents can register interest and be linked up with other parents in their local vicinity;
- TPC to manage and promote scheme highlighting financial and environmental benefits of car sharing.
- Promotion of Walking and Cycling as viable modes of travel amongst students and staff;
- Integral part of school's daily exercise regime;
- Easy to understand mapping made available to students and staff;
- Promote participation in initiatives such as 'Walk to School Week', 'International Walk to School Month' and 'Walk in May';
- Provision of secure, covered cycle parking close to pedestrian entrance of school building to accord with London Plan standards;
- Road safety training as part of the curriculum with specific guidance on the use of safe crossing facilities;
- Cycle skills training and maintenance sessions as part of curriculum including the Governmentsupported 'Bikeability' scheme. Cycle training for students (Bikeability)
- Setting up a cycle club;
- Cycling lessons within PE;
- Cycle trips / excursions to build skills and confidence.
- Active encouragement of the use of existing, local public transport services for access to the school;
- Website links to public transport operators;
- Easy to understand mapping made available to students and staff of most direct and safe routes to bus stops, rail and underground stations;
- Awareness of Zip Oyster Cards that allow free bus travel for children aged 11-15 yrs.
- Parents agreeing and signing a 'Travel Plan charter' committing to the minimisation of car travel wherever possible;
- The implementation of a bus service to serve those students within the catchment area of the school that do not have direct access to existing public transport routes;
- Service to be provided over a single bus or two mini-buses (currently liaising with operators TfL and Desi Coaches);
- At full capacity service to transport 50 students over each start / finish time (totalling 150 students);
- Active promotion of service on School website;
- Regular monitoring of usage and increased provision to respond to demand, as required.
- On the basis of 2014/2015 home postcodes and bus service operations, Figure 13 below identifies an indicative route with two pick-up / drop-off points at strategic locations within the catchment. The route journey time would take less than 30 minutes and would therefore provided opportunity for buses to undertake a trip within each start / finish time stagger;

Figure 13 Indicative School Bus Route


- The implementation of a personalised sustainable travel planning service;
- Parents / guardians provided with the opportunity to discuss the travel options available for their children when accessing AHFS.
- Working in partnership with Travel Plan officers at the Council and TPC's at other local schools;
- Develop partnerships to promote sustainable travel, coordinate joint activities and share ideas (for instance with the Whithchurch Schools);
- Students to be involved in road safety initiatives, environmental and active travel voluntary organisations.


## Off-Site Mitigation

It is noted from Pre-Application comments provided by the GLA that the bus stops on Whitchurch Lane require students to cross the Marsh Lane / Whitchurch Lane (B461) / Honeypot Lane (A4140) / Wemborough Road signalised crossroads. In particular, to reach the eastbound bus routes 79/340 which stop on the northern side of Whitchurch Lane only, the most direct access to the school is gained by crossing the uncontrolled Marsh Lane arm of the signal junction.
8.12 As set out in the PIA analysis in Section 4, a number of accidents involving pedestrians have occurred at this junction as a result of the misuse or misunderstanding of crossing facilities. To this end, options have been investigated to deliver controlled crossings on the northern and eastern arms of the junction.
8.13 In retaining the current layout (maintaining the same 'all movements' traffic function) and converting the crossing facilities on both arms to deliver controlled facilities, this would require a significant restaging of the junction operation to deliver an 'all-red' pedestrian phase.
8.14 The results of an indicative LINSIG modelling exercise demonstrate that the addition of simple signalised crossing facilities on the northern and eastern arms of the junction would severely compromise junction performance. During the AM and PM peak modelled 'base' scenarios almost all arms operate at over $100 \%$ degree of saturation with the Wemborough Road and Honeypot Lane arms experiencing queuing of up to 100 vehicles, even before traffic associated with the Avanti House Secondary School is accounted for.
8.15 Therefore, a second approach has been pursued considering whether additional controlled crossing facilities could be incorporated into the existing junction staging operation.

The scheme illustrated on Plan 14042-01 at Appendix 16 proposes the following improvements at the junction, to be undertaken within the existing public highway (boundary as indicated on Plan 14042-02 also at Appendix 17):

- Provide controlled staggered pelican crossing over Marsh Lane arm to be integrated into existing junction staging as illustrated at Appendix 16;
- Carriageway widening on Honeypot Lane approach arm to create dedicated 'Left Turn' lane, ahead lane, and ahead / right lane improving efficiency of traffic movements from this arm;
- Increased exit lane width and taper on Marsh Lane to accord with Design Manual for Roads and Bridges guidelines reducing risk of vehicle collisions for simultaneous ahead movements from Honeypot Lane;
- Adjustments to kerbline from Honeypot Lane entry lane to Wemborough Road providing shallower radius improving manoeuvres for large vehicles (as shown on Plan 14042-TK01 at Appendix 18);
- Widening of Wemborough Road approach lanes allowing large vehicles to queue simultaneously in each lane;
- Adjustments to kerbline from Marsh Lane entry lane to Whitchurch Lane providing shallower radius improving manoeuvres for large vehicles (see Plan 14042-TK01 at Appendix 18).
8.17 It is considered that the above measures would aid pedestrian safety at the junction by offering a controlled crossing facility over the northern Marsh Lane arm, in particular connecting the school with bus stops on the northern side of Whitchurch Lane.
8.18 The addition of a left-turn lane on the Honeypot Lane approach and kerb adjustments on other junction arms would improve traffic congestion through the junction as indicated by the LINSIG model outputs below. Table 8.1 provided AM and PM peak junction operation under ' 2020 base+ development conditions', taking into account the junction improvement proposals, as compared with the '2020 Base' output for existing junction layout conditions as set out in Table 6.1.

Table 8.1 LINSIG Output - '2020 Base + Development' (Proposed Junction Layout)

| Arm | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | DoS | Queue | DoS | Queue |
| Whitchurch Lane Left Ahead | $78.8 \%$ | 16.4 | $68.1 \%$ | 12.7 |
| Whitchurch Lane Right | $58.0 \%$ | 2.0 | $45.4 \%$ | 1.9 |
| Honeypot Lane Left Ahead | $95.5 \%$ | 17.1 | $85.2 \%$ | 11.7 |
| Honeypot Lane Right Ahead | $93.3 \%$ | 15.3 | $78.4 \%$ | 10.9 |
| Wemborough Road Left Ahead | $84.1 \%$ | 19.1 | $71.7 \%$ | 14.2 |
| Wemborough Road Right | $98.0 \%$ | 9.7 | $87.1 \%$ | 7.9 |
| Marsh Lane Left Ahead | $97.2 \%$ | 20.3 | $84.8 \%$ | 9.8 |
| Marsh Lane Right Ahead | $97.6 \%$ | 21.6 | $85.6 \%$ | 10.5 |
| Junction PRC (\%): | $-8.9 \%$ |  | $3.3 \%$ |  |

Full LINSIG outputs for Table 8.1 can be found at Appendix 19. The outputs above indicate that the junction improvement proposals would result in comparable capacity and queuing levels through the junction when comparing '2020 base' peak hour traffic under the existing highway layout with '2020 base + development' peak hour traffic under the proposed highway layout.
8.20 When compared to the assessment of the 'base + development' traffic under existing highway layout conditions, the reduction in queueing is significant. This is particularly evident on the Honeypot Lane approach where, for instance, in the AM peak queues in each lane were predicted in excess of 30 PCUs (see Table 6.2), with the junction improvement proposals reducing this to c. 15-17 PCUs.
8.21 These findings demonstrate that the scheme proposed could mitigate the impact of school related traffic, whilst delivering the wider benefit of the controlled pedestrian crossing, improved junction manoeuvrability for larger vehicles and a vehicle safety benefit in the increased exit width and taper on Marsh Lane.

The junction mitigation scheme proposals and capacity modelling as provided within Appendices 1720 have been issued to Harrow Council Highways, who have agreed the proposals in principle subject to costing of the work and the reaching of a funding agreement for their implementation.

## 9. SUMMARY \& CONCLUSIONS

## Summary

9.1 This Transport Assessment (TA) has been prepared on behalf of the Education Funding Agency (EFA) in conjunction with the governors of Avanti House Free School (AHFS) to consider the highways and transport implications related to the development of a Secondary School on existing greenfield land at Whitchurch Playing Fields, Stanmore.

The proposed AHFS is planning to take occupation of the site from the beginning of the 2017 / 2018 academic year with an annual intake of 180 students per annum from Year $7-11$ plus sixth form. At full occupation the school will serve 1,260 students supported by 120 full-time equivalent (FTE) staff.

## Conclusions

9.3 From the findings within the TA the following has been concluded:

- School opening hours will be staggered by key stage and will also include breakfast and after-school clubs, to further dissipate the impact of school related person trips. School start/finish times have been developed to avoid highway network peak periods and periods at the beginning and end of the neighbouring Whitchurch Schools day;
- It is proposed to utilise the existing priority junction arrangement and shared access way from Wemborough Road for deliveries / servicing and staff access only. It is understood that any modifications required to the access way to facilitate the movement of larger vehicles to and from the school, will be subject to agreement with Harrow Council Highways, Planners and Corporate Estates departments;
- It is proposed that vehicle-based school drop-offs / pick-ups take place in the car park immediately south of the school. Suggested concepts for pedestrian / vehicular access from Marsh Lane, put forward by public consultation attendees have been rejected by Harrow Council on grounds of highway safety and capacity concerns;
- 48 PIAs occurred within the vicinity of the school of which 16 involved pedestrians and of these less than half involved children. The reasons for the incidents were attributed to pedestrians' inappropriate use/failure to use crossing facilities, attempting to cross between parked cars, failure to look properly and carelessness. In the context of the PIAs identified at the signal junction to the east of the site, potential mitigation measures have been considered;
- Pedestrian infrastructure within the vicinity of the site is of a good standard with pedestrian crossing points present along key pedestrian desire lines and the local footway network provided with lit footways. Abercorn Road to the west of the site benefits from three pedestrian crossing points;
- The site is served by 5 regular bus routes within a 480 m walk distance. Bus services provide connections to key location destinations including Edgeware, Stanmore station, Kingsbury Station and Harrow. The site is also located within 600 m of Canons Park LU station which provides connections to Stanmore to the north and towards central London to the south on the Jubilee Line;
- Trip generation has been calculated using TRICS and adapted to take account of the privately run school bus service. The modal split derived from TRICS is considered to be representative of the likely travel patterns of AHFS students, and in particular the proportion of pedestrians given that c . $25 \%$ of 2014/2015 academic year pupils lived within walking distance of the site and this would be expected to increase when the school relocates;
- Analysis of the TRICS database has shown that during the weekday AM and PM peak periods at full capacity AHFS has the ability to generate between 976 and 1086 total person trips during the weekday AM and PM peak periods. Of these $9-22 \%$ are car borne trips, $30-42 \%$ public transport trips, $1 \%$ cycle trips and 32-35\% pedestrian trips;
- AHFS vehicle trips have been distributed onto the local highway network as scoped with Harrow Highways using home postcode information for the neighbouring Whitchurch Schools, and thereafter by the 'shortest driven route';
- Assessment of local highway capacity has been undertaken at three junctions, as scoped with Harrow Council highway officers. In assessing these junctions it is concluded that the Whitchurch Schools / Wemborough Road priority junction and roundabout junction to the west of the site will continue to function within capacity. It is predicted that under 'base + development' conditions the signal junction to the east of the site will experience over $100 \%$ degrees of saturation on the Honeypot Lane and Wemborough Road arms in the AM peak;
- In assessing the impact of the development on local pedestrian infrastructure, the Wemborough Road footway has been subject to a TfL 'Pedestrian Level of Service Assessment'. Findings from the assessment indicate that the footway can support c. 1,650 two-way peak hour movements before comfort is compromised. In the context of pedestrian trips generated by AHFS, Whitchurch Schools and general foot traffic, there is ample capacity on Wemborough Road footways;
- Parking beat surveys were undertaken in the car park to the south of the school to gauge existing car parking supply and consider the impact of AHFS demand. The proposed AHFS staggered start / finish times ensure that periods of higher AHFS parking accumulation do not coincide with Whitchurch Schools traffic. As such the only predicted period where AHFS drop-off / pick-up parking demand exceeds supply is during the Key Stage 5 AM drop-off;
- It is proposed to provide a total of 69 parking spaces (including 5\% disabled provision, 10\% active electric vehicle charging points and $10 \%$ passive electric vehicle charging points). This level of parking is considered appropriate based on site specific demand for the school and any proposed 'out of hours' leisure activities. The disabled and electric vehicle provision accords with London Plan standards and reflects consultation with the GLA;
- At full capacity, the school will provide as a minimum covered long-stay cycle parking for 173 cycles and 12 additional short stay spaces. This will support cycle trips undertaken by pupils and staff, which is expected to be in the order of 10 movements during the peak hour periods, but is anticipated to increase as Travel Plan measures are put in place;
- TfL have stated that as a free school, AHFS will not be required to provide a financial contribution towards improved local bus service capacity;
- A draft Construction Logistics Plans has been provided as part of the planning submission, and the contractor will develop a full version post-application with the aim to minimise any adverse impact or disturbance to any users, businesses and local residents;
- The number of service vehicles that deliver to AHFS on a daily or weekly basis will be minimal and limited to waste collection, deliveries to the canteen and general supplies. Further details have been provided in a framework Delivery and Servicing Plan as part of the planning submission;
- To mitigate any residual impacts and in order to ensure that the school maintains a minimal impact on the operations of the local highway and transport networks, AHFS will prepare and implement a STARS accredited Travel Plan. The Travel Plan, submitted as a separate document within the planning application and to be secured by way of a Section 106 Agreement, sets out a package of measures for reducing the number of car trips generated by parents and staff at the school and to improve safety on the school journey;
- The Travel Plan provides details of the appointed Travel Plan Coordinator (TPC) and is underpinned by a comprehensive and deliverable Action Plan with a view to attaining STARS 'Gold’ accreditation within 2 years of occupation;
- Commitments are made within the Travel Plan in respect of regular monitoring and review, the setting of targets, repeat travel surveys, a comprehensive list of physical and management initiatives as well as corrective steps, remedial measures and financial sanctions as required;
- As part of the School Travel Plan the school is proposing to operate a privately run bus service that will serve those students within the catchment area of the school that do not have direct access to existing public transport routes. The bus service will off-set the number of vehicle trips generated by the school as a whole, and its success and uptake will be monitored and revised as required;
- Investigations have been undertaken in order to provide additional controlled crossing facilities at the signalised crossroads to the east of the site. An improvement scheme has been developed incorporating pelican crossings over the northern junction arm with highway modifications on the north, west and southern arms in order to improve capacity. The scheme has been submitted to Harrow Council Highways and agreed in principle subject to costing and funding discussions.
9.4 On the basis of the findings within this Transport Assessment and in the context of the guidelines within para. 32 of the NPPF it is not considered that there are any residual cumulative impacts in terms of highway safety or on the operational capacity of the surrounding transport network that should result in planning permission being withheld on transport grounds.


## FIGURES



Figure 1.1 AM Peak 2014 Surveyed Flows (0745-0845)


Figure 1.2 PM Peak 2014 Surveyed Flows (1615-1715)


Figure 1.3 AM Peak 2020 Future Flows (x 1.0647)


Figure 1.4 PM Peak 2020 Future Flows (x 1.0637)


Figure 1.5 AM Peak Committed Development Flows


Figure 1.6 PM Peak Committed Development Flows


Figure 1.7 AM Peak Development Flows


Figure 1.8 PM Peak Development Flows


Figure 1.9 AM Peak 2020 + Committed Development Flows


Figure 1.10 PM Peak 2020 + Committed Development Flows


Figure 1.11 AM Peak 2020 + Committed Development + Development Flows


Figure 1.12 PM Peak 2020 + Committed Development + Development Flows


Figure 2.1 AM Peak 2014 Surveyed Flows (0745-0845)


Figure 2.2 PM Peak 2014 Surveyed Flows (1615-1715)


Figure 2.3 AM Peak 2020 Future Flows (x 1.0647)


Figure 2.4 PM Peak 2020 Future Flows (x 1.0637)


Figure 2.5 AM Peak Committed Development Flows


Figure 2.6 PM Peak Committed Development Flows


Figure 2.7 AM Peak Development Flows

Key: 122 Total Vehicles
30 HGV's


Figure 2.8 PM Peak Development Flows


Figure 2.9 AM Peak 2020 + Committed Development Flows


Figure 2.10 PM Peak 2020 + Committed Development Flows


Figure 2.11 AM Peak 2020 + Committed Development + Development Flows


Figure 2.12 PM Peak 2020 + Committed Development + Development Flows


Figure 3.1 AM Peak 2014 Surveyed Flows (0745-0845)


Figure 3.2 PM Peak 2014 Surveyed Flows (1615-1715)


Figure 3.3 AM Peak 2020Future Flows (x 1.0647)
MILESTONE


Figure 3.4 PM Peak 2020 Future Flows (x 1.0637)


Figure 3.5 AM Peak Committed Development Flows


Figure 3.6 PM Peak Committed Development Flows


Figure 3.7 AM Peak Development Flows
MILESTONE


Figure 3.8 PM Peak Development Flows


Figure 3.9 AM Peak 2020 + Committed Development Flows
MILESTONE


Figure 3.10 PM Peak 2020 + Committed Development Flows


Figure 3.11 AM Peak 2020 + Committed Development + Development Flows


Figure 3.12 PM Peak 2020 + Committed Development + Development Flows

## APPENDIX 1

| Project: | Avanti House School, Whitchurch Playing Fields |
| :--- | :--- |
| File Ref: | $14-042$ |
| Meeting Date: | $13^{\text {th }}$ January 2015 11:00hrs |
| Venue: | Harrow Council Offices |

## Points of discussion

## Trip Generation \& Modal Split

1. Method of trip generation was discussed, and considering that the school is not currently operational at the site MTP proposed using the TRICS database as opposed to 'first principles' data - HCH agreed that providing comparable TRICS sites were identified this would be acceptable.
2. HCH noted that if the dominant transport mode is by foot, evidence will need to be provided in the form of catchment information to demonstrate that this proportion could reasonably travel to school on foot within a 15 min walk time.
3. MTP advised that at full capacity there is scope to provide a school bus service HCH agreed that this could be incorporated into the projected trip generation / modal split data.

## Impact of Development Related Trip Generation

4. MTP outlined that junction turning counts have been undertaken at the school access and the roundabout / signal junctions to the west and east. HCH agreed that this scope of junction assessment was appropriate.
5. MTP outlined proposals to test junction operation under 2020 future traffic year conditions incorporating 'committed' traffic from the expansion of the Whitchurch First and Junior Schools. HCH agreed with this approach.

## Action

MTP to analyse catchment data to confirm mode splits MTP to discuss bus service with EFA / Avanti
6. Distribution of school-related traffic was discussed. HCH proposed that turning proportions should be derived on the basis of postcode data for the Whitchurch First and Junior Schools, which could be obtained from Funmi Atolagbe (Harrow Council School Travel Plan Officer). MTP agreed with this approach.

## Vehicular Access

7. MTP outlined the general principle that parents should not enter the school and the vehicular access and circulation within the site is for use by staff, delivery / servicing vehicles and school buses.
8. MTP raised the question of ownership over the car park, through which access will be required between the school and Wemborough Road. Details were provided of a contact in Corporate Estates (Phil Loveland-Cooper) who would be able to confirm ownership of the car park, rights of access and any scope to modify car park arrangements to allow access to the proposed school.

## Pedestrian Access

9. As noted at the Pre-App meeting on 19/12/14 pedestrian access will be limited to the main entrance off Wemborough Road. HCH consider than any additional pedestrian access points would encourage parents to set-down / pick-up on the public highway resulting in highway safety concerns / congestion.

## Highway Safety

10. Highway safety records have already been obtained from Transport for London for a 5 year period, HCH confirmed that this would need to be analysed within the Transport Assessment submission.

Parking
11. MTP set out proposals to provide 92 on-site car parking spaces, which is considered appropriate to accommodate school staff parking demand and any 'out of hours' leisure use on site. HCH noted that further clarification will be required on leisure uses on site, to confirm the associated level of traffic / parking demand generation.
12. HCH stated that they would rather vehicular set-down / pick-up trips (where necessary) were undertaken off the public highway and within the car park to the south of the school -it was suggested that a parking survey be undertaken to confirm current levels of use over proposed Avanti School set-down / pick-up periods. Parking demand within the car park should then be analysed to determine spare capacity and whether Avanti demand can be accommodated.

MTP to obtain postcode data from Funmi and develop distribution model

MTP / B\&K to investigate ownership / rights of access

MTP to confirm intensity of leisure uses

MTP to instruct parking survey
13. In respect of cycle parking HCH stated that they require cycle parking to the adopted London Plan standards (2011).

## Deliveries / Servicing and Construction

14. HCH confirmed that they will require swept path analysis within the TA submission to demonstrate that the largest delivery / servicing and construction vehicles are able to access, turn within the site and exit in forward gear.

## Mitigation and Travel Plan

15. HCH stated that there was limited scope for physical improvements to the local highway / transport networks, and mitigation should be targeted principally through the development of a robust Travel Plan.
16. MTP outlined initiatives to be developed within the School Travel Plan including staggered start / finish times by key stage to avoid existing peak periods of congestion on the local highway network and existing set-down / pick-up periods for the neighbouring school.
17. Further initiatives that will form part of the Travel Plan will include cycle / scooter training, road safety seminars, setting up a school car-share scheme, provision of a dedicated school bus and use of public transport for school trips wherever possible.
18. HCH confirmed that any initiatives that promote travel by sustainable modes and reduce / dissipate the impact of vehicle trips would be welcomed. HCH suggested that subject to agreement with the Corporate Estates team, the implementation of a traffic marshalling system through the car park to the south of the school could aid traffic flow and allow for a smoother set-down / pick-up period.
19. It was noted that single yellow line parking restrictions are to be implemented on Wemborough Road in April 2015, over weekday periods 2-3pm. These restrictions aim to prevent commuters for parking over a daily period; however HCH noted that the proposed restriction would allow parents to park on Wemborough Road during set-down / pick-up periods. HCH want to actively discourage this practice and it was suggested that parents should be discouraged from parking on Wemborough Road through the School Travel Plan.

MTP to prepare tracking plans for TA submission

MTP / Avanti / EFA / Funmi to meet to discuss and confirm Travel Plan
initiatives (meeting 19.01.15 at Avanti House School, Common Road 08:30am)

## APPENDIX 2

48 The applicant should follow the energy hierarchy when considering the potential for CHP and renewable energy technologies.
49 If solar technologies are proposed, a plan showing the proposed location of the installation should be provided.
50 If air source heat pumps are being considered they need to be assessed against a gas baseline.

51 If considering biomass the applicant would need to provide an air quality assessment and ensure the system meets the minimum standards set out in the Sustainable Design \& Construction SPG. Details should also be provided on how they would deal with fuel storage, delivery and the fuel supply chain.
52 Should it be demonstrated that the $35 \%$ carbon dioxide reduction target cannot be fully achieved for this particular site, the applicant should quantify the shortfall in carbon dioxide savings and liaise with the Council regarding agreeing an offset contribution.

## Transport

53 TfL would expect a Transport Assessment (TA) report to be undertaken in accordance with TfL's 'Transport Assessment Best Practice Guidance', available at http://www.tfl.gov.uk/info-for/urban-planning-and-construction/transport-assessment-guidance. This should consider the impact of the development on all modes of transport at both the occupation and construction phases. This will enable TfL to get a better understanding of what measures (if any) may be required to mitigate the impact of the development on the transport network. The application should also be supported by a Travel Plan and a framework Construction Logistics Plan and Delivery and Servicing Plan and to this end it is welcomed that the council will require the school to be STARS (Sustainable Travel: Active, Responsible, Safe) accredited. TfL also operates its own pre-application service through which more detailed transport comments can be provided.
54 As part of a previous government spending review, money has been made available to TfL to mitigate the impact of free schools on bus services in the city. As such, it is not anticipated that TfL will require any funding for bus capacity enhancement to be secured through a Section 106 agreement. Nevertheless, in order to properly plan the bus network and to provide the best level of service for the school it will be necessary to understand both trip generation and the distribution of trips based on the school's likely catchment area. Currently it is not felt that the use of the TRICS database (including surveys of schools outside of London where free bus travel isn't available) reflects the likely trip characteristics of a faith school, which often have larger catchment areas and as a result lower levels of walking. However, the proposals to stagger start and finish times to minimise transport impact are welcomed.
55 It is also of some concern that the closest bus stops to the proposed school entrance are on Whitchurch Lane, requiring students to cross Marsh Lane. A signalised crossing is only provided on the southern side of the junction, which appears to have a poor accident record and a high proportion of collisions involving pedestrians. Although it is acknowledged that the introduction of controlled crossing facilities at the junction may have implications for general traffic, TfL feel that any application must consider such changes in order to allow a balanced decision to be made. Should the introduction of controlled crossing facilities not prove possible, consideration could be given to other measures such as the relocation of bus stops. Given that there will only be a single point of pedestrian access, shared with the adjacent First and Middle schools, evidence should also be provided that pedestrian routes are sufficiently wide to accommodate anticipated flows.
$56 \quad 92$ car parking spaces are proposed. As there are no London Plan standards related to car parking for schools, it is expected that this level of provision should be justified with reference to anticipated usage, bearing in mind the overarching goal of London Plan Policy 6.13 to strike an appropriate balance between promoting new development and preventing excessive car parking provision that can undermine cycling, walking and public transport use. TfL would expect a minimum
of $5 \%$ of the spaces to be suitable for blue badge users, and a $10 \%$ provision of Electric Vehicle Charing Points (EVCPs). The applicant should also be aware that with the adoption of the Further Alterations of the London Plan the cycle parking standards quoted within your submission have now been superseded, and a provision of long stay space per eight staff or students plus an additional short stay space for each 100 students is now required.

## Conclusion

57 The proposed school development could be supported provided the matters raised above, particularly the concerns raised about the loss of playing fields and open space are taken into consideration and fully addressed before the application is submitted to the local planning authority. As the design of the school is at an early stage the GLA recommends a follow up meeting to discuss this element of the scheme further.

[^0]
## GLA Follow-Up Pre-Application Meeting Feedback 31.06.15

## Transport

1. The proposed site is situated adjacent to the junction of Marsh Lane/ Honey Pot Lane/ Whitchurch Lane/ Wemborough Road; while this is part of the borough highway network, TfL understands it is very busy and congested during the peak hours.
2. As the school is likely to generate significant additional vehicular traffic, pedestrians trips as well as public transport trips, a full transport assessment with full trip generation and mode share assessment should be submitted to support the application. This needs to be done fully in accordance with the current TfL's Transport Assessment Best Practice Guidance. Junction capacity modelling shall also be undertaken for junctions in the vicinity, and should be done in accordance with TfL's modelling guidance.
3. TfL understands that the proposed school is a free school, therefore TfL will not seek additional financial contribution toward bus capacity. However, if this is no longer the case, TfL may seek contribution if it is deemed necessary.
4. It is proposed that 92 car parking spaces will be provided, justified based on a minimum requirement of 75 spaces, plus 10 electric vehicle charging spaces (EVCP)and 6 disabled spaces. TfL stresses that EVCP and Disabled Parking spaces should be considered as part of the overall number of parking spaces, not as additional elements of the overall parking provision. On the basis of this, TfL considers that 92 spaces would be excessive and should be moderately reduced.
5. TfL supports that access for the site will be from Wemborough Road, it recommends that cycle and pedestrians access should be separated from general vehicular access for safety reasons. All proposed/ modified vehicular access must be Stage 1 Road Safety Audited upon submission of the planning application.
6. There is no controlled crossing point on the north side of the Marsh Lane/ Honey Pot Lane/ Whitchurch Lane/ Wemborough Road junction, which is considered inadequate for large number of pupils undertaking West - East crossing movements before the school starting time in the morning and finishing in afternoon. TfL requests that the applicant to develop a robust solution to improve pedestrian facilities at the junction to enable safe and convenient movements between bus stops/ Cannons Park tube station and the school.
7. A full PERS and CERS audit is required to identify walking and cycle improvement needs in the vicinity of the site; Harrow Council should secure appropriate contribution towards the required improvements.
8. A school travel plan accredited by the STAR scheme would be required, this should be secured by appropriate condition/obligation.
9. A delivery \& servicing plan (DSP) is required, and this should be secured by condition.
10. A construction management plan (CMP) and construction logistics plan (CLP), to be produced in accordance with TfL's CLP guidance and submitted accompanying the planning application and should be secured by conditions.
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## APPENDIX 4

Date: 17 MAR 2014 17:45 Interpreted Listing

Page: 1 of 1 (summary)
Personal injury collisions 60 months to $\mathbf{3 0}$ Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)

## Summary of Accidents Selected

Site Reference and Description (zero accident counts shown in bold)
001 GIS AREA Wemborough area (P)
60 MTS TO NOV-2013


Page: 1 of 19

## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)




## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)



## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)



## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)



## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)



## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)



V002 A 405 (FAILED TO LOOK PROPERLY)
V002 A 602 (CARELESS/RECKLESS/IN A HURRY)

'JED STEPPED OUT INTO THE PATH OF V1
CASUALTY 001 (001) ( $38 \mathrm{Yrs}-\mathrm{M}$ HA7) SLIGHT PEDESTRIAN CROSSING ROAD (NOT ON XING) NE BOUND FROM DRIVERS N/SIDE MSK

VEHICLE 001 (000) CAR (24 Y

| GOING AHEAD OTHER | SE TO NW |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | N/S HIT FIRS |

N/S HIT FIRST

C001 A 802 (FAILED TO LOOK PROPERLY)
C001 A 808 (CARELESS/RECKLESS/IN A HURRY)


## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)

## . 001 GIS AREA Wemborough area (P)

# 60 MTS TO NOV-2013 SORTED BY DATE 

15 0110QA10060 FRI 26/02/10 08:16 LIGHT NFL: STATION PARADE 33M W J/W WHITCHURCH LANE

29 CELL 518000/191000 518130/191230

POLICE - AT SCENE ROAD-WET RAINING ONE-WAY ST NO JUN IN 20M

NO XING FACILITY IN 50M
C1 CROSSED FROM PARKED VEHICLES AND WAS HIT BY V1 WHICH FTS
CASUALTY 001 (001) ( 26 Yrs - F ) SLIGHT PEDESTRIAN CROSSING ROAD (NOT ON XING) S BOUND FROM DRIVERS N/SIDE

VEHICLE 001 (000) CAR Yrs - U 1)
BT - DRV NOT CONTACTED
GOING AHEAD OTHER W TO E
FRONT HIT FIRST

## LAY-BY/HARD SHLDR

V001 A 407 (PASSING TOO CLOSE TO CYCLIST, HORSE RIDER OR PEDESTRIAN)
V001 B 602 (CARELESS/RECKLESS/IN A HURRY)
V001 B 405 (FAILED TO LOOK PROPERLY)


POLICE - AT SCENE ROAD-WET WEATHER-FINE SINGLE CWY CROSSROADS AUTO SIG PEDN PHASE AT ATS
V1 INTENDED RIGHT TURN WHEN C1,C2 RAN INTO SIDE OF V1 FROM BETWEEN VEHS ON SOUTH SIDE
CASUALTY 001 (001) (6 Yrs - F HA7) SLIGHT PEDESTRIAN CROSSING ROAD WITHIN 50M XING N BOUND FROM DRIVERS N/SIDE
U CASUALTY 002 (001) (40 Yrs - F HA7)
SLIGHT PEDESTRIAN
(61 Yrs - M HA7)
BT - NOT REQUESTED

001 A 801 (CROSSED ROAD MASKED BY STATIONARY OR PARKED VEHICLE)
C002 A 801 (CROSSED ROAD MASKED BY STATIONARY OR PARKED VEHICLE)


C001 A 801 (CROSSED ROAD MASKED BY STATIONARY OR PARKED VEHICLE)

## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)



## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)



## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)



## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)



## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)
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## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)



V001 A 410 (LOSS OF CONTROL)


V001 A 410 (LOSS OF CONTROL)
V001 A 409 (SWERVED)


## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)
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## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)

.001 GIS AREA Wemborough area (P)

60 MTS TO NOV-2013 SORTED BY DATE
35 0111QA10284 MON 26/09/11 16:03 LIGHT MARSH LANE J/W HONEYPOT LANE
POLICE-AT SCENE ROAD-DRY WEATHER-FINE SINGLE CWY CROSSROADS AUTO SIG PEDN PHASEATATS
V1 WAS REVERSING \& V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR V1
CASUALTY 001 (002) (51 Yrs-M HA3) SLIGHT DRIVER/RIDER


BT - NOT REQUESTED
V001 A 403 (POOR TURN OR MANOEUVRE)
V001 A 406 (FAILED TO JUDGE OTHER PERSON'S PATH OR SPEED)
V001 A 602 (CARELESS/RECKLESS/IN A HURRY) V002 A 405 (FAILED TO LOOK PROPERLY)
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## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)

.001 GIS AREA Wemborough area (P)

60 MTS TO NOV-2013 SORTED BY DATE
38 0112QA10199 FRI 15/06/12 08:34
LIGHT GYLES PARK J/W WEMBOROUGH ROAD.
29 LINK 104-180
$517360 / 191020$
POLICE-AT SCENE ROAD-WET RAINING SINGLE CWY T/STAG JUN
GIVE WAY/UNCONT NO XING FACILITY IN 50M
V. 1 TURNED LEFT, JUST A PED. RAN ACROSS THE ROAD. V. 1 HIT PED.

CASUALTY 001 (001) (11 Yrs-M HA8) SLIGHT PEDESTRIAN CROSSING ROAD (NOT ON XING) E BOUND FROM DRIVERS O/SIDE JOURNEY TO/FROM SCHOOL
VEHICLE 001 (000) CAR (17 Yrs - M HA7) BT - DRV NOT CONTACTED

Sch Attended : STANBURN SCHOOL
TURNING RIGHT W TO S PUPIL RIDING TO/FROM SCH JCT CLEARED FRONT HIT FIRST

V001 A 405 (FAILED TO LOOK PROPERLY)
C001 A 802 (FAILED TO LOOK PROPERLY)
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## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)

## . 001 GIS AREA Wemborough area (P)

# 60 MTS TO NOV-2013 SORTED BY DATE 

$\begin{array}{llll}41 & \text { 0112QA10315 WED 12/09/12 17:16 LIGHT NFL GREEN VERGES 35M SW J/W MARSH LANE } \\ \text { POLICE-AT SCENE ROAD-DRY } & \text { WEATHER-FINE }\end{array}$
PED STEPPED OUT INTO THE PATH OF V1
CASUALTY 001 (001) ( 24 Yrs - M HA7) SLIGHT PEDESTRIAN CROSSING ROAD (NOT ON XING) SW BOUND FROM DRIVERS O/SIDE
VEHICLE 001 (000) CAR (? Yrs - M UNKN)
BT - DRV NOT CONTACTED
GOING AHEAD OTHER STON
FRONT HIT FIRST
V001 A 405 (FAILED TO LOOK PROPERLY)
C001 A 802 (FAILED TO LOOK PROPERLY)



## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)

## . 001 GIS AREA Wemborough area (P)

60 MTS TO NOV-2013 SORTED BY DATE


|  | O/S HIT FIRST |
| :--- | :--- |
| TURNING LEFT | SW TO N |
|  | N/S HIT FIRST |

V002 A 403 (POOR TURN OR MANOEUVRE)
V002 A 405 (FAILED TO LOOK PROPERLY)
V002 A 407 (PASSING TOO CLOSE TO CYCLIST, HORSE RIDER OR PEDESTRIAN)

 POLICE - AT SCENE ROAD-DRY WEATHER-FINE SINGLE CWY NO JUN IN 20M CENTRAL REFUGE
V1 WAS OVERTAKING A PARKED BUS ON IT'S OFFSIDE WHEN C1 STARTED TO CROSS THE ROAD IN FRONT OF THE BUS \& WAS HIT BY V1
CASUALTY 001 (001) (11 Yrs - F HA7) SLIGHT PEDESTRIAN CROSSING ROAD (NOT ON XING) S BOUND FROM DRIVERS N/SIDE
VEHICLE 001 (000) CAR (37 Yrs - F HA8)
BT - NOT REQUESTED
OVERTAKE STAT VEH O/S W TO E
FRONT HIT FIRST

C001 A 802 (FAILED TO LOOK PROPERLY)
V001 B 405 (FAILED TO LOOK PROPERLY)
C001 B 803 (FAILED TO JUDGE VEHICLE'S PATH OR SPEED)
V001 A 701 (VISION AFFECTED - STATIONARY OR PARKED VEHICLE(S))

## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)



## End of Accidents for .001 GIS AREA Wemborough area (P)

## End of Report

Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)

| Summary of Accidents Selected |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site Reference and Description (zero accident counts shown in bold) | Date Period | Accidents |
| . 001 GIS AREA Wemborough area (P) | 60 MTS TO NOV-2013 | 48 |

The description of how the accident occurred and the contributory factors are the reporting officer's opinion at the time of reporting and may not be the result of extensive investigation
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## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)
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## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)
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## Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)
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Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)
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Personal injury collisions 60 months to 30 Nov 2013 for Whitchurch First and Middle Schools area, (PROVISIONAL)

| . 001 GIS AREA Wemborough area (P) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 MTS TO NOV-2013 SORTED BY DATE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 |  |
| Accident Reference | 0112QA10315 | 0112QA10325 | 0113QA10077 | 0113QA10080 | 0113QA10177 | 0113QA10180 | 0113QA10313 | 0113QA10361 |  |
| Day | WEDNESDAY | WEDNESDAY | SUNDAY | MONDAY | MONDAY | FRIDAY | TUESDAY | FRIDAY |  |
| Date | 12/09/2012 | 26/09/2012 | 17/02/2013 | 11/03/2013 | 13/05/2013 | 24/05/2013 | 03/09/2013 | 04/10/2013 |  |
| Time | 17:16 | 07:26 | 21:03 | 07:20 | 17:38 | 16:53 | 17:55 | 08:43 |  |
| Light Conditions | LIGHT | LIGHT | DARK | LIGHT | LIGHT | LIGHT | LIGHT | LIGHT |  |
| Road Surface | DRY | WET | DRY | DRY | DRY | DRY | DRY | DRY |  |
| Severity | SLIGHT | SLIGHT | SLIGHT | SLIGHT | SLIGHT | SLIGHT | SLIGHT | SLIGHT |  |
| Conflict |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Location | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | X |  |
| Contributory | 405 V001 A | 802 C001 A | 406 V001 A | 403 V002 A | 402 V002 A | 802 C 001 A | 308 V002 A | 304 V001 A |  |
| Factors ${ }_{\text {( } \text { denotes pre 2005) }}$ | 802 C 001 A | 808 C001 A | 602 V001 B | 405 V002 A | 405 V002 A | 803 C001 B | 405 V002 A | 405 V001 A |  |
| (* denotes pre 2005) |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 405 & \text { V001 A } \\ 408 & \text { V002 B } \end{array}$ | 407 V002 A |  | $\begin{aligned} & 405 \text { V001 B } \\ & 701 \\ & \text { V001 A } \end{aligned}$ |  | 602 V001 A <br> 406 V001 A |  |
| Easting/Northing | 517650191380 | 517780191070 | 517740191190 | 517730191190 | 518030191210 | 517820191190 | 517280190970 | 517260191060 |  |



## APPENDIX 5





Traffic Limited

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 1$
DATE: $18-06-14$
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY:
WEDNESDAY

|  | TIME | MOVEMENT 1 <br> FROM MARSH LANE TO WHITCHURCH LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  | MOVEMENT 2 <br> FROM MARSH LANE TO HONEYPOT LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | тот | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
|  | 7:00 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 61 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 87 |
|  | 7:15 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 82 | 18 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 108 |
|  | 7:30 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 83 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 99 |
|  | 7:45 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 104 | 16 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 127 |
|  | H/TOT | 74 | 11 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 97 | 330 | 59 | 16 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 421 |
|  | 8:00 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 95 | 13 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 116 |
|  | 8:15 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 115 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 137 |
|  | 8:30 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 100 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 115 |
| $\rightarrow$ | 8:45 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 107 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{N}}$ | H/TOT | 88 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 417 | 53 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 491 |
| + | 9:00 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 79 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
|  | 9:15 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 104 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 126 |
|  | 9:30 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 61 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 |
|  | 9:45 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 80 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 101 |
|  | H/TOT | 59 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 76 | 324 | 61 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 405 |
|  | P/TOT | 221 | 25 | 3 | 18 | 1 | 5 | 273 | 1071 | 173 | 42 | 18 | 7 | 6 | 1317 |

Traffic Limited

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 1$
DATE: $18-06-14$
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY:
WEDNESDAY

|  | TIME | MOVEMENT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
|  | 16:00 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
|  | 16:15 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
|  | 16:30 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
|  | 16:45 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
|  | H/TOT | 44 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 60 |
|  | 17:00 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
|  | 17:15 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
|  | 17:30 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| $\pm$ | 17:45 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| $\square$ | H/TOT | 41 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 57 |
|  | 18:00 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
|  | 18:15 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
|  | 18:30 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
|  | 18:45 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
|  | H/TOT | 41 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
|  | P/TOT | 126 | 20 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 169 |


| MOVEMENT 2 <br> FROM MARSH LANE TO HONEYPOT LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 57 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 66 |
| 74 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
| 48 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 64 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 83 |
| 243 | 28 | 24 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 301 |
| 92 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 |
| 72 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 91 |
| 81 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 94 |
| 85 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 99 |
| 330 | 44 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 389 |
| 77 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| 88 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 100 |
| 84 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 95 |
| 76 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 86 |
| 325 | 21 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 366 |
| 898 | 93 | 43 | 4 | 12 | 6 | 1056 |

Traffic Limited
JOB REF: JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS
SITE: $\quad 1$

DATE: 18-06-14
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY:
WEDNESDAY

| TIME |  | MOVEMENT 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FROM MARSH LANE TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
|  | 7:00 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 14 |
|  | 7:15 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  | 7:30 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
|  | 7:45 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
|  | H/TOT | 50 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 58 |
|  | 8:00 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
|  | 8:15 | 25 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 36 |
|  | 8:30 | 34 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| $\rightarrow$ | 8:45 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 20 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}$ | H/TOT | 89 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 111 |
| O | 9:00 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
|  | 9:15 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 |
|  | 9:30 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
|  | 9:45 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
|  | H/TOT | 68 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 83 |
|  | P/TOT | 207 | 29 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 252 |


| MOVEMENT 4 <br> FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD TO MARSH LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| 40 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 49 |
| 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 42 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 12 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 6 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 17 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 51 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| 133 | 19 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 162 |

Traffic Limited
JOB REF: JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 1$
DATE: $18-06-14$
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY:
WEDNESDAY

| TIME |  | MOVEMENT 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FROM MARSH LANE TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
|  | 16:00 | 29 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 39 |
|  | 16:15 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
|  | 16:30 | 19 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
|  | 16:45 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
|  | H/TOT | 80 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 96 |
|  | 17:00 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
|  | 17:15 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
|  | 17:30 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| A | 17:45 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| $\checkmark$ | H/TOT | 55 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
|  | 18:00 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
|  | 18:15 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
|  | 18:30 | 22 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 26 |
|  | 18:45 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
|  | H/TOT | 70 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 79 |
|  | P/TOT | 205 | 23 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 237 |


| MOVEMENT 4 <br> FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD TO MARSH LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 9 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 15 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 46 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 |
| 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| 19 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 62 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 71 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 60 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 69 |
| 168 | 24 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 200 |

JOB REF: 17658
Trafic Limited
JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 1$
DATE: $18-06-14$
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY:
WEDNESDAY

| TIME |  | MOVEMENT 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD TO WHITCHURCH LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
|  | 7:00 | 76 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 97 |
|  | 7:15 | 115 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 134 |
|  | 7:30 | 96 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 114 |
|  | 7:45 | 108 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 121 |
|  | H/TOT | 395 | 47 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 466 |
|  | 8:00 | 121 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 132 |
|  | 8:15 | 94 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 104 |
|  | 8:30 | 78 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 89 |
| $\cdots$ | 8:45 | 77 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 82 |
| $\pm$ | H/TOT | 370 | 19 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 407 |
| - | 9:00 | 106 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 122 |
|  | 9:15 | 72 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 80 |
|  | 9:30 | 64 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 76 |
|  | 9:45 | 63 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 76 |
|  | H/TOT | 305 | 34 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 354 |
|  | P/TOT | 1070 | 100 | 13 | 23 | 12 | 9 | 1227 |


| MOVEMENT 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 24 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| 27 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| 24 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
| 93 | 30 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 127 |
| 22 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 26 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 19 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 97 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 108 |
| 24 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| 23 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 25 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 32 |
| 24 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| 96 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 116 |
| 286 | 53 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 351 |

Traffic Limited
JOB REF: JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 1$
DATE: $18-06-14$
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY:
WEDNESDAY


| MOVEMENT 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 22 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 23 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 27 |
| 30 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| 27 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 102 | 20 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 130 |
| 23 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 |
| 24 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 26 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| 91 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 111 |
| 22 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 23 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 23 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| 95 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 108 |
| 288 | 48 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 349 |

JOB REF: 17658 JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 1$
DATE: $18-06-14$
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY:
WEDNESDAY

| TIME |  | MOVEMENT 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FROM HONEYPOT LANE TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
|  | 7:00 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
|  | 7:15 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
|  | 7:30 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
|  | 7:45 | 16 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
|  | H/TOT | 36 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
|  | 8:00 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
|  | 8:15 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
|  | 8:30 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| $\rightarrow$ | 8:45 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| $0$ | H/TOT | 55 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| O | 9:00 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
|  | 9:15 | 13 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
|  | 9:30 | 22 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
|  | 9:45 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
|  | H/TOT | 65 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
|  | P/TOT | 156 | 38 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 206 |


| MOVEMENT 8 <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> FROM HONEYPOT LANE TO MARSH LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 42 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 60 |
| 58 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 78 |
| 57 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 76 |
| 76 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 90 |
| 233 | 45 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 304 |
| 68 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| 82 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 83 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 97 |
| 86 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 |
| 319 | 45 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 378 |
| 72 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 89 |
| 63 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 72 |
| 55 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 75 |
| 52 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 64 |
| 242 | 40 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 300 |
| 794 | 130 | 38 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 982 |

JOB REF: 17658 JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 1$
DATE: $18-06-14$
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY:
WEDNESDAY


| MOVEMENT 8 <br> FROM HONEYPOT LANE TO MARSH LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 77 | 19 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 106 |
| 67 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 84 |
| 78 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| 60 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 77 |
| 282 | 56 | 15 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 367 |
| 83 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 102 |
| 74 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 94 |
| 85 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 100 |
| 68 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 83 |
| 310 | 52 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 379 |
| 87 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 107 |
| 79 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| 67 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 83 |
| 85 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 94 |
| 318 | 42 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 369 |
| 910 | 150 | 26 | 6 | 19 | 4 | 1115 |

JOB REF: JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 1$
DATE: $\quad 18-06-14$
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY:
WEDNESDAY


| MOVEMENT 10 <br>  <br>  <br> FROM WHITCHURCH LANE TO HONEYPOT LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 17 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 29 |
| 19 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 29 |
| 21 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 29 |
| 66 | 19 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 101 |
| 25 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 34 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 41 |
| 24 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 34 |
| 35 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| 118 | 16 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 149 |
| 18 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 23 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 28 |
| 20 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 19 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 31 |
| 80 | 19 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 115 |
| 264 | 54 | 19 | 18 | 6 | 4 | 365 |

Traffic Limited
JOB REF: JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 1$
DATE: $18-06-14$
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY:
WEDNESDAY


Traffic Limited

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 1$
DATE: $\quad 18-06-14$
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY:
WEDNESDAY


| MOVEMENT 12 <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> FROM WHITCHURCH LANE TO MARSH LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 5 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| 12 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 6 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 28 | 7 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 48 |
| 11 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 16 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 14 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| 45 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 59 |
| 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 9 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 33 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| 106 | 19 | 6 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 153 |

Traffic Limited

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 1$
DATE: $\quad 18-06-14$
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY:
WEDNESDAY


| MOVEMENT 12 <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> CRROM WHITCHURCH LANE TO MARSH LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 27 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 80 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 90 |
| 16 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 20 |
| 13 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 62 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 73 |
| 13 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 21 |
| 21 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 19 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 69 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 19 |
| 211 | 12 | 1 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 246 |


| TIME | TO ARM A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MARSH LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 7:00 | 52 | 13 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 74 |
| 7:15 | 77 | 23 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 105 |
| 7:30 | 80 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 106 |
| 7:45 | 92 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 116 |
| H/TOT | 301 | 58 | 16 | 17 | 5 | 4 | 401 |
| 8:00 | 89 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 108 |
| 8:15 | 98 | 13 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| 8:30 | 108 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 125 |
| 8:45 | 111 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 134 |
| H/TOT | 406 | 54 | 17 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 487 |
| 9:00 | 100 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 120 |
| 9:15 | 82 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 95 |
| 9:30 | 70 | 25 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 102 |
| 9:45 | 74 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 92 |
| H/TOT | 326 | 56 | 17 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 409 |
| P/TOT | 1033 | 168 | 50 | 30 | 9 | 7 | 1297 |


| FROM ARM A <br> MARSH LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 83 | 19 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 118 |
| 98 | 25 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 135 |
| 118 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 141 |
| 155 | 18 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 182 |
| 454 | 74 | 20 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 576 |
| 131 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 154 |
| 167 | 27 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 205 |
| 153 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 177 |
| 143 | 16 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 166 |
| 594 | 72 | 16 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 702 |
| 107 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 129 |
| 142 | 19 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 174 |
| 88 | 22 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 119 |
| 114 | 21 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 142 |
| 451 | 81 | 18 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 564 |
| 1499 | 227 | 54 | 39 | 11 | 12 | 1842 |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 1$
DATE: $\quad 18-06-14$
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY:
WEDNESDAY

| TIME | TO ARM A <br> MARSH LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
|  | 109 | 23 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 146 |
|  | 88 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 111 |
|  | 111 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 138 |
|  | 100 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 122 |
|  | 408 | 71 | 18 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 517 |
|  | 101 | 13 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 124 |
|  | 109 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 135 |
| $17: 30$ | 124 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 141 |
| $17: 45$ | 100 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 123 |
| H/TOT | 434 | 61 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 523 |
| $18: 00$ | 119 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 143 |
| $18: 15$ | 116 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 128 |
| $18: 30$ | 102 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 125 |
| $18: 45$ | 110 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 125 |
| H/TOT | 447 | 54 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 521 |
| P/TOT | 1289 | 186 | 31 | 23 | 25 | 7 | 1561 |


| FROM ARM A <br> MARSH LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 101 | 17 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 125 |
| 103 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| 73 | 8 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| 90 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 114 |
| 367 | 47 | 26 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 457 |
| 111 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 130 |
| 106 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 132 |
| 99 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 118 |
| 110 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 128 |
| 426 | 58 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 508 |
| 105 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 117 |
| 111 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 126 |
| 118 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 135 |
| 102 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 119 |
| 436 | 31 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 497 |
| 1229 | 136 | 48 | 26 | 17 | 6 | 1462 |

TO ARM A IS TOTAL OF MOVEMENTS $4,8,12$
FROM ARM A IS TOTAL OF MOVEMENTS 1, 2, 3

JOB REF: 17658 JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 1$
DATE: $18-06-14$
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY:
WEDNESDAY

| TIME | TO ARM B WEMBOROUGH ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 7:00 | 47 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 64 |
| 7:15 | 71 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| 7:30 | 98 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 118 |
| 7:45 | 99 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 120 |
| H/TOT | 315 | 61 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 395 |
| 8:00 | 104 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 124 |
| 8:15 | 101 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 125 |
| 8:30 | 113 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 135 |
| 8:45 | 88 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 106 |
| H/TOT | 406 | 49 | 14 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 490 |
| 9:00 | 86 | 19 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 113 |
| 9:15 | 74 | 12 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 96 |
| 9:30 | 73 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| 9:45 | 69 | 15 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 93 |
| H/TOT | 302 | 64 | 14 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 398 |
| P/TOT | 1023 | 174 | 35 | 34 | 9 | 8 | 1283 |


| FROM ARM B <br> WEMBOROUGH ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 105 | 26 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 142 |
| 156 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 183 |
| 131 | 22 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 163 |
| 136 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 154 |
| 528 | 83 | 9 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 642 |
| 153 | 12 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 173 |
| 127 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 141 |
| 122 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 134 |
| 107 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 117 |
| 509 | 33 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 565 |
| 146 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 166 |
| 107 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 123 |
| 95 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 119 |
| 104 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 125 |
| 452 | 56 | 11 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 533 |
| 1489 | 172 | 26 | 29 | 14 | 10 | 1740 |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 1$
DATE: $\quad 18-06-14$
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | TO ARM B <br> WEMBOROUGH ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
|  | 125 | 30 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 162 |
|  | 119 | 18 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 145 |
|  | 126 | 20 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 151 |
|  | 116 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 133 |
|  | 486 | 80 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 591 |
|  | 116 | 16 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 144 |
|  | 157 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 177 |
| $17: 30$ | 117 | 17 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 142 |
| $17: 45$ | 131 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 154 |
| H/TOT | 521 | 67 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 617 |
| $18: 00$ | 126 | 14 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 150 |
| $18: 15$ | 138 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 159 |
| $18: 30$ | 127 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 149 |
| $18: 45$ | 136 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 156 |
| H/TOT | 527 | 66 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 614 |
| P/TOT | 1534 | 213 | 25 | 20 | 18 | 12 | 1822 |


| FROM ARM B <br> WEMBOROUGH ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 108 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 128 |
| 103 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 123 |
| 128 | 24 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 159 |
| 129 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 152 |
| 468 | 69 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 562 |
| 113 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 125 |
| 134 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 156 |
| 147 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 169 |
| 134 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 150 |
| 528 | 54 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 600 |
| 114 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 132 |
| 134 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 143 |
| 114 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 125 |
| 113 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 129 |
| 475 | 37 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 529 |
| 1471 | 160 | 20 | 19 | 13 | 8 | 1691 |

TO ARM B IS TOTAL OF MOVEMENTS $\mathbf{3 , 7 , 1 1}$
FROM ARM B IS TOTAL OF MOVEMENTS 4, 5, 6

JOB REF: 17658 JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 1$
DATE: 18-06-14
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY:
WEDNESDAY

| TIME | TO ARM C HONEYPOT LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 7:00 | 94 | 32 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 139 |
| 7:15 | 126 | 30 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 169 |
| 7:30 | 126 | 23 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 164 |
| 7:45 | 143 | 23 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 177 |
| H/TOT | 489 | 108 | 24 | 13 | 8 | 7 | 649 |
| 8:00 | 142 | 20 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 173 |
| 8:15 | 175 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 207 |
| 8:30 | 154 | 14 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 179 |
| 8:45 | 161 | 24 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 189 |
| H/TOT | 632 | 78 | 19 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 748 |
| 9:00 | 121 | 22 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 149 |
| 9:15 | 150 | 20 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 181 |
| 9:30 | 106 | 27 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 145 |
| 9:45 | 123 | 25 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 161 |
| H/TOT | 500 | 94 | 25 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 636 |
| P/TOT | 1621 | 280 | 68 | 39 | 15 | 10 | 2033 |


| FROM ARM C <br> HONEYPOT LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 66 | 16 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 92 |
| 91 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 121 |
| 98 | 17 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 129 |
| 139 | 18 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 171 |
| 394 | 78 | 20 | 14 | 4 | 3 | 513 |
| 124 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 143 |
| 142 | 18 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 169 |
| 136 | 17 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 164 |
| 138 | 19 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 165 |
| 540 | 69 | 20 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 641 |
| 132 | 25 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 165 |
| 98 | 15 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 122 |
| 105 | 25 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 139 |
| 84 | 13 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 110 |
| 419 | 78 | 25 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 536 |
| 1353 | 225 | 65 | 31 | 10 | 6 | 1690 |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 1$
DATE: $\quad 18-06-14$
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY:
WEDNESDAY

|  | TIME | TO ARM C HONEYPOT LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
|  | 16:00 | 101 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 123 |
|  | 16:15 | 124 | 16 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 151 |
|  | 16:30 | 97 | 13 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 125 |
|  | 16:45 | 121 | 22 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 155 |
|  | H/TOT | 443 | 65 | 31 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 554 |
|  | 17:00 | 133 | 18 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 155 |
|  | 17:15 | 111 | 24 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 142 |
|  | 17:30 | 142 | 21 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 170 |
| O) | 17:45 | 124 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 145 |
| $\rightarrow$ | H/TOT | 510 | 77 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 612 |
|  | 18:00 | 124 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 140 |
|  | 18:15 | 139 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 163 |
|  | 18:30 | 134 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 150 |
|  | 18:45 | 122 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 137 |
|  | H/TOT | 519 | 40 | 14 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 590 |
|  | P/TOT | 1472 | 182 | 59 | 20 | 17 | 6 | 1756 |


| FROM ARM C <br> HONEYPOT LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 140 | 36 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 191 |
| 135 | 22 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 169 |
| 158 | 23 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 194 |
| 131 | 25 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 164 |
| 564 | 106 | 25 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 718 |
| 171 | 25 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 208 |
| 160 | 25 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 193 |
| 143 | 21 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 171 |
| 151 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 180 |
| 625 | 95 | 11 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 752 |
| 175 | 28 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 211 |
| 149 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 167 |
| 146 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 170 |
| 139 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 158 |
| 609 | 75 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 706 |
| 1798 | 276 | 43 | 24 | 29 | 6 | 2176 |

TO ARM C IS TOTAL OF MOVEMENTS 2, 6, 10
FROM ARM C IS TOTAL OF MOVEMENTS 7, 8,9

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 1$
DATE: 18-06-14
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY:
WEDNESDAY

| TIME | TO ARM D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WHITCHURCH LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 7:00 | 108 | 16 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 139 |
| 7:15 | 151 | 29 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 187 |
| 7:30 | 147 | 17 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 176 |
| 7:45 | 188 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 213 |
| H/TOT | 594 | 73 | 12 | 22 | 5 | 9 | 715 |
| 8:00 | 186 | 13 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 206 |
| 8:15 | 167 | 13 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 189 |
| 8:30 | 136 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 160 |
| 8:45 | 135 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 151 |
| H/TOT | 624 | 43 | 8 | 25 | 3 | 3 | 706 |
| 9:00 | 162 | 22 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 193 |
| 9:15 | 111 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 134 |
| 9:30 | 107 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 131 |
| 9:45 | 96 | 16 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 123 |
| H/TOT | 476 | 66 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 3 | 581 |
| P/TOT | 1694 | 182 | 32 | 63 | 16 | 15 | 2002 |


| FROM ARM D <br> WHITCHURCH LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 47 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| 80 | 25 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 115 |
| 104 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 131 |
| 92 | 13 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 119 |
| 323 | 65 | 10 | 23 | 4 | 4 | 429 |
| 113 | 16 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 141 |
| 105 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 126 |
| 100 | 11 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 124 |
| 107 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 132 |
| 425 | 50 | 16 | 18 | 7 | 7 | 523 |
| 84 | 18 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 115 |
| 70 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 87 |
| 68 | 21 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 97 |
| 60 | 17 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 92 |
| 282 | 65 | 14 | 26 | 3 | 1 | 391 |
| 1030 | 180 | 40 | 67 | 14 | 12 | 1343 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 1$
DATE: 18-06-14
LOCATION: MARSH LANE / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / HONEYPOT LANE / WHITCHURCH LANE
DAY:
WEDNESDAY

|  | TIME | TO ARM D WHITCHURCH LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  | FROM ARM D WHITCHURCH LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | тот |
|  | 16:00 | 127 | 15 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 151 | 113 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 138 |
|  | 16:15 | 121 | 18 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 146 | 111 | 19 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 139 |
|  | 16:30 | 128 | 19 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 157 | 103 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 122 |
|  | 16:45 | 130 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 153 | 117 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 133 |
|  | H/TOT | 506 | 67 | 7 | 20 | 4 | 3 | 607 | 444 | 61 | 4 | 15 | 6 | 2 | 532 |
|  | 17:00 | 138 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 158 | 93 | 12 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 118 |
|  | 17:15 | 150 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 171 | 127 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 144 |
|  | 17:30 | 142 | 18 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 167 | 136 | 18 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 162 |
| $\sigma$ | 17:45 | 135 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 153 | 95 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 117 |
| $\omega$ | H/TOT | 565 | 59 | 4 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 649 | 451 | 57 | 8 | 16 | 4 | 5 | 541 |
|  | 18:00 | 135 | 18 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 161 | 110 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 134 |
|  | 18:15 | 125 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 124 | 18 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 151 |
|  | 18:30 | 128 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 137 | 113 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 131 |
|  | 18:45 | 110 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 128 | 124 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 140 |
|  | H/TOT | 498 | 38 | 3 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 563 | 471 | 55 | 6 | 15 | 3 | 6 | 556 |
|  | P/TOT | 1569 | 164 | 14 | 52 | 12 | 8 | 1819 | 1366 | 173 | 18 | 46 | 13 | 13 | 1629 |

TO ARM D IS TOTAL OF MOVEMENTS 1,5,9
FROM ARM D IS TOTAL OF MOVEMENTS 10, 11, 12

MANUAL CLASSIFIED COUNTS

JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS
SITE:
DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: WHITCHURCH SCHOOLS / WEMBOROUGH ROAD
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 1 <br> FROM WHITCHURCH SCHOOLS TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 7:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| H/TOT | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 8:00 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 8:15 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 8:30 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 8:45 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 31 |
| H/TOT | 68 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 70 |
| 9:00 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 9:15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 9:30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 9:45 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| H/TOT | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| P/TOT | 95 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 98 |


| MOVEMENT 2 <br>  <br>  <br> FROM WHITCHURCH SCHOOLS TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 45 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| 80 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 106 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 |

MANUAL CLASSIFIED COUNTS

JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS
SITE:
DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: WHITCHURCH SCHOOLS / WEMBOROUGH ROAD
DAY: WEDNESDAY

|  | TIME |  | ROM | HURC | VEME | BORO | AD (E) |  |  | OM | JRC |  | OROU |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
|  | 16:00 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  | 16:15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | 16:30 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 |
|  | 16:45 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | H/TOT | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 36 |
|  | 17:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  | 17:15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | 17:30 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| $\because$ | 17:45 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
|  | H/TOT | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
|  | 18:00 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | 18:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 18:30 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 18:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | H/TOT | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  | P/TOT | 38 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 74 |

MANUAL CLASSIFIED COUNTS JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE:
DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: WHITCHURCH SCHOOLS / WEMBOROUGH ROAD
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) TO WHITCHURCH SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| $7: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $7: 15$ | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| $7: 30$ | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| $7: 45$ | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| H/TOT | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| $8: 00$ | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| $8: 15$ | 42 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| $8: 30$ | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| $8: 45$ | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| H/TOT | 122 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 |
| $9: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $9: 15$ | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| $9: 30$ | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| $9: 45$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| H/TOT | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| P/TOT | 160 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 165 |


| MOVEMENT 4 <br>  <br>  <br> FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) TO WHITCHURCH SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 40 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 43 |
| 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 97 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 101 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 129 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 133 |

MANUAL CLASSIFIED COUNTS

JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS
SITE:

## 2

DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: WHITCHURCH SCHOOLS / WEMBOROUGH ROAD
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) TO WHITCHURCH SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| $16: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $16: 15$ | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| $16: 30$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $16: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| H/TOT | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| $17: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| $17: 15$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| $17: 30$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| $17: 45$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| H/TOT | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| $18: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| $18: 15$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $18: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $18: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| H/TOT | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| P/TOT | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |


| MOVEMENT 4 <br>  <br>  <br> FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) TO WHITCHURCH SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: 3 DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM ABERCORN ROAD TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 7:00 | 30 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| 7:15 | 32 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 37 |
| 7:30 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 35 |
| 7:45 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| H/TOT | 119 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 144 |
| 8:00 | 37 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| 8:15 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 45 |
| 8:30 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| 8:45 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| H/TOT | 158 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 168 |
| 9:00 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 9:15 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 9:30 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 9:45 | 38 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| H/TOT | 111 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| P/TOT | 388 | 36 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 434 |


| MOVEMENT 2 <br> FROM ABERCORN ROAD TO ST. ANDREWS DRIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 44 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| 59 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| 88 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 99 |
| 84 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| 275 | 31 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 310 |
| 108 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 112 |
| 84 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 87 |
| 50 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| 57 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 299 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 316 |
| 87 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 95 |
| 55 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 38 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| 41 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| 221 | 19 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 246 |
| 795 | 61 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 872 |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: 3 DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM ABERCORN ROAD TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
|  | 30 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 36 |
|  | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 36 |
|  | 30 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
|  | 33 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
|  | 128 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 148 |
|  | 33 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| $17: 15$ | 37 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 41 |
| $17: 30$ | 30 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| $17: 45$ | 39 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| H/TOT | 139 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 157 |
| $18: 00$ | 37 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| $18: 15$ | 45 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| $18: 30$ | 40 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| 18:45 | 38 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| H/TOT | 160 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 |
| P/TOT | 427 | 43 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 480 |


| MOVEMENT 2 <br> FROM ABERCORN ROAD TO ST. ANDREWS DRIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 38 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 44 |
| 46 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 53 |
| 45 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 55 |
| 63 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 71 |
| 192 | 24 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 223 |
| 59 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| 68 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| 69 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 76 |
| 62 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| 258 | 14 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 281 |
| 69 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 76 |
| 61 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 66 |
| 59 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| 59 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 248 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 266 |
| 698 | 50 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 770 |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $3 \quad$ DATE: $18 / 06 / 2014$
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM ABERCORN ROAD TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 7:00 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 7:15 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| 7:30 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 |
| 7:45 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| H/TOT | 53 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 68 |
| 8:00 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 8:15 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 8:30 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 |
| 8:45 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 25 |
| H/TOT | 69 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 77 |
| 9:00 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| 9:15 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 9:30 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 9:45 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 |
| H/TOT | 75 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 88 |
| P/TOT | 197 | 22 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 233 |


| MOVEMENT 4 <br>  <br>  <br> FAROM ABERCORN ROAD TO ABERCORN ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $3 \quad$ DATE: $\quad$ 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM ABERCORN ROAD TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 16:00 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 16:15 | 26 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 31 |
| 16:30 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 16:45 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 41 |
| H/TOT | 104 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 122 |
| 17:00 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 17:15 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| 17:30 | 41 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| 17:45 | 36 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 42 |
| H/TOT | 132 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 149 |
| 18:00 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 |
| 18:15 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 18:30 | 39 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 41 |
| 18:45 | 37 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 43 |
| H/TOT | 128 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 142 |
| P/TOT | 364 | 35 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 413 |


| MOVEMENT 4 <br> FROM ABERCORN ROAD TO ABERCORN ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |

## JOB NAME. WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 3$
DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) TO ABERCORN ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 7:00 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 7:15 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 37 |
| 7:30 | 30 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 7:45 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 34 |
| H/TOT | 109 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 129 |
| 8:00 | 40 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| 8:15 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 8:30 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 19 |
| 8:45 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 22 |
| H/TOT | 92 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 108 |
| 9:00 | 39 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| 9:15 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 40 |
| 9:30 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 27 |
| 9:45 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 24 |
| H/TOT | 119 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 132 |
| P/TOT | 320 | 32 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 369 |


| MOVEMENT 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 68 | 17 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 96 |
| 96 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 114 |
| 105 | 17 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 131 |
| 87 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 98 |
| 356 | 55 | 8 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 439 |
| 87 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| 91 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 103 |
| 37 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| 81 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 90 |
| 296 | 29 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 336 |
| 70 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 88 |
| 62 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 76 |
| 77 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 93 |
| 60 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 73 |
| 269 | 40 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 330 |
| 921 | 124 | 20 | 23 | 10 | 7 | 1105 |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: 3 DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) TO ABERCORN ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 16:00 | 26 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 32 |
| 16:15 | 29 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 33 |
| 16:30 | 31 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| 16:45 | 32 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| H/TOT | 118 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 138 |
| 17:00 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| 17:15 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 17:30 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 |
| 17:45 | 29 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| H/TOT | 125 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 135 |
| 18:00 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 28 |
| 18:15 | 35 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 38 |
| 18:30 | 30 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 35 |
| 18:45 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 48 |
| H/TOT | 135 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 149 |
| P/TOT | 378 | 30 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 422 |


| MOVEMENT 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 64 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 76 |
| 65 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
| 64 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 84 |
| 77 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 93 |
| 270 | 46 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 334 |
| 77 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 87 |
| 95 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 115 |
| 75 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 84 |
| 86 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 94 |
| 333 | 33 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 380 |
| 77 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 91 |
| 76 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| 65 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 71 |
| 70 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 81 |
| 288 | 23 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 325 |
| 891 | 102 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 6 | 1039 |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: 3 DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) TO ST. ANDREWS DRIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 7:00 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 7:15 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 7:30 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 7:45 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| H/TOT | 55 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| 8:00 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 8:15 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 8:30 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 8:45 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| H/TOT | 56 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 9:00 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 9:15 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 9:30 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 9:45 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| H/TOT | 39 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| P/TOT | 150 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 173 |


| MOVEMENT 8 <br>  <br>  <br> FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $3 \quad$ DATE: $\quad$ 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) TO ST. ANDREWS DRIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 16:00 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 16:15 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 16:30 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 16:45 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| H/TOT | 34 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| 17:00 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 17:15 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 17:30 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 17:45 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| H/TOT | 46 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| 18:00 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 18:15 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 18:30 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 18:45 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| H/TOT | 49 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| P/TOT | 129 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 146 |


| MOVEMENT 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: 3 DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM ST. ANDREWS DRIVE TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 7:00 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 7:15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 7:30 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 7:45 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| H/TOT | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 8:00 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 8:15 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 8:30 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 8:45 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| H/TOT | 32 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| 9:00 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 9:15 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 9:30 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 9:45 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| H/TOT | 19 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| P/TOT | 74 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 85 |


| MOVEMENT 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |  |
| 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 |  |
| 46 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |  |
| 53 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 77 |  |
| 57 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 62 |  |
| 173 | 32 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 210 |  |
| 69 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 72 |  |
| 64 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |  |
| 71 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 76 |  |
| 62 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 66 |  |
| 266 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 281 |  |
| 40 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 42 |  |
| 47 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 50 |  |
| 33 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 36 |  |
| 46 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 48 |  |
| 166 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 176 |  |
| 605 | 48 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 667 |  |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: 3 DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM ST. ANDREWS DRIVE TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | тот |
| 16:00 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 16:15 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 16:30 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 16:45 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| H/TOT | 36 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| 17:00 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 17:15 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 17:30 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 17:45 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| H/TOT | 41 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| 18:00 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 |
| 18:15 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 18:30 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 18:45 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| H/TOT | 39 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 44 |
| P/TOT | 116 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 131 |


| MOVEMENT 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |  |
| 73 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 77 |  |
| 66 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 71 |  |
| 53 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 65 |  |
| 65 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |  |
| 257 | 17 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 280 |  |
| 76 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 81 |  |
| 72 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 77 |  |
| 64 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 67 |  |
| 67 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |  |
| 279 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 294 |  |
| 55 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 60 |  |
| 51 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 57 |  |
| 59 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 63 |  |
| 50 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 |  |
| 215 | 14 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 233 |  |
| 751 | 42 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 807 |  |

## JOB NAME. WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 3$
DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM ST. ANDREWS DRIVE TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
|  | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
|  | 14 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
|  | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
|  | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
|  | 62 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 71 |
|  | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| $8: 15$ | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| $8: 30$ | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| $8: 45$ | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| H/TOT | 47 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| $9: 00$ | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| $9: 15$ | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| $9: 30$ | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| $9: 45$ | 11 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| H/TOT | 33 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| P/TOT | 142 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 158 |


| MOVEMENT 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: 3 DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 16:00 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 16:15 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 16:30 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 16:45 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| H/TOT | 58 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| 17:00 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 17:15 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 17:30 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 17:45 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| H/TOT | 47 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| 18:00 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 18:15 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 18:30 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 18:45 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| H/TOT | 50 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| P/TOT | 155 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 171 |


| FROM ST. ANDREWS DRIVE TO ST. ANDREWS DRIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

## JOB NAME. WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 3$
DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) TO ST. ANDREWS DRIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 7:00 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 |
| 7:15 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 7:30 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 7:45 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| H/TOT | 50 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 55 |
| 8:00 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 |
| 8:15 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 |
| 8:30 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 8:45 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| H/TOT | 71 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 78 |
| 9:00 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 9:15 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 9:30 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 9:45 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| H/TOT | 63 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| P/TOT | 184 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 204 |


| MOVEMENT 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | тот |
| 26 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 37 |
| 47 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 59 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 76 |
| 60 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 77 |
| 192 | 43 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 251 |
| 90 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 105 |
| 83 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 99 |
| 63 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 74 |
| 61 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 75 |
| 297 | 29 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 353 |
| 84 | 13 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 105 |
| 46 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 60 |
| 49 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 43 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 61 |
| 222 | 37 | 13 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 287 |
| 711 | 109 | 27 | 28 | 6 | 10 | 891 |

## JOB NAME. WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 3$
DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) TO ST. ANDREWS DRIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
|  | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
|  | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 24 |
|  | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
|  | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 22 |
|  | 73 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 80 |
|  | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| $17: 15$ | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| $17: 30$ | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| $17: 45$ | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| H/TOT | 50 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| $18: 00$ | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| $18: 15$ | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| $18: 30$ | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 18:45 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| H/TOT | 75 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| P/TOT | 198 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 210 |


| MOVEMENT 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 69 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 106 |
| 93 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 91 |
| 78 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 110 |
| 330 | 43 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 81 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 96 |
| 103 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 903 |
| 96 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 121 |
| 97 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 117 |
| 377 | 47 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 110 |
| 85 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 446 |
| 103 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 100 |
| 92 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 123 |
| 109 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 108 |
| 389 | 47 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 459 |
| 1096 | 137 | 17 | 21 | 12 | 25 | 1308 |

## IOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 3$
DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) TO ABERCORN ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 7:00 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 7:15 | 25 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 33 |
| 7:30 | 42 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| 7:45 | 56 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| H/TOT | 137 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 160 |
| 8:00 | 38 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| 8:15 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 8:30 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| 8:45 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| H/TOT | 155 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 169 |
| 9:00 | 43 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| 9:15 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 |
| 9:30 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 9:45 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| H/TOT | 102 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 118 |
| P/TOT | 394 | 45 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 447 |


| MOVEMENT 16 <br>  <br>  <br> FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |

## JOB NAME. WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: 3 DATE: 18/06/2014

LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | MOVEMENT 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) TO ABERCORN ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 16:00 | 53 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 57 |
| 16:15 | 51 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| 16:30 | 52 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| 16:45 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| H/TOT | 201 | 23 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 228 |
| 17:00 | 73 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 80 |
| 17:15 | 56 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 61 |
| 17:30 | 52 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| 17:45 | 43 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 47 |
| H/TOT | 224 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 243 |
| 18:00 | 72 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 78 |
| 18:15 | 52 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 57 |
| 18:30 | 54 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| 18:45 | 46 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| H/TOT | 224 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 242 |
| P/TOT | 649 | 53 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 713 |


| MOVEMENT 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FROM WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) TO WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | тот |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 3$
DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | TO ARM A ABERCORN ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 7:00 | 50 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 61 |
| 7:15 | 101 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 121 |
| 7:30 | 125 | 38 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 165 |
| 7:45 | 145 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 154 |
| H/TOT | 421 | 69 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 501 |
| 8:00 | 147 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 159 |
| 8:15 | 130 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 |
| 8:30 | 125 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 137 |
| 8:45 | 122 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 136 |
| H/TOT | 524 | 33 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 570 |
| 9:00 | 122 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 131 |
| 9:15 | 101 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 113 |
| 9:30 | 75 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 85 |
| 9:45 | 89 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 97 |
| H/TOT | 387 | 23 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 426 |
| P/TOT | 1332 | 125 | 13 | 16 | 4 | 7 | 1497 |


| FROM ARM A <br> ABERCORN ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 91 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 |
| 101 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 119 |
| 133 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 154 |
| 124 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 146 |
| 449 | 61 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 524 |
| 159 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 168 |
| 146 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 154 |
| 115 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 122 |
| 117 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 129 |
| 537 | 21 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 573 |
| 126 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 138 |
| 111 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 121 |
| 72 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 87 |
| 98 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 110 |
| 407 | 37 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 456 |
| 1393 | 119 | 8 | 18 | 6 | 9 | 1553 |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $3 \quad$ DATE: $18 / 06 / 2014$
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | TO ARM A <br> ABERCORN ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
|  | 152 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 166 |
|  | 148 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 163 |
|  | 138 | 26 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 168 |
|  | 142 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 153 |
|  | 580 | 54 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 650 |
|  | 184 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 199 |
|  | 165 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 177 |
| $17: 30$ | 140 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 147 |
| $17: 45$ | 140 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 150 |
| H/TOT | 629 | 36 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 673 |
| $18: 00$ | 154 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 167 |
| $18: 15$ | 138 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 152 |
| $18: 30$ | 144 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 157 |
| 18:45 | 142 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 152 |
| H/TOT | 578 | 35 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 628 |
| P/TOT | 1787 | 125 | 10 | 11 | 15 | 3 | 1951 |


| FROM ARM A <br> ABERCORN ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 83 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 99 |
| 109 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 122 |
| 107 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 125 |
| 129 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 151 |
| 428 | 48 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 497 |
| 123 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 140 |
| 129 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 139 |
| 140 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 155 |
| 138 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 154 |
| 530 | 46 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 588 |
| 135 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 148 |
| 130 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 144 |
| 139 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 149 |
| 136 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 146 |
| 540 | 34 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 587 |
| 1498 | 128 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 1672 |

TO ARM A IS TOTAL OF MOVEMENTS $\mathbf{4 , 5 , 1 0 , 1 5}$
FROM ARM A IS TOTAL OF MOVEMENTS 1, 2, 3, 4

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 3$
DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | TO ARM B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 7:00 | 45 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 58 |
| 7:15 | 60 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 79 |
| 7:30 | 82 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 103 |
| 7:45 | 81 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 103 |
| H/TOT | 268 | 53 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 343 |
| 8:00 | 107 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 123 |
| 8:15 | 115 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 135 |
| 8:30 | 85 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 99 |
| 8:45 | 92 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 111 |
| H/TOT | 399 | 36 | 10 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 468 |
| 9:00 | 105 | 18 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 132 |
| 9:15 | 77 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 94 |
| 9:30 | 68 | 16 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 88 |
| 9:45 | 68 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 87 |
| H/TOT | 318 | 50 | 14 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 401 |
| P/TOT | 985 | 139 | 31 | 34 | 9 | 14 | 1212 |


| FROM ARM B <br> WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 98 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 128 |
| 138 | 23 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 166 |
| 152 | 29 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 192 |
| 132 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 149 |
| 520 | 81 | 11 | 12 | 4 | 7 | 635 |
| 141 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 162 |
| 131 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 147 |
| 65 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 75 |
| 108 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 123 |
| 445 | 46 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 507 |
| 124 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 145 |
| 104 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 125 |
| 115 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 134 |
| 86 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 104 |
| 429 | 50 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 508 |
| 1394 | 177 | 28 | 27 | 12 | 12 | 1650 |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: 3
DATE: $\quad 18 / 06 / 2014$
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | TO ARM B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 16:00 | 115 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 135 |
| 16:15 | 106 | 18 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 135 |
| 16:30 | 128 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 148 |
| 16:45 | 121 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 149 |
| H/TOT | 470 | 58 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 13 | 567 |
| 17:00 | 119 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 140 |
| 17:15 | 136 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 160 |
| 17:30 | 150 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 176 |
| 17:45 | 145 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 164 |
| H/TOT | 550 | 66 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 640 |
| 18:00 | 123 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 144 |
| 18:15 | 142 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 166 |
| 18:30 | 139 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 157 |
| 18:45 | 152 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 178 |
| H/TOT | 556 | 60 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 645 |
| P/TOT | 1576 | 184 | 20 | 22 | 17 | 33 | 1852 |


| FROM ARM B <br> WEMBOROUGH ROAD (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 100 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 119 |
| 101 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 122 |
| 103 | 25 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 133 |
| 118 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 138 |
| 422 | 66 | 13 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 512 |
| 122 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 137 |
| 143 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 167 |
| 107 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 118 |
| 132 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 145 |
| 504 | 47 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 567 |
| 116 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 133 |
| 123 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 135 |
| 105 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 117 |
| 128 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 143 |
| 472 | 35 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 528 |
| 1398 | 148 | 19 | 16 | 19 | 7 | 1607 |

TO ARM B IS TOTAL OF MOVEMENTS 3, 8, 9, 14
FROM ARM B IS TOTAL OF MOVEMENTS 5, 6, 7, 8

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: 3
DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | TO ARM C <br> ST. ANDREWS DRIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
|  | 67 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 75 |
|  | 80 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 93 |
|  | 126 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 143 |
|  | 107 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 121 |
|  | 380 | 45 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 432 |
|  | 136 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 144 |
|  | 122 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 130 |
| $8: 30$ | 80 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 88 |
| $8: 45$ | 90 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| H/TOT | 428 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 458 |
| $9: 00$ | 118 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 128 |
| $9: 15$ | 79 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| $9: 30$ | 66 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 |
| 9:45 | 61 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 72 |
| H/TOT | 324 | 32 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 362 |
| P/TOT | 1132 | 98 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 1252 |


| FROM ARM C <br> ST. ANDREWS DRIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 34 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 40 |
| 63 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 72 |
| 73 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 98 |
| 88 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| 258 | 40 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 305 |
| 82 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 86 |
| 90 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| 94 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 81 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 87 |
| 347 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 368 |
| 56 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 58 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 63 |
| 42 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| 63 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| 219 | 13 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 240 |
| 824 | 68 | 5 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 913 |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: 3
DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | TO ARM C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ST. ANDREWS DRIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 16:00 | 61 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 70 |
| 16:15 | 75 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 85 |
| 16:30 | 71 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 85 |
| 16:45 | 92 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 103 |
| H/TOT | 299 | 34 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 343 |
| 17:00 | 80 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 91 |
| 17:15 | 94 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 |
| 17:30 | 95 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 103 |
| 17:45 | 85 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| H/TOT | 354 | 22 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 386 |
| 18:00 | 97 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 105 |
| 18:15 | 96 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 105 |
| 18:30 | 84 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
| 18:45 | 96 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 98 |
| H/TOT | 373 | 19 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 398 |
| P/TOT | 1026 | 75 | 3 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 1127 |


| FROM ARM C <br> ST. ANDREWS DRIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 102 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 108 |
| 94 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 104 |
| 70 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 84 |
| 85 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| 351 | 26 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 385 |
| 89 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| 91 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 95 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 101 |
| 92 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 |
| 367 | 20 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 393 |
| 81 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 91 |
| 77 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| 77 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
| 70 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 |
| 305 | 22 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 332 |
| 1023 | 68 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 1110 |

TO ARM C IS TOTAL OF MOVEMENTS $2,7,12,13$
FROM ARM C IS TOTAL OF MOVEMENTS $9,10,11,12$

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: $\quad 3$
DATE: 18/06/2014
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | TO ARM D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 7:00 | 112 | 27 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 150 |
| 7:15 | 142 | 21 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 170 |
| 7:30 | 148 | 22 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 181 |
| 7:45 | 136 | 14 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 155 |
| H/TOT | 538 | 84 | 9 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 656 |
| 8:00 | 133 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 151 |
| 8:15 | 146 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 162 |
| 8:30 | 98 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 |
| 8:45 | 124 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 136 |
| H/TOT | 501 | 36 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 553 |
| 9:00 | 105 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 126 |
| 9:15 | 99 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 117 |
| 9:30 | 104 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 125 |
| 9:45 | 109 | 14 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 130 |
| H/TOT | 417 | 56 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 498 |
| P/TOT | 1456 | 176 | 25 | 28 | 12 | 10 | 1707 |


| FROM ARM D <br> WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 51 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 71 |
| 81 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 106 |
| 123 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 148 |
| 125 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 143 |
| 380 | 69 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 468 |
| 141 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 161 |
| 146 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 169 |
| 114 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 131 |
| 122 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 140 |
| 523 | 44 | 12 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 601 |
| 144 | 20 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 172 |
| 83 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 104 |
| 84 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 103 |
| 80 | 15 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 104 |
| 391 | 61 | 15 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 483 |
| 1294 | 174 | 33 | 30 | 7 | 14 | 1552 |

## JOB NAME: WHITCHURCH FIELDS

SITE: 3
DATE: $\quad 18 / 06 / 2014$
LOCATION: ABERCORN ROAD / WEMBOROUGH ROAD / ST. ANDREWS DRIVE
DAY: WEDNESDAY

| TIME | TO ARM D <br> WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 16:00 | 113 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 133 |
| 16:15 | 118 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 138 |
| 16:30 | 106 | 20 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 133 |
| 16:45 | 121 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 143 |
| H/TOT | 458 | 64 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 547 |
| 17:00 | 116 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 131 |
| 17:15 | 142 | 21 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 168 |
| 17:30 | 123 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 138 |
| 17:45 | 138 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 154 |
| H/TOT | 519 | 55 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 591 |
| 18:00 | 130 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 149 |
| 18:15 | 132 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 145 |
| 18:30 | 115 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 125 |
| 18:45 | 121 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 135 |
| H/TOT | 498 | 40 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 554 |
| P/TOT | 1475 | 159 | 23 | 16 | 12 | 7 | 1692 |


| FROM ARM D <br> WEMBOROUGH ROAD (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | LGV | HGV | PSV | MCL | PCL | TOT |
| 156 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 178 |
| 143 | 19 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 173 |
| 163 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 192 |
| 144 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 170 |
| 606 | 70 | 8 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 713 |
| 165 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 189 |
| 174 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 198 |
| 166 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 190 |
| 146 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 165 |
| 651 | 66 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 742 |
| 172 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 193 |
| 178 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 204 |
| 161 | 16 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 182 |
| 177 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 199 |
| 688 | 63 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 778 |
| 1945 | 199 | 20 | 23 | 18 | 28 | 2233 |

TO ARM D IS TOTAL OF MOVEMENTS $\mathbf{1 , 6 , 1 1 , 1 6}$
FROM ARM D IS TOTAL OF MOVEMENTS 13, 14, 15, 16

## APPENDIX 6

MTP Results Summary
MTP Results Summary

## User and Project Details

| Project: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Title: |  |
| Location: | 2015-06 Whitchurch Lane - Wemborough Road - Honeypot Lane - Marsh Lane <br> 14-042.Isg3x |
| File name: |  |
| Author: |  |
| Company: |  |
| Address: |  |
| Notes: |  |

## Phase Diagram



Phase Input Data

| Phase Name | Phase Type | Assoc. Phase | Street Min | Cont Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| B | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| C | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| D | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| E | Pedestrian |  | 7 | 7 |
| F | Pedestrian |  | 7 | 7 |

## MTP Results Summary

## Phase Intergreens Matrix



## Stage Diagram



## Phase Delays

| Term. Stage | Start Stage | Phase | Type | Value | Cont value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| There are no Phase Delays defined |  |  |  |  |  |

Scenario 1: 'AM Peak Surveyed' (FG1: 'AM Peak Surveyed', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram


## Lane Input Data



MTP Results Summary
Give-Way Lane Input Data

| Junction: Unnamed Junction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Movement | Max <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Min <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Opposing Lane | Opp. Lane Coeff. | Opp. Mvmnts. | Right <br> Turn Storage (PCU) | NonBlocking Storage (PCU) | RTF | Right Turn Move up (s) | Max <br> Turns in Intergreen (PCU) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Whitchurch } \\ \text { Lane) } \end{gathered}$ | 8/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 3/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| 2/2 <br> (Honeypot Lane) | 5/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 4/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
|  |  |  |  | 4/2 | 1.09 | All |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (Wemborough } \\ \text { Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 6/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 1/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ \text { (Marsh Lane) } \end{gathered}$ | 7/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 / 1 \\ & 2 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \\ & 1.09 \end{aligned}$ | All <br> All | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |

## Traffic Flow Groups

| Flow Group | Start Time | End Time | Duration | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1: 'AM Peak Surveyed' | $07: 45$ | $08: 45$ | $01: 00$ |  |

## Traffic Flows, Actual

Actual Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 143 | 324 | 66 | 533 |  |
|  | B | 224 | 0 | 80 | 373 | 677 |  |
|  | C | 452 | 106 | 0 | 53 | 611 |  |
|  | D | 118 | 516 | 114 | 0 | 748 |  |
|  | Tot. | 794 | 765 | 518 | 492 | 2569 |  |

## MTP Results Summary

## Network Results




Scenario 2: 'PM Peak Surveyed' (FG2: 'PM Peak Surveyed', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram


## Lane Input Data



MTP Results Summary
Give-Way Lane Input Data

| Junction: Unnamed Junction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Movement | Max <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Min <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Opposing Lane | Opp. Lane Coeff. | Opp. Mvmnts. | Right <br> Turn Storage (PCU) | NonBlocking Storage (PCU) | RTF | Right Turn Move up (s) | Max <br> Turns in Intergreen (PCU) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Whitchurch } \\ \text { Lane) } \end{gathered}$ | 8/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 3/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ \text { (Honeypot } \\ \text { Lane) } \end{gathered}$ | 5/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 4/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
|  |  |  |  | 4/2 | 1.09 | All |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (Wemborough } \end{gathered}$ Road) | 6/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 1/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ \text { (Marsh Lane) } \end{gathered}$ | 7/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 / 1 \\ & 2 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \\ & 1.09 \end{aligned}$ | All <br> All | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |

## Traffic Flow Groups

| Flow Group | Start Time | End Time | Duration | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2: 'PM Peak Surveyed' | $16: 15$ | $17: 15$ | $01: 00$ |  |

## Traffic Flows, Actual

Actual Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 122 | 325 | 81 | 528 |  |
|  | B | 194 | 0 | 190 | 372 | 756 |  |
|  | C | 377 | 134 | 0 | 58 | 569 |  |
|  | D | 62 | 350 | 70 | 0 | 482 |  |
|  | Tot. | 633 | 606 | 585 | 511 | 2335 |  |

## MTP Results Summary <br> \section*{Network Results}

| Item | Lane Description | Lane <br> Type | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg } \\ & \text { Sat } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Mean <br> Max <br> Queue (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 79.8\% | 199 | 258 | 22 | 30.8 | - |
| Unnamed Junction | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 79.8\% | 199 | 258 | 22 | 30.8 | - |
| 1/1 | Whitchurch Lane Left Ahead | U | D |  | 1 | 30 | - | 447 | 1796 | 619 | 72.3\% | - | - | - | 4.5 | 11.0 |
| 1/2 | Whitchurch Lane Right | 0 | D |  | 1 | 30 | - | 81 | 1904 | 198 | 41.0\% | 81 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.7 |
| 2/1 | Honeypot Lane Left Ahead | U | B |  | 1 | 22 | - | 356 | 1786 | 456 | 78.0\% | - | - | - | 4.8 | 9.9 |
| 2/2 | Honeypot Lane Right Ahead | 0 | B |  | 1 | 22 | - | 400 | 1961 | 501 | 79.8\% | 0 | 190 | 4 | 5.4 | 11.2 |
| 3/1 | Wemborough Road Ahead Left | U | C |  | 1 | 30 | - | 435 | 1860 | 641 | 67.9\% | - | - | - | 4.1 | 10.4 |
| 3/2 | Wemborough Road Right | 0 | C |  | 1 | 30 | - | 134 | 1875 | 177 | 75.8\% | 118 | 0 | 16 | 3.0 | 4.6 |
| 4/1 | Marsh Lane Left Ahead | U | A |  | 1 | 14 | - | 227 | 1809 | 302 | 75.3\% | - | - | - | 3.7 | 6.8 |
| 4/2 | Marsh Lane Ahead Right | 0 | A |  | 1 | 14 | - | 255 | 1981 | 330 | 77.2\% | 0 | 68 | 2 | 4.2 | 7.7 |
| C1 |  |  |  | PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): PRC Over All Lanes (\%): |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12.8 \\ & 12.8 \end{aligned}$ | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr) Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr) |  |  | : $\begin{aligned} & 30.76 \\ & 30.76\end{aligned} \quad$ Cycle Time (s): $\quad 90$ |  |  |  |  |  |



Scenario 3: 'AM Peak Base' (FG3: 'AM Peak Base', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram


## Lane Input Data



MTP Results Summary
Give-Way Lane Input Data

| Junction: Unnamed Junction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Movement | Max <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Min <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Opposing Lane | Opp. Lane Coeff. | Opp. Mvmnts. | Right <br> Turn Storage (PCU) | NonBlocking Storage (PCU) | RTF | Right Turn Move up (s) | Max <br> Turns in Intergreen (PCU) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { (Whitchurch } \\ \text { Lane) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 8/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 3/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| 2/2 <br> (Honeypot Lane) | 5/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 4/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
|  |  |  |  | 4/2 | 1.09 | All |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (Wemborough } \\ \text { Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 6/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 1/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ \text { (Marsh Lane) } \end{gathered}$ | 7/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 / 1 \\ & 2 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \\ & 1.09 \end{aligned}$ | All <br> All | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |

## Traffic Flow Groups

| Flow Group | Start Time | End Time | Duration | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3: 'AM Peak Base' | $07: 45$ | $08: 45$ | $01: 00$ |  |

## Traffic Flows, Actual

Actual Flow:

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 152 | 345 | 70 | 567 |  |
|  | B | 238 | 0 | 85 | 397 | 720 |  |
|  | C | 481 | 113 | 0 | 56 | 650 |  |
|  | D | 125 | 550 | 121 | 0 | 796 |  |
|  | Tot. | 844 | 815 | 551 | 523 | 2733 |  |


| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green <br> (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg } \\ & \text { Sat } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Mean Max Queue (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 95.1\% | 121 | 347 | 74 | 53.2 | - |
| Unnamed Junction | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 95.1\% | 121 | 347 | 74 | 53.2 | - |
| 1/1 | Whitchurch Lane Left Ahead | U | D |  | 1 | 29 | - | 497 | 1788 | 596 | 83.4\% | - | - | - | 6.2 | 13.9 |
| 1/2 | Whitchurch Lane Right | 0 | D |  | 1 | 29 | - | 70 | 1904 | 114 | 61.6\% | 53 | 0 | 17 | 1.6 | 2.0 |
| 2/1 | Honeypot Lane Left Ahead | U | B |  | 1 | 18 | - | 350 | 1839 | 388 | 90.2\% | - | - | - | 7.2 | 12.3 |
| 2/2 | Honeypot Lane Right Ahead | 0 | B |  | 1 | 18 | - | 370 | 1935 | 408 | 90.6\% | 0 | 233 | 5 | 7.5 | 12.9 |
| 3/1 | Wemborough Road Ahead Left | U | C |  | 1 | 29 | - | 537 | 1865 | 622 | 86.4\% | - | - | - | 7.2 | 15.5 |
| 3/2 | Wemborough Road Right | 0 | C |  | 1 | 29 | - | 113 | 1875 | 128 | 88.1\% | 68 | 0 | 45 | 4.1 | 5.5 |
| 4/1 | Marsh Lane Left Ahead | U | A |  | 1 | 19 | - | 378 | 1801 | 400 | 94.4\% | - | - | - | 9.3 | 14.9 |
| 4/2 | Marsh Lane Ahead Right | 0 | A |  | 1 | 19 | - | 418 | 1978 | 440 | 95.1\% | 0 | 115 | 6 | 10.2 | 16.4 |
| C1 |  |  |  | PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): <br> PRC Over All Lanes (\%): |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -5.7 \\ & -5.7 \end{aligned}$ | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 53.22 & \text { Cycle Time (s): } \quad 90 \\ 53.22 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |



Scenario 4: 'PM Peak Base' (FG4: 'PM Peak Base', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram


## Lane Input Data



MTP Results Summary
Give-Way Lane Input Data

| Junction: Unnamed Junction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Movement | Max <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Min <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Opposing Lane | Opp. Lane Coeff. | Opp. Mvmnts. | Right <br> Turn Storage (PCU) | NonBlocking Storage (PCU) | RTF | Right Turn Move up (s) | Max <br> Turns in Intergreen (PCU) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { (Whitchurch } \\ \text { Lane) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 8/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 3/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| 2/2 <br> (Honeypot Lane) | 5/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 4/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
|  |  |  |  | 4/2 | 1.09 | All |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (Wemborough } \\ \text { Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 6/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 1/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ \text { (Marsh Lane) } \end{gathered}$ | 7/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 / 1 \\ & 2 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \\ & 1.09 \end{aligned}$ | All <br> All | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |

## Traffic Flow Groups

| Flow Group | Start Time | End Time | Duration | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4: 'PM Peak Base' | $16: 15$ | $17: 15$ | $01: 00$ |  |

## Traffic Flows, Actual

Actual Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 129 | 346 | 86 | 561 |  |
|  | B | 207 | 0 | 202 | 396 | 805 |  |
|  | C | 401 | 142 | 0 | 62 | 605 |  |
|  | D | 66 | 382 | 74 | 0 | 522 |  |
|  | Tot. | 674 | 653 | 622 | 544 | 2493 |  |

## MTP Results Summary

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane <br> Type | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand <br> Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg } \\ & \text { Sat } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Mean Max Queue (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 88.5\% | 199 | 275 | 36 | 37.6 | - |
| Unnamed Junction | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 88.5\% | 199 | 275 | 36 | 37.6 | - |
| 1/1 | Whitchurch Lane Left Ahead | U | D |  | 1 | 31 | - | 475 | 1797 | 639 | 74.3\% | - | - | - | 4.8 | 11.7 |
| 1/2 | Whitchurch Lane Right | 0 | D |  | 1 | 31 | - | 86 | 1904 | 190 | 45.2\% | 86 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 1.8 |
| 2/1 | Honeypot Lane Left Ahead | U | B |  | 1 | 21 | - | 381 | 1787 | 437 | 87.2\% | - | - | - | 6.5 | 12.2 |
| 2/2 | Honeypot Lane Right Ahead | 0 | B |  | 1 | 21 | - | 424 | 1960 | 479 | 88.5\% | 0 | 202 | 5 | 7.3 | 13.6 |
| 3/1 | Wemborough Road Ahead Left | U | C |  | 1 | 31 | - | 463 | 1860 | 661 | 70.0\% | - | - | - | 4.4 | 11.1 |
| 3/2 | Wemborough Road Right | 0 | C |  | 1 | 31 | - | 142 | 1875 | 172 | 82.5\% | 113 | 0 | 29 | 3.7 | 5.5 |
| 4/1 | Marsh Lane Left Ahead | U | A |  | 1 | 14 | - | 247 | 1810 | 302 | 81.9\% | - | - | - | 4.6 | 8.0 |
| 4/2 | Marsh Lane Ahead Right | 0 | A |  | 1 | 14 | - | 275 | 1982 | 330 | 83.2\% | 0 | 72 | 2 | 5.1 | 8.9 |
| C1 |  |  |  | PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): <br> PRC Over All Lanes (\%): |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \\ & 1.7 \end{aligned}$ | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr) Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr) |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 37.56 \\ 37.56 \end{array} \quad \text { Cycle Time (s): } \quad 90$ |  |  |  |  |  |



Scenario 5: 'AM Peak Base + CD' (FG5: 'AM Peak Base + CD', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram


## Lane Input Data



MTP Results Summary
Give-Way Lane Input Data

| Junction: Unnamed Junction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Movement | Max <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Min <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Opposing Lane | Opp. Lane Coeff. | Opp. Mvmnts. | Right <br> Turn Storage (PCU) | NonBlocking Storage (PCU) | RTF | Right Turn Move up (s) | Max <br> Turns in Intergreen (PCU) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { (Whitchurch } \\ \text { Lane) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 8/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 3/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| 2/2 <br> (Honeypot Lane) | 5/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 4/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
|  |  |  |  | 4/2 | 1.09 | All |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (Wemborough } \\ \text { Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 6/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 1/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ \text { (Marsh Lane) } \end{gathered}$ | 7/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 / 1 \\ & 2 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \\ & 1.09 \end{aligned}$ | All <br> All | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |

## Traffic Flow Groups

| Flow Group | Start Time | End Time | Duration | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5: 'AM Peak Base + CD' | $07: 45$ | $08: 45$ | $01: 00$ |  |

## Traffic Flows, Actual

Actual Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 152 | 363 | 70 | 585 |  |
|  | B | 238 | 0 | 103 | 397 | 738 |  |
|  | C | 499 | 131 | 0 | 74 | 704 |  |
|  | D | 125 | 550 | 139 | 0 | 814 |  |
|  | Tot. | 862 | 833 | 605 | 541 | 2841 |  |


| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Full Phase | Arrow <br> Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Mean Max Queue (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 101.7\% | 109 | 344 | 122 | 70.3 | - |
| Unnamed Junction | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 101.7\% | 109 | 344 | 122 | 70.3 | - |
| 1/1 | Whitchurch Lane Left Ahead | U | D |  | 1 | 30 | - | 515 | 1791 | 617 | 83.5\% | - | - | - | 6.3 | 14.1 |
| 1/2 | Whitchurch Lane Right | 0 | D |  | 1 | 30 | - | 70 | 1904 | 101 | 69.2\% | 41 | 0 | 29 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| 2/1 | Honeypot Lane Left Ahead | U | B |  | 1 | 17 | - | 358 | 1831 | 366 | 97.8\% | - | - | - | 11.2 | 16.5 |
| 2/2 | Honeypot Lane Right Ahead | 0 | B |  | 1 | 17 | - | 380 | 1937 | 387 | 98.1\% | 0 | 216 | 22 | 11.9 | 17.5 |
| 3/1 | Wemborough Road Ahead Left | U | C |  | 1 | 30 | - | 573 | 1860 | 641 | 89.4\% | - | - | - | 8.3 | 17.3 |
| 3/2 | Wemborough Road Right | 0 | C |  | 1 | 30 | - | 131 | 1875 | 129 | 101.7\% | 68 | 0 | 60 | 8.0 | 9.6 |
| 4/1 | Marsh Lane Left Ahead | U | A |  | 1 | 19 | - | 387 | 1802 | 400 | 96.6\% | - | - | - | 10.8 | 16.6 |
| 4/2 | Marsh Lane Ahead Right | 0 | A |  | 1 | 19 | - | 427 | 1973 | 438 | 97.4\% | 0 | 128 | 11 | 12.0 | 18.4 |
| C1 |  |  |  | PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): <br> PRC Over All Lanes (\%): |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -13.0 \\ & -13.0 \end{aligned}$ | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr) Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr) |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 70.26 \\ 70.26 \end{array} \quad \text { Cycle Time (s): } \quad 90$ |  |  |  |  |  |



Scenario 6: 'PM Peak Base + CD' (FG6: 'PM Peak Base + CD', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram


## Lane Input Data

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { Junction: Unnamed Junction } \\ \hline \text { Lane } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Lane } \\ \text { Type }\end{array} & \text { Phases } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Start } \\ \text { Disp. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { End } \\ \text { Disp. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Physical } \\ \text { Length } \\ \text { (PCU) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Sat } \\ \text { Flow } \\ \text { Type }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Def User } \\ \text { Saturation } \\ \text { Flow } \\ \text { (PCU/Hr) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Lane } \\ \text { Width } \\ \text { (m) }\end{array} & \text { Gradient }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Nearside } \\ \text { Lane }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Turns }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Turning } \\ \text { Radius } \\ \text { (m) }\end{array}\right]$

MTP Results Summary
Give-Way Lane Input Data

| Junction: Unnamed Junction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Movement | Max <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Min <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Opposing Lane | Opp. Lane Coeff. | Opp. Mvmnts. | Right <br> Turn Storage (PCU) | NonBlocking Storage (PCU) | RTF | Right Turn Move up (s) | Max <br> Turns in Intergreen (PCU) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { (Whitchurch } \\ \text { Lane) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 8/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 3/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| 2/2 <br> (Honeypot Lane) | 5/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 4/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
|  |  |  |  | 4/2 | 1.09 | All |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (Wemborough } \\ \text { Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 6/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 1/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ \text { (Marsh Lane) } \end{gathered}$ | 7/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 / 1 \\ & 2 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \\ & 1.09 \end{aligned}$ | All <br> All | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |

## Traffic Flow Groups

| Flow Group | Start Time | End Time | Duration | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6: 'PM Peak Base + CD' | $16: 15$ | $17: 15$ | $01: 00$ |  |

## Traffic Flows, Actual

Actual Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 129 | 364 | 86 | 579 |  |
|  | B | 207 | 0 | 220 | 396 | 823 |  |
|  | C | 419 | 160 | 0 | 80 | 659 |  |
|  | D | 66 | 382 | 92 | 0 | 540 |  |
|  | Tot. | 692 | 671 | 676 | 562 | 2601 |  |

## MTP Results Summary

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane <br> Type | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg } \\ & \text { Sat } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Mean Max Queue (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 93.0\% | 198 | 288 | 59 | 45.9 | - |
| Unnamed Junction | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 93.0\% | 198 | 288 | 59 | 45.9 | - |
| 1/1 | Whitchurch Lane Left Ahead | U | D |  | 1 | 32 | - | 493 | 1799 | 660 | 74.7\% | - | - | - | 4.9 | 12.1 |
| 1/2 | Whitchurch Lane Right | 0 | D |  | 1 | 32 | - | 86 | 1904 | 179 | 47.9\% | 86 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 1.9 |
| 2/1 | Honeypot Lane Left Ahead | U | B |  | 1 | 21 | - | 389 | 1781 | 435 | 89.4\% | - | - | - | 7.2 | 13.0 |
| 2/2 | Honeypot Lane Right Ahead | 0 | B |  | 1 | 21 | - | 434 | 1962 | 480 | 90.5\% | 0 | 202 | 5 | 8.0 | 14.5 |
| 3/1 | Wemborough Road Ahead Left | U | C |  | 1 | 32 | - | 499 | 1855 | 680 | 73.4\% | - | - | - | 4.8 | 12.0 |
| 3/2 | Wemborough Road Right | 0 | C |  | 1 | 32 | - | 160 | 1875 | 172 | 93.0\% | 112 | 0 | 48 | 5.8 | 7.9 |
| 4/1 | Marsh Lane Left Ahead | U | A |  | 1 | 13 | - | 257 | 1811 | 282 | 91.2\% | - | - | - | 6.6 | 10.2 |
| 4/2 | Marsh Lane Ahead Right | 0 | A |  | 1 | 13 | - | 283 | 1973 | 307 | 92.2\% | 0 | 86 | 6 | 7.3 | 11.3 |
| C1 |  |  |  | PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): <br> PRC Over All Lanes (\%): |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -3.4 \\ & -3.4 \end{aligned}$ | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr) Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr) |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 45.93 \\ 45.93 \end{array} \quad \text { Cycle Time (s): } \quad 90$ |  |  |  |  |  |



Scenario 7: 'AM Peak Base + CD + Dev' (FG7: 'AM Peak Base + CD + Dev', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram


## Lane Input Data



MTP Results Summary
Give-Way Lane Input Data

| Junction: Unnamed Junction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Movement | Max <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Min <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Opposing Lane | Opp. Lane Coeff. | Opp. Mvmnts. | Right <br> Turn Storage (PCU) | NonBlocking Storage (PCU) | RTF | Right Turn Move up (s) | Max <br> Turns in Intergreen (PCU) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { (Whitchurch } \\ \text { Lane) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 8/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 3/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| 2/2 <br> (Honeypot Lane) | 5/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 4/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
|  |  |  |  | 4/2 | 1.09 | All |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (Wemborough } \\ \text { Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 6/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 1/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ \text { (Marsh Lane) } \end{gathered}$ | 7/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 / 1 \\ & 2 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \\ & 1.09 \end{aligned}$ | All <br> All | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |

## Traffic Flow Groups

| Flow Group | Start Time | End Time | Duration | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7: 'AM Peak Base + CD + Dev' | $07: 45$ | $08: 45$ | $01: 00$ |  |

## Traffic Flows, Actual

Actual Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 152 | 388 | 70 | 610 |  |
|  | B | 238 | 0 | 147 | 397 | 782 |  |
|  | C | 506 | 144 | 0 | 89 | 739 |  |
|  | D | 125 | 550 | 186 | 0 | 861 |  |
|  | Tot. | 869 | 846 | 721 | 556 | 2992 |  |


| Item | Lane Description | Lane <br> Type | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand <br> Flow <br> (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Mean Max Queue (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 110.3\% | 129 | 344 | 122 | 135.3 | - |
| Unnamed Junction | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 110.3\% | 129 | 344 | 122 | 135.3 | - |
| 1/1 | Whitchurch Lane Left Ahead | U | D |  | 1 | 32 | - | 540 | 1794 | 658 | 82.1\% | - | - | - | 6.1 | 14.4 |
| 1/2 | Whitchurch Lane Right | 0 | D |  | 1 | 32 | - | 70 | 1904 | 115 | 60.8\% | 53 | 0 | 17 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| 2/1 | Honeypot Lane Left Ahead | U | B |  | 1 | 16 | - | 377 | 1812 | 342 | 110.1\% | - | - | - | 26.8 | 32.0 |
| 2/2 | Honeypot Lane Right Ahead | 0 | B |  | 1 | 16 | - | 405 | 1944 | 367 | 110.3\% | 0 | 190 | 25 | 28.8 | 34.3 |
| 3/1 | Wemborough Road Ahead Left | U | C |  | 1 | 32 | - | 595 | 1857 | 681 | 87.4\% | - | - | - | 7.6 | 16.9 |
| 3/2 | Wemborough Road Right | 0 | C |  | 1 | 32 | - | 144 | 1875 | 138 | 104.5\% | 77 | 0 | 61 | 9.8 | 11.5 |
| 4/1 | Marsh Lane Left Ahead | U | A |  | 1 | 18 | - | 412 | 1805 | 381 | 108.1\% | - | - | - | 25.9 | 31.6 |
| 4/2 | Marsh Lane Ahead Right | 0 | A |  | 1 | 18 | - | 449 | 1959 | 414 | 108.6\% | 0 | 153 | 18 | 28.7 | 34.8 |
| C1 |  |  |  | PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): PRC Over All Lanes (\%): |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -22.5 \\ & -22.5 \end{aligned}$ | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr) |  |  | 135.31135.31 $\quad$ Cycle Time (s): $\quad 90$ |  |  |  |  |  |



Scenario 8: 'PM Peak Base + CD + Dev' (FG8: 'PM Peak Base + CD + Dev', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram


## Lane Input Data



MTP Results Summary
Give-Way Lane Input Data

| Junction: Unnamed Junction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Movement | Max <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Min <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Opposing Lane | Opp. Lane Coeff. | Opp. Mvmnts. | Right <br> Turn Storage (PCU) | NonBlocking Storage (PCU) | RTF | Right Turn Move up (s) | Max <br> Turns in Intergreen (PCU) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { (Whitchurch } \\ \text { Lane) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 8/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 3/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| 2/2 <br> (Honeypot Lane) | 5/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 4/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
|  |  |  |  | 4/2 | 1.09 | All |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (Wemborough } \\ \text { Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 6/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 1/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ \text { (Marsh Lane) } \end{gathered}$ | 7/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 / 1 \\ & 2 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \\ & 1.09 \end{aligned}$ | All <br> All | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |

## Traffic Flow Groups

| Flow Group | Start Time | End Time | Duration | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8: 'PM Peak Base + CD + Dev' | $16: 15$ | $17: 15$ | $01: 00$ |  |

## Traffic Flows, Actual

Actual Flow:

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 129 | 366 | 86 | 581 |  |
|  | B | 207 | 0 | 225 | 396 | 828 |  |
|  | C | 431 | 182 | 0 | 103 | 716 |  |
|  | D | 66 | 382 | 96 | 0 | 544 |  |
|  | Tot. | 704 | 693 | 687 | 585 | 2669 |  |


| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg } \\ & \text { Sat } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Mean <br> Max <br> Queue (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 97.9\% | 212 | 285 | 74 | 53.5 | - |
| Unnamed Junction | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 97.9\% | 212 | 285 | 74 | 53.5 | - |
| 1/1 | Whitchurch Lane Left Ahead | U | D |  | 1 | 33 | - | 495 | 1799 | 680 | 72.8\% | - | - | - | 4.6 | 11.9 |
| 1/2 | Whitchurch Lane Right | 0 | D |  | 1 | 33 | - | 86 | 1904 | 166 | 51.9\% | 86 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| 2/1 | Honeypot Lane Left Ahead | U | B |  | 1 | 20 | - | 392 | 1779 | 415 | 94.4\% | - | - | - | 9.4 | 15.3 |
| 2/2 | Honeypot Lane Right Ahead | 0 | B |  | 1 | 20 | - | 436 | 1962 | 458 | 95.2\% | 0 | 197 | 10 | 10.4 | 17.0 |
| 3/1 | Wemborough Road Ahead Left | U | C |  | 1 | 33 | - | 534 | 1849 | 699 | 76.4\% | - | - | - | 5.2 | 13.2 |
| 3/2 | Wemborough Road Right | 0 | C |  | 1 | 33 | - | 182 | 1875 | 186 | 97.9\% | 126 | 0 | 56 | 7.9 | 10.3 |
| 4/1 | Marsh Lane Left Ahead | U | A |  | 1 | 13 | - | 259 | 1812 | 282 | 91.9\% | - | - | - | 6.8 | 10.5 |
| 4/2 | Marsh Lane Ahead Right | 0 | A |  | 1 | 13 | - | 285 | 1971 | 307 | 93.0\% | 0 | 89 | 7 | 7.6 | 11.6 |
| C1 |  |  |  | PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): <br> PRC Over All Lanes (\%): |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -8.8 \\ & -8.8 \end{aligned}$ | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr) Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): |  |  | 53.5053.50 $\quad$ Cycle Time (s): $\quad 90$ |  |  |  |  |  |

MTP Results Summary
Network Layout Diagram


## APPENDIX 7
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TRL LIMITED
C) COPYRIGHT 2010

CAPACITIES, QUEUES, AND DELAYS AT 3 OR 4-ARM MAJOR/MINOR PRIORITY JUNCTIONS

PICADY 5.1 ANALYSIS PROGRAM
RELEASE 5.0 (JUNE 2010) (Patch 15 Apr 2011)

ADAPTED FROM PICADY/3 WHICH IS CROWN COPYRIGHT
BY PERMISSION OF THE CONTROLLER OF HMSO

FOR SALES AND DISTRIBUTION INFORMATION
PROGRAM ADVICE AND MAINTENANCE CONTACT: TRL SOFTWARE SALES
TEL: CROWTHORNE (01344) 770758, FAX: 770356
EMAIL: software@trl.co.uk

THE USER OF THIS COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR THE SOLUTION OF AN ENGINEERING PROBLEM IS IN NO WAY RELIEVED OF HIS/HER RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE CORRECTNESS OF THE SOLUTION

## RUN INFORMATION

RUN TITI

## MAJOR/MINOR JUNCTION CAPACITY AND DELAY

INPUT DATA


$$
\begin{array}{ccc} 
& I & \\
& I & \\
& I & \\
& I & \\
& I & \\
& I & \\
\text { MINOR } & \text { ROAD } & (A R M
\end{array}
$$


.SLOPES AND INTERCEPT
(NB:Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted)
I STREAM B-C STREAM A-C STREAM A-B I
I $763.23 \quad 0.25 \quad 0.10 \quad$ I

I Intercept For Slope For Opposing
I STREAM C-B
STREAM A-C
I
I
(NB These values do not allow for any site specific corrections)

TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA
-----------------------------------
I ARM I FLOW SCALE (\%) I

| I A I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| I B | I | 100 | I |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | $C$ | $I$ | 100 |  |

C I 100 I

Demand set: 2014 Surveyed AM
TIME PERIOD BEGINS 07.30 AND ENDS 09.00
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN.
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - $\quad 15$ MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA

| I |  |  | I | NUMBER OF | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  | I | RATE |  | F FLOW (VEH/MIN) |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | ARM |  | I | FLOW STARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALLING | I | PEAK | I | OF PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |
| I | ARM | A | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 8.26 | I | 12.39 | I | 8.26 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 1.01 | I | 1.52 | I | 1.01 | I |
| I | ARM | C |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 6.18 | I | 9.26 | I | 6.18 | I |


|  | nd set: | 2014 Surveyed AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  | TURNING PROPORTIONS I |  |  |  |  |
| I |  | I |  |  | TURNING COUNTS |  |  |  |  |
| I |  | I |  |  | (PERCENTAGE OF H.V.S) I |  |  |  |  |
| I |  | I FROM/TO I ARM A I ARM B I ARM C I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | TIME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 07.30-09.00 | I |  |  | I |  | 1 | I | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | A | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.165 I | 0.835 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 109.0 I | 552.0 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 3.3)I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | B | I | 0.494 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.506 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 40.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 41.0 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | C | I | 0.860 I | I | 0.140 I | 0.000 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 425.0 I | I | 69.0 I | 0.0 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 5.6)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |

TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA THE PERCENTAGE OF HEAVY VEHICLES VARIES OVER TURNING MOVEMENTS

## QUEUE AND DELAY INFORMATION FOR EACH 15 MIN TIME SEGMENT

FOR DEMAND SET
AND FOR TIME PERIOD
2014 Surveyed AM
1

| I I I | TIME | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFCC}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY <br> PER ARRIVING <br> VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 07.30-07.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | B-C | 0.51 | 10.58 | 0.049 |  | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.7 |  | 0.10 |
| I | B-A | 0.50 | 6.97 | 0.072 |  | 0.00 | 0.08 | 1.1 |  | 0.15 |
| I | C-AB | 1.45 | 13.07 | 0.111 |  | 0.00 | 0.21 | 3.2 |  | 0.09 |
| I | C-A | 4.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | A-B | 1.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | A-C | 6.93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| I I I | TIME | DEMAND <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I I I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 07.45-08.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | B-C | 0.61 | 10.15 | 0.061 |  | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.9 |  | 0.10 | I |
| I | B-A | 0.60 | 6.40 | 0.094 |  | 0.08 | 0.10 | 1.5 |  | 0.17 | I |
| I | C-AB | 1.94 | 13.45 | 0.144 |  | 0.21 | 0.31 | 4.6 |  | 0.09 | I |
| I | C-A | 5.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-B | 1.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 8.27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |


| I | TIME | DEMAND <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (RFC) } \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I I I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 08.00-08 | . 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | B-C | 0.75 | 9.54 | 0.079 |  | 0.06 | 0.08 | 1.2 |  | 0.11 | I |
| I | B-A | 0.73 | 5.62 | 0.131 |  | 0.10 | 0.15 | 2.1 |  | 0.20 | I |
| I | C-AB | 2.83 | 14.06 | 0.202 |  | 0.31 | 0.49 | 7.4 |  | 0.09 | I |
| I | C-A | 6.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-B | 2.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 10.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |


| I | TIME | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY <br> PER ARRIVING <br> VEHICLE (MIN) | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 08.15-08.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | B-C | 0.75 | 9.54 | 0.079 |  | 0.08 | 0.09 | 1.3 |  | 0.11 | I |
| I | B-A | 0.73 | 5.61 | 0.131 |  | 0.15 | 0.15 | 2.2 |  | 0.21 | I |
| I | C-AB | 2.84 | 14.06 | 0.202 |  | 0.49 | 0.49 | 7.5 |  | 0.09 | I |
| I | C-A | 6.23 |  |  |  |  | $230$ |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-B | 2.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 10.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |


| TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { START } \\ & \text { QUEUE } \\ & \text { (VEHS) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (VEH.MIN/ } \\ \text { TIME SEGMENT) } \end{gathered}$ | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY <br> PER ARRIVING <br> VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08.30-08.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-C | 0.61 | 10.15 | 0.061 |  | 0.09 | 0.06 | 1.0 |  | 0.10 |
| B-A | 0.60 | 6.40 | 0.094 |  | 0.15 | 0.10 | 1.6 |  | 0.17 |
| C-AB | 1.95 | 13.46 | 0.145 |  | 0.49 | 0.32 | 4.8 |  | 0.09 |
| C-A | 5.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-B | 1.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | 8.27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TIME | DEMAND (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08.45-09.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-C | 0.51 | 10.57 | 0.049 |  | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.8 |  | 0.10 |
| B-A | 0.50 | 6.97 | 0.072 |  | 0.10 | 0.08 | 1.2 |  | 0.15 |
| $C-A B$ | 1.46 | 13.08 | 0.111 |  | 0.32 | 0.22 | 3.3 |  | 0.09 |
| C-A | 4.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-B | 1.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | 6.93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*WARNING* NO MARGINAL ANALYSIS OF CAPACITIES AS MAJOR ROAD BLOCKING MAY OCCUR

| QUEUE FOR | AM B-C |
| :---: | :---: |
| TIME | NO. OF |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 07.45 | 0.1 |
| 08.00 | 0.1 |
| 08.15 | 0.1 |
| 08.30 | 0.1 |
| 08.45 | 0.1 |
| 09.00 | 0.1 |

QUEUE FOR STREAM B-A

| TIME | NO. OF |
| :--- | :---: |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 07.45 | 0.1 |
| 08.00 | 0.1 |
| 08.15 | 0.1 |
| 08.30 | 0.1 |
| 08.45 | 0.1 |
| 09.00 | 0.1 |

QUEUE FOR STREAM C-AB

| TIME | NO. OF |
| :--- | :---: |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 07.45 | 0.2 |
| 08.00 | 0.3 |
| 08.15 | 0.5 |
| 08.30 | 0.5 |
| 08.45 | 0.3 |
| 09.00 | 0.2 |


| QUEUEING DELAY INFORMATION OVER WHOLE PERIOD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | STREAM | I | TOTAL DEMAND |  | I | * QUEUEING * |  |  | I | INCLUSIVE |  | QUEUEING * | $\text { * } \begin{aligned} & I \\ & I \end{aligned}$ |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | * DEL | AY * |  | I | * D | LA |  |  |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I |  | I | (VEH) | (VEH/H) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I | (MIN) |  | N/VEH) | 1 |
| I | B-C | I | 56.4 | I $\quad 37.6$ | I | 6.0 | I | 0.11 | I | 6.0 | I | 0.11 | I |
| I | B-A | I | 55.1 | I $\quad 36.7$ | I | 9.8 | I | 0.18 | I | 9.8 | I | 0.18 | I |
| I | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{AB}$ | I | 187.0 | I 124.7 | I | 30.7 | I | 0.16 | I | 30.7 | I | 0.16 | I |
| I | C-A | I | 492.9 | I 328.6 | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |
| I | A-B | I | 150.0 | I 100.0 | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |
| I | A-C | I | 759.8 | I 506.5 | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |
| I | ALL | I | 1701.3 | I 1134.2 | I | 46.5 | I | 0.03 | I | 46.5 | I | 0.03 | I |

* DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD
* INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD * THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS

A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.
*******END OF RUN*******
. SLOPES AND INTERCEPT
(NB:Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted)

| I Intercept For Slope For Opposing | Slope For Opposing | I |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I STREAM B-C | STREAM A-C | STREAM A-B | I |  |
| I | 763.23 | 0.25 | 0.10 | I |

I Intercept For Slope For Opposing
I STREAM B-A

STREAM A-C Slope For Opposing | Slope For Opposing |
| :---: |
| STREAM A-B |

I Intercept For Slope For Opposing Slope For Opposing I
I STREAM C-B STREAM A-C STREAM A-B I

| I 689.79 | 0.23 | 0.23 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^1]
## TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA


-------------------------

| I A | I | 100 | I |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | B | I | 100 | I |
| I | C | I | 100 | I |

Demand set: 2014 Surveyed PM

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 16.00 AND ENDS 17.30

```
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - }90\mathrm{ MIN.
```

LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA



TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA THE PERCENTAGE OF HEAVY VEHICLES VARIES OVER TURNING MOVEMENTS

## QUEUE AND DELAY INFORMATION FOR EACH 15 MIN TIME SEGMENT

FOR DEMAND SET
AND FOR TIME PERIOD
2014 Surveyed PM
2


| TIME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEMAND } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | DEMAND/ CAPACITY (RFC) | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | ```DELAY \\ (VEH.MIN/ \\ TIME SEGMENT)``` | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.15-16.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-C | 0.30 | 10.41 | 0.029 |  | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.4 |  | 0.10 |
| B-A | 0.52 | 6.60 | 0.079 |  | 0.06 | 0.09 | 1.2 |  | 0.16 |
| $C-A B$ | 0.59 | 14.72 | 0.040 |  | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.9 |  | 0.07 |
| C-A | 7.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-B | 0.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | 7.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TIME | DEMAND <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.30-16.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-C | 0.37 | 9.87 | 0.037 |  | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.6 |  | 0.11 |
| B-A | 0.64 | 5.86 | 0.110 |  | 0.09 | 0.12 | 1.8 |  | 0.19 |
| $C-A B$ | 0.93 | 15.79 | 0.059 |  | 0.06 | 0.10 | 1.4 |  | 0.07 |
| C-A | 9.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-B | 0.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | 9.78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



*WARNING* NO MARGINAL ANALYSIS OF CAPACITIES AS MAJOR ROAD BLOCKING MAY OCCUR

| QUEUE FOR | M B-C |
| :---: | :---: |
| TIME | NO. OF |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 16.15 | 0.0 |
| 16.30 | 0.0 |
| 16.45 | 0.0 |
| 17.00 | 0.0 |
| 17.15 | 0.0 |
| 17.30 | 0.0 |

QUEUE FOR STREAM B-A

| TIME | NO. OF |
| :--- | :--- |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 16.15 | 0.1 |
| 16.30 | 0.1 |
| 16.45 | 0.1 |
| 17.00 | 0.1 |
| 17.15 | 0.1 |
| 17.30 | 0.1 |

QUEUE FOR STREAM C-AB

| TIME | NO. OF |
| :--- | :---: |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 16.15 | 0.0 |
| 16.30 | 0.1 |
| 16.45 | 0.1 |
| 17.00 | 0.1 |
| 17.15 | 0.1 |
| 17.30 | 0.0 |



* DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD
* INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD * THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS

A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.
*******END OF RUN*******

SLOPES AND INTERCEPT
(NB:Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted)

| I Intercept For Slope For Opposing | Slope For Opposing | I |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I STREAM B-C | STREAM A-C | STREAM A-B | I |  |
| I | 763.23 | 0.25 | 0.10 | I |

I Intercept For Slope For Opposing
I STREAM B-A

STREAM A-C Slope For Opposing | Slope For Opposing |
| :---: |
| STREAM A-B |

I Intercept For Slope For Opposing Slope For Opposing I
I STREAM C-B STREAM A-C STREAM A-B I
I $689.79 \quad 0.23 \quad 0.23 \quad$ I

[^2]
## TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA

--------------------------
-------------------------

| I A | I | 100 | I |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | $B$ | I | 100 | I |

I C I 100
Demand set: 2020 Base AM

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 07.30 AND ENDS 09.00
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN.
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA


|  | nd set: | 2020 Base AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  | TURNING PROPORTIONS |  |  |  |  |
| I |  | I |  |  | TURNING COUNTS |  |  |  |  |
| I |  | I |  |  | (PERCENTAGE OF H.V.S) |  |  |  |  |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | TIME | I | FROM | T0 | I ARM A |  | I ARM B I |  | ARM C I |
| I | 07.30-09.00 | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | A | I | 0.000 | I | 0.165 I | 0.835 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 | I | 116.0 I | 588.0 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 3.3)I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | B | I | 0.494 | I | 0.000 I | 0.506 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 43.0 | I | 0.0 I | 44.0 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | C | I | 0.861 | I | 0.139 I | 0.000 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 452.0 | I | 73.0 I | 0.0 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 5.6) | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |

TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA THE PERCENTAGE OF HEAVY VEHICLES VARIES OVER TURNING MOVEMENTS

## QUEUE AND DELAY INFORMATION FOR EACH 15 MIN TIME SEGMENT

| FOR DEMAND SET | 2020 Base AM |
| :--- | :---: |
| AND FOR TIME PERIOD | 1 |


| I I I | TIME | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFCC}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY <br> PER ARRIVING <br> VEHICLE (MIN) | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 07.30-07 | . 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | B-C | 0.55 | 10.43 | 0.053 |  | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.8 |  | 0.10 | I |
| I | B-A | 0.54 | 6.78 | 0.080 |  | 0.00 | 0.09 | 1.2 |  | 0.16 | I |
| I | C-AB | 1.59 | 13.19 | 0.121 |  | 0.00 | 0.24 | 3.5 |  | 0.09 | I |
| I | C-A | 5.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-B | 1.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 7.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |


| I I I | TIME | DEMAND <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (RFC) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 07.45-08.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | B-C | 0.66 | 9.97 | 0.066 |  | 0.06 | 0.07 | 1.0 |  | 0.11 | I |
| I | B-A | 0.64 | 6.18 | 0.104 |  | 0.09 | 0.11 | 1.7 |  | 0.18 | I |
| I | C-AB | 2.15 | 13.60 | 0.158 |  | 0.24 | 0.35 | 5.2 |  | 0.09 | I |
| I | C-A | 5.72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-B | 1.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 8.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| I I I | TIME | DEMAND <br> (VEH/MIN) | CAPACITY <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY <br> PER ARRIVING <br> VEHICLE (MIN) | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 08.00-0 | . 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | B-C | 0.81 | 9.32 | 0.087 |  | 0.07 | 0.09 | 1.4 |  | 0.12 | I |
| I | B-A | 0.79 | 5.34 | 0.148 |  | 0.11 | 0.17 | 2.5 |  | 0.22 | I |
| I | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{AB}$ | 3.18 | 14.26 | 0.223 |  | 0.35 | 0.57 | 8.6 |  | 0.09 | I |
| I | C-A | 6.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-B | 2.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 10.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |



| TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { START } \\ & \text { QUEUE } \\ & \text { (VEHS) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (VEH.MIN/ } \\ \text { TIME SEGMENT) } \end{gathered}$ | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY <br> PER ARRIVING <br> VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08.30-08.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-C | 0.66 | 9.97 | 0.066 |  | 0.09 | 0.07 | 1.1 |  | 0.11 |
| B-A | 0.64 | 6.17 | 0.104 |  | 0.17 | 0.12 | 1.8 |  | 0.18 |
| C-AB | 2.15 | 13.61 | 0.158 |  | 0.58 | 0.36 | 5.4 |  | 0.09 |
| C-A | 5.71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-B | 1.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | 8.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | CAPACITY <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | END QUEUE <br> (VEHS) | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-C | 0.55 | 10.43 | 0.053 |  | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.9 |  | 0.10 |
| B-A | 0.54 | 6.78 | 0.080 |  | 0.12 | 0.09 | 1.3 |  | 0.16 |
| C-AB | 1.60 | 13.20 | 0.121 |  | 0.36 | 0.25 | 3.7 |  | 0.09 |
| C-A | 4.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-B | 1.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | 7.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*WARNING* NO MARGINAL ANALYSIS OF CAPACITIES AS MAJOR ROAD BLOCKING MAY OCCUR

| QUEUE FOR | AM B-C |
| :---: | :---: |
| TIME | NO. OF |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 07.45 | 0.1 |
| 08.00 | 0.1 |
| 08.15 | 0.1 |
| 08.30 | 0.1 |
| 08.45 | 0.1 |
| 09.00 | 0.1 |

QUEUE FOR STREAM B-A

| TIME | NO. OF |
| :--- | :---: |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 07.45 | 0.1 |
| 08.00 | 0.1 |
| 08.15 | 0.2 |
| 08.30 | 0.2 |
| 08.45 | 0.1 |
| 09.00 | 0.1 |
|  |  |
| QUEUE FOR |  |
| ------------------- |  |
| TIME | NO. OF |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 07.45 | 0.2 |
| 08.00 | 0.3 |
| 08.15 | 0.6 |
| 08.30 | 0.6 |
| 08.45 | 0.4 |
| 09.00 | 0.2 |



* DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD
* INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD * THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS

A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.
*******END OF RUN*******
. SLOPES AND INTERCEPT
(NB:Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted)

| I Intercept For Slope For Opposing | Slope For Opposing | I |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I STREAM B-C | STREAM A-C | STREAM A-B | I |  |
| I | 763.23 | 0.25 | 0.10 | I |

I Intercept For Slope For Opposing
I STREAM B-A

STREAM A-C Slope For Opposing | Slope For Opposing |
| :---: |
| STREAM A-B |

I Intercept For Slope For Opposing Slope For Opposing I
I STREAM C-B STREAM A-C STREAM A-B I
I $689.79 \quad 0.23 \quad 0.23 \quad$ I

[^3]
## TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA

--------------------------
------------------------

| I A | I | 100 | I |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | $B$ | I | 100 | I |


| I C I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Demand set: 2020 Base PM

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 16.00 AND ENDS 17.30
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN.
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA



TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA THE PERCENTAGE OF HEAVY VEHICLES VARIES OVER TURNING MOVEMENTS

## QUEUE AND DELAY INFORMATION FOR EACH 15 MIN TIME SEGMENT

| FOR DEMAND SET | 2020 Base PM |
| :--- | :---: |
| AND FOR TIME PERIOD | 2 |


| I I I | TIME | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFCC}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY <br> PER ARRIVING <br> VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 16.00-16.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | B-C | 0.26 | 10.67 | 0.025 |  | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.4 |  | 0.10 |
| I | B-A | 0.46 | 6.96 | 0.067 |  | 0.00 | 0.07 | 1.0 |  | 0.15 |
| I | C-AB | 0.48 | 14.37 | 0.034 |  | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.7 |  | 0.07 |
| I | C-A | 7.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | A-B | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | A-C | 7.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TIME | DEMAND (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | DEMAND/ CAPACITY $(\mathrm{RFC})$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.15-16.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-C | 0.31 | 10.26 | 0.031 |  | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.5 |  | 0.10 |
| B-A | 0.55 | 6.39 | 0.087 |  | 0.07 | 0.09 | 1.4 |  | 0.17 |
| $C-A B$ | 0.70 | 15.18 | 0.046 |  | 0.05 | 0.07 | 1.0 |  | 0.07 |
| C-A | 8.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-B | 0.18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | 8.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TIME | DEMAND (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.30-16.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-C | 0.39 | 9.68 | 0.040 |  | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.6 |  | 0.11 |
| B-A | 0.68 | 5.61 | 0.121 |  | 0.09 | 0.14 | 2.0 |  | 0.20 |
| C-AB | 1.04 | 16.08 | 0.065 |  | 0.07 | 0.11 | 1.6 |  | 0.07 |
| C-A | 9.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-B | 0.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | 10.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



*WARNING* NO MARGINAL ANALYSIS OF CAPACITIES AS MAJOR ROAD BLOCKING MAY OCCUR

| QUEUE FOR STREAM | B-C |
| :---: | :---: |
| TIME | NO. OF |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 16.15 | 0.0 |
| 16.30 | 0.0 |
| 16.45 | 0.0 |
| 17.00 | 0.0 |
| 17.15 | 0.0 |
| 17.30 | 0.0 |

QUEUE FOR STREAM B-A

| TIME | NO. OF |
| :--- | :--- |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 16.15 | 0.1 |
| 16.30 | 0.1 |
| 16.45 | 0.1 |
| 17.00 | 0.1 |
| 17.15 | 0.1 |
| 17.30 | 0.1 |

QUEUE FOR STREAM C-AB

| TIME | NO. OF |
| :--- | :---: |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 16.15 | 0.0 |
| 16.30 | 0.1 |
| 16.45 | 0.1 |
| 17.00 | 0.1 |
| 17.15 | 0.1 |
| 17.30 | 0.0 |



* DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD
* INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD * THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS

A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.
*******END OF RUN*******

SLOPES AND INTERCEPT
(NB:Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted)

| I Intercept For Slope For Opposing | Slope For Opposing | I |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I STREAM B-C | STREAM A-C | STREAM A-B | I |  |
| I | 763.23 | 0.25 | 0.10 | I |

I Intercept For Slope For Opposing
I STREAM B-A

STREAM A-C Slope For Opposing | Slope For Opposing |
| :---: |
| STREAM A-B |

I Intercept For Slope For Opposing Slope For Opposing I
I STREAM C-B STREAM A-C STREAM A-B I
I $689.79 \quad 0.23 \quad 0.23 \quad$ I
(NB These values do not allow for any site specific corrections)

TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA


I ARM I FLOW SCALE(\%) I

| I A | I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| I B | I | 100 | I |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | $C$ | $I$ | 100 |  |

Demand set: Base + CD AM

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 07.30 AND ENDS 09.00
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN.
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA

| I | ARM |  | I | NUMBER OF |  | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | OF | FLOW |  | VEH/MIN) |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  |  | FLOW | STARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOV | W STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALI | LING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I | ARM | A |  |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 9.48 | I |  | 4.21 | I | 9.48 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 2.44 | I |  | 3.66 | I | 2.44 | I |
| I | ARM | C |  |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 7.24 |  |  | 86 | I | 7.24 | I |



TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA THE PERCENTAGE OF HEAVY VEHICLES VARIES OVER TURNING MOVEMENTS

QUEUE AND DELAY INFORMATION FOR EACH 15 MIN TIME SEGMENT

| FOR DEMAND SET | Base + CD AM |
| :--- | :---: |
| AND FOR TIME PERIOD | 1 |


| I I I | TIME | DEMAND <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (RFC) } \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 07.30-07.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | B-C | 1.23 | 10.08 | 0.122 |  | 0.00 | 0.14 | 2.0 |  | 0.11 |
| I | B-A | 1.22 | 6.50 | 0.187 |  | 0.00 | 0.23 | 3.2 |  | 0.19 |
| I | $C-A B$ | 2.79 | 13.06 | 0.213 |  | 0.00 | 0.43 | 6.4 |  | 0.10 |
| I | C-A | 4.48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | A-B | 2.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | A-C | 7.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| I | TIME | DEMAND <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (RFC) } \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | ```DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT)``` | GEOMETRIC DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 07.45-08.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | B-C | 1.47 | 9.52 | 0.154 |  | 0.14 | 0.18 | 2.6 |  | 0.12 |
| I | B-A | 1.45 | 5.83 | 0.249 |  | 0.23 | 0.33 | 4.7 |  | 0.23 |
| I | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{AB}$ | 3.82 | 13.50 | 0.283 |  | 0.43 | 0.66 | 10.0 |  | 0.10 |
| I | C-A | 4.85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | A-B | 2.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | A-C | 8.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| I I I | TIME | DEMAND <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 08.00-08.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | B-C | 1.80 | 8.71 | 0.207 |  | 0.18 | 0.26 | 3.8 |  | 0.14 | I |
| I | B-A | 1.78 | 4.91 | 0.362 |  | 0.33 | 0.55 | 7.8 |  | 0.32 | I |
| I | C-AB | 5.63 | 14.11 | 0.399 |  | 0.66 | 1.18 | 17.8 |  | 0.12 | I |
| I | C-A | 5.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-B | 3.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 10.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |


| I I I | TIME | DEMAND (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (RFC) } \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 08.15-08.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | B-C | 1.80 | 8.70 | 0.207 |  | 0.26 | 0.26 | 3.9 |  | 0.14 | I |
| I | B-A | 1.78 | 4.91 | 0.363 |  | 0.55 | 0.56 | 8.3 |  | 0.32 | I |
| I | C-AB | 5.65 | 14.13 | 0.400 |  | 1.18 | 1.20 | 18.3 |  | 0.12 | I |
| I | C-A | 4.98 |  |  |  |  | $242$ |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-B | 3.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 10.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |


| TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { START } \\ & \text { QUEUE } \\ & \text { (VEHS) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (VEH.MIN/ } \\ \text { TIME SEGMENT) } \end{gathered}$ | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY <br> PER ARRIVING <br> VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08.30-08.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-C | 1.47 | 9.51 | 0.154 |  | 0.26 | 0.18 | 2.8 |  | 0.12 |
| B-A | 1.45 | 5.82 | 0.250 |  | 0.56 | 0.34 | 5.3 |  | 0.23 |
| C-AB | 3.84 | 13.52 | 0.284 |  | 1.20 | 0.69 | 10.5 |  | 0.10 |
| C-A | 4.83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-B | 2.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | 8.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | CAPACITY <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | END QUEUE <br> (VEHS) | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08.45-09.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-C | 1.23 | 10.07 | 0.122 |  | 0.18 | 0.14 | 2.2 |  | 0.11 |
| B-A | 1.22 | 6.48 | 0.188 |  | 0.34 | 0.23 | 3.7 |  | 0.19 |
| C-AB | 2.80 | 13.08 | 0.214 |  | 0.69 | 0.45 | 6.8 |  | 0.10 |
| C-A | 4.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-B | 2.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | 7.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*WARNING* NO MARGINAL ANALYSIS OF CAPACITIES AS MAJOR ROAD BLOCKING MAY OCCUR

| QUEUE FOR | AM B-C |
| :---: | :---: |
| TIME | NO. OF |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 07.45 | 0.1 |
| 08.00 | 0.2 |
| 08.15 | 0.3 |
| 08.30 | 0.3 |
| 08.45 | 0.2 |
| 09.00 | 0.1 |

QUEUE FOR STREAM B-A

| TIME | NO. OF |
| :--- | :--- |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 07.45 | 0.2 |
| 08.00 | 0.3 |
| 08.15 | 0.6 |
| 08.30 | 0.6 |
| 08.45 | 0.3 |
| 09.00 | 0.2 |

QUEUE FOR STREAM C-AB

| TIME | NO. OF |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |  |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |  |
| 07.45 | 0.4 |  |
| 08.00 | 0.7 | $*$ |
| 08.15 | 1.2 | $*$ |
| 08.30 | 1.2 | $*$ |
| 08.45 | 0.7 | $*$ |
| 09.00 | 0.5 |  |


| QUEUEING DELAY INFORMATION OVER WHOLE PERIOD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | STREAM | I | TOTAL DEMAND |  | I | * QUEUEING * |  |  | I | INCLUSIVE |  | QUEUEING * | $\text { * } \begin{aligned} & I \\ & I \end{aligned}$ |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | * DEL | AY |  | I | * DE | LA |  |  |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I |  | I | (VEH) | (VEH/H) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I | (MIN) |  | N/VEH) | 1 |
| I | B-C | I | 134.9 | I 89.9 | I | 17.3 | I | 0.13 | I | 17.3 | I | 0.13 | I |
| I | B-A | I | 133.5 | I 89.0 | I | 33.1 | I | 0.25 | I | 33.1 | I | 0.25 | I |
| I | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{AB}$ | I | 367.9 | I 245.3 | I | 69.7 | I | 0.19 | I | 69.7 | I | 0.19 | I |
| I | C-A | I | 429.1 | I 286.0 | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |
| I | A-B | I | 234.0 | I 156.0 | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |
| I | A-C | I | 809.3 | I 539.6 | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |
| I | ALL | I | 2108.7 | I 1405.8 | I | 120.0 | I | 0.06 | I | 120.0 | I | 0.06 | I |

* DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD
* INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD * THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS

A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.
*******END OF RUN*******

SLOPES AND INTERCEPT
(NB:Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted)

| I Intercept For Slope For Opposing | Slope For Opposing | I |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I STREAM B-C | STREAM A-C | STREAM A-B | I |  |
| I | 763.23 | 0.25 | 0.10 | I |

I Intercept For Slope For Opposing
I STREAM B-A

STREAM A-C Slope For Opposing | Slope For Opposing |
| :---: |
| STREAM A-B |

I Intercept For Slope For Opposing Slope For Opposing I
I STREAM C-B STREAM A-C STREAM A-B I
I $689.79 \quad 0.23 \quad 0.23 \quad$ I

[^4]
## TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA

--------------------------
------------------------

| I A | I | 100 | I |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | $B$ | I | 100 | I |

I C I 100
Demand set: Base $+C D P M$

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 16.00 AND ENDS 17.30
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA



TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA THE PERCENTAGE OF HEAVY VEHICLES VARIES OVER TURNING MOVEMENTS

QUEUE AND DELAY INFORMATION FOR EACH 15 MIN TIME SEGMENT

| FOR DEMAND SET | Base + CD PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| AND FOR TIME PERIOD | 2 |


| I I I | TIME | DEMAND (VEH/MIN) | CAPACITY <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (RFC) } \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 16.00-1 | . 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | B-C | 0.94 | 10.32 | 0.091 |  | 0.00 | 0.10 | 1.4 |  | 0.11 | I |
| I | B-A | 1.14 | 6.67 | 0.171 |  | 0.00 | 0.20 | 2.9 |  | 0.18 | I |
| I | C-AB | 1.85 | 14.42 | 0.129 |  | 0.00 | 0.28 | 4.2 |  | 0.08 | I |
| I | C-A | 6.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-B | 0.83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 7.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |


| I | TIME | DEMAND <br> (VEH/MIN) | CAPACITY <br> (VEH/MIN) | DEMAND/ <br> CAPACITY | PEDESTRIAN <br> FLOW | START <br> QUEUE | END <br> QUEUE | DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ | AVERAGE DELAY <br> PER ARRIVING |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TIME | DEMAND <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (RFC) } \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.30-16.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-C | 1.38 | 9.08 | 0.152 |  | 0.13 | 0.18 | 2.6 |  | 0.13 |
| B-A | 1.67 | 5.18 | 0.322 |  | 0.29 | 0.46 | 6.6 |  | 0.28 |
| C-AB | 3.95 | 16.09 | 0.245 |  | 0.42 | 0.70 | 10.6 |  | 0.08 |
| C-A | 8.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-B | 1.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | 10.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| I | TIME | DEMAND (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (RFC) } \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 16.45-17 | . 00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | B-C | 1.38 | 9.07 | 0.152 |  | 0.18 | 0.18 | 2.7 |  | 0.13 | I |
| I | B-A | 1.67 | 5.18 | 0.323 |  | 0.46 | 0.47 | 7.0 |  | 0.28 | I |
| I | $C-A B$ | 3.96 | 16.10 | 0.246 |  | 0.70 | 0.71 | 10.8 |  | 0.08 | I |
| I | C-A | 8.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-B | 1.21 |  |  |  |  | 45 |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 10.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |


| I I I | TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | DEMAND/ CAPACITY (RFC) | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START <br> QUEUE <br> (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I I I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 17.00-1 | . 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | B-C | 1.12 | 9.80 | 0.115 |  | 0.18 | 0.13 | 2.0 |  | 0.12 | I |
| I | B-A | 1.36 | 6.04 | 0.226 |  | 0.47 | 0.30 | 4.7 |  | 0.21 | I |
| I | C-AB | 2.62 | 15.15 | 0.173 |  | 0.71 | 0.44 | 6.6 |  | 0.08 | I |
| I | C-A | 7.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-B | 0.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 8.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |


| TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | CAPACITY <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (RFC) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { START } \\ & \text { QUEUE } \\ & \text { (VEHS) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | ```DELAY \\ (VEH.MIN/ \\ TIME SEGMENT)``` | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-C | 0.94 | 10.31 | 0.091 |  | 0.13 | 0.10 | 1.5 |  | 0.11 |
| B-A | 1.14 | 6.67 | 0.171 |  | 0.30 | 0.21 | 3.3 |  | 0.18 |
| $C-A B$ | 1.87 | 14.43 | 0.129 |  | 0.44 | 0.29 | 4.4 |  | 0.08 |
| C-A | 6.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-B | 0.83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | 7.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*WARNING* NO MARGINAL ANALYSIS OF CAPACITIES AS MAJOR ROAD BLOCKING MAY OCCUR

| QUEUE FOR | M B-C |
| :---: | :---: |
| TIME | NO. OF |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 16.15 | 0.1 |
| 16.30 | 0.1 |
| 16.45 | 0.2 |
| 17.00 | 0.2 |
| 17.15 | 0.1 |
| 17.30 | 0.1 |

QUEUE FOR STREAM B-A

| TIME | NO. OF |
| :--- | :---: |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 16.15 | 0.2 |
| 16.30 | 0.3 |
| 16.45 | 0.5 |
| 17.00 | 0.5 |
| 17.15 | 0.3 |
| 17.30 | 0.2 |

QUEUE FOR STREAM C-AB

| TIME | NO. OF |
| :--- | :---: |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 16.15 | 0.3 |
| 16.30 | 0.4 |
| 16.45 | 0.7 |
| 17.00 | 0.7 |
| 17.15 | 0.4 |
| 17.30 | 0.3 |


| QUEUEING DELAY INFORMATION OVER WHOLE PERIOD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | STREAM | I | TOTAL DEMAND |  |  | I | * QUEUEING * |  |  |  | INCLUSIVE QUEUEING <br> * DELAY * |  |  |  |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  | * DEL | A |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ------ |  | ------ | I |
| I |  | I | (VEH) |  | (VEH/H) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VE |  | (MIN) |  | N/VEH) | I |
| I | B-C | I | 103.2 | I | 68.8 | I | 12.1 | I | 0.12 |  | 12.1 | I | 0.12 | I |
| I | B-A | I | 125.3 | I | 83.5 | I | 28.6 | I | 0.23 |  | 28.6 | I | 0.23 | I |
| I | C-AB | I | 252.8 | I | 168.6 | I | 42.9 | I | 0.17 |  | 42.9 | I | 0.17 | I |
| I | C-A | I | 647.3 | I | 431.6 | I |  | I |  |  |  | I |  | I |
| I | A-B | I | 90.8 | I | 60.6 | I |  | I |  |  |  | I |  | I |
| I | A-C | I | 780.4 | I | 520.3 | I |  | I |  |  |  | I |  | I |
| I | ALL | I | 1999.9 | I | 1333.3 | I | 83.6 | I | 0.04 |  | 83.6 | I | 0.04 | I |

* DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD
* INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD * THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS

A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.
*******END OF RUN*******

SLOPES AND INTERCEPT
(NB:Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted)

| I Intercept For Slope For Opposing | Slope For Opposing | I |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I STREAM B-C | STREAM A-C | STREAM A-B | I |  |
| I | 763.23 | 0.25 | 0.10 | I |

I Intercept For Slope For Opposing
I STREAM B-A

STREAM A-C Slope For Opposing | Slope For Opposing |
| :---: |
| STREAM A-B |

I Intercept For Slope For Opposing Slope For Opposing I
I STREAM C-B STREAM A-C STREAM A-B I
I $689.79 \quad 0.23 \quad 0.23 \quad$ I

[^5]
## TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA

--------------------------
------------------------

| I A | I | 100 | I |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | $B$ | I | 100 | I |


| I C I 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Demand set: Base + CD + Dev AM

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 07.30 AND ENDS 09.00
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA

| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { I } & \\ \text { I } & \text { ARM } \\ \text { I } & \\ \text { I } & \end{array}$ |  |  | I | NUMBER OF |  | MINUTES FROM |  |  | START WHEN |  |  | I | RATE | OF | FLOW |  | (VEH/MIN) |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | I | FLOW | STARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER | I |
|  |  |  | I | TO | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALI | LING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
|  |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 10.06 | I |  | 5.09 | I | 10.06 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 3.05 | I |  | 4.57 | I | 3.05 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 8.69 | - | -- | 03 | I | 8.69 | I |



TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA THE PERCENTAGE OF HEAVY VEHICLES VARIES OVER TURNING MOVEMENTS

## QUEUE AND DELAY INFORMATION FOR EACH 15 MIN TIME SEGMENT

| FOR DEMAND SET | Base $+\mathrm{CD}+\mathrm{Dev}$ AM |
| :--- | :---: |
| AND FOR TIME PERIOD | 1 |


| I I I | TIME | DEMAND (VEH/MIN) | CAPACITY <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (RFC) } \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I I I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 07.30-07.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | B-C | 1.67 | 9.90 | 0.169 |  | 0.00 | 0.20 | 2.9 |  | 0.12 | I |
| I | B-A | 1.39 | 5.95 | 0.234 |  | 0.00 | 0.30 | 4.2 |  | 0.22 | I |
| I | C-AB | 5.41 | 12.97 | 0.417 |  | 0.00 | 1.04 | 15.1 |  | 0.13 | I |
| I | C-A | 3.32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-B | 2.72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 7.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |


| I I I | TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START <br> (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 07.45-08 | . 00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | B-C | 1.99 | 9.27 | 0.215 |  | 0.20 | 0.27 | 4.0 |  | 0.14 |
| I | B-A | 1.66 | 5.17 | 0.322 |  | 0.30 | 0.46 | 6.6 |  | 0.28 |
| I | C-AB | 7.39 | 13.38 | 0.552 |  | 1.04 | 1.84 | 27.7 |  | 0.17 |
| I | C-A | 3.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | A-B | 3.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | A-C | 8.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| I I I | TIME | DEMAND <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 08.00-08.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | B-C | 2.44 | 8.30 | 0.294 |  | 0.27 | 0.41 | 5.9 |  | 0.17 |
| I | B-A | 2.04 | 4.10 | 0.496 |  | 0.46 | 0.93 | 12.7 |  | 0.47 |
| I | C-AB | 11.04 | 14.02 | 0.787 |  | 1.84 | 5.25 | 75.8 |  | 0.31 |
| I | C-A | 1.72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | A-B | 3.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | A-C | 10.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| I I I | TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | DEMAND/ CAPACITY (RFC) | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START <br> QUEUE <br> (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I I I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 08.30-0 | . 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | B-C | 1.99 | 9.24 | 0.216 |  | 0.41 | 0.28 | 4.3 |  | 0.14 | I |
| I | B-A | 1.66 | 5.10 | 0.326 |  | 0.97 | 0.50 | 8.0 |  | 0.30 | I |
| I | C-AB | 7.54 | 13.52 | 0.557 |  | 5.74 | 2.03 | 34.3 |  | 0.18 | I |
| I | C-A | 2.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-B | 3.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 8.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |


| I | TIME | DEMAND <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (RFC) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { START } \\ & \text { QUEUE } \\ & \text { (VEHS) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 08.45- | . 00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | B-C | 1.67 | 9.88 | 0.169 |  | 0.28 | 0.21 | 3.2 |  | 0.12 |
| I | B-A | 1.39 | 5.92 | 0.235 |  | 0.50 | 0.31 | 4.9 |  | 0.22 |
| I | $C-A B$ | 5.46 | 13.02 | 0.420 |  | 2.03 | 1.10 | 16.8 |  | 0.14 |
| I | C-A | 3.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | A-B | 2.72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | A-C | 7.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*WARNING* NO MARGINAL ANALYSIS OF CAPACITIES AS MAJOR ROAD BLOCKING MAY OCCUR
QUEUE FOR STREAM B-C

| TIME | NO. OF |
| :---: | :---: |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 07.45 | 0.2 |
| 08.00 | 0.3 |
| 08.15 | 0.4 |
| 08.30 | 0.4 |
| 08.45 | 0.3 |
| 09.00 | 0.2 |

QUEUE FOR STREAM B-A

| ------------------------- |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| TIME | NO. OF |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 07.45 | 0.3 |
| 08.00 | 0.5 |
| 08.15 | 0.9 |
| 08.30 | 1.0 |
| 08.45 | 0.5 |
| 09.00 | 0.3 |

QUEUE FOR STREAM C-AB

| TIME | NO. OF |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |  |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |  |
| 07.45 | 1.0 | $*$ |
| 08.00 | 1.8 | $* *$ |
| 08.15 | 5.3 | $* * * * *$ |
| 08.30 | 5.7 | $* * * * *$ |
| 08.45 | 2.0 | $* *$ |
| 09.00 | 1.1 | $*$ |


| QUEUEING DELAY INFORMATION OVER WHOLE PERIOD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | STREAM | I | TOTAL DEMAND |  |  | I | * QUEUEING * |  |  | I | INCLUSIVE QUEUEING * I |  |  |  |
| I |  | I |  |  |  | * DEL | AY * |  |  | * DE | A |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | --- |  |  |  | -- | I |
| I |  | I | (VEH) |  | (VEH/H) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VE | I | (MIN) |  | N/VEH) | I |
| I | B-C | I | 183.1 | I | 122.0 | I | 26.5 | I | 0.14 |  | 26.5 | I | 0.14 | I |
| I | B-A | I | 152.8 | I | 101.9 | I | 50.8 | I | 0.33 |  | 50.8 | I | 0.33 | I |
| I | C-AB | I | 720.6 | I | 480.4 | I | 259.9 | I | 0.36 |  | 259.9 | I | 0.36 | I |
| I | C-A | I | 236.1 | I | 157.4 | I |  | I |  |  |  | I |  | I |
| I | A-B | I | 298.7 | I | 199.1 | I |  | I |  |  |  | I |  | I |
| I | A-C | I | 809.3 | I | 539.6 | I |  | I |  |  |  | I |  | I |
| I | ALL | I | 2400.5 | I | 1600.3 | I | 337.1 | I | 0.14 |  | 337.2 | I | 0.14 | I |

* DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD
* INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD * THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS

A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.
*******END OF RUN*******

SLOPES AND INTERCEPT
(NB:Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted)

| I Intercept For Slope For Opposing | Slope For Opposing | I |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I STREAM B-C | STREAM A-C | STREAM A-B | I |  |
| I | 763.23 | 0.25 | 0.10 | I |

I Intercept For Slope For Opposing
I STREAM B-A

STREAM A-C Slope For Opposing | Slope For Opposing |
| :---: |
| STREAM A-B |

I Intercept For Slope For Opposing Slope For Opposing I
I STREAM C-B STREAM A-C STREAM A-B I
I $689.79 \quad 0.23 \quad 0.23 \quad$ I
(NB These values do not allow for any site specific corrections)

TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA
---------------------------------------------

I ARM I FLOW SCALE (\%) I

| I A | I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| I B | I | 100 | I |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | $C$ | $I$ | 100 |  |

Demand set: Base $+C D+$ Dev PM

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 16.00 AND ENDS 17.30
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN.
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA

| I | ARM |  | I | NUMBER OF <br> FLOW STARTS <br> TO RISE |  | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | OF | FLOW | VEH/MIN) |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLO | W STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I | IS | REACHED | I | FAL | LING | I | PEAK | I | OF PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |
| I | ARM | A |  |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 7.96 | I | 11.94 | I | 7.96 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 3.08 | I | 4.61 | I | 3.08 | I |
| I | ARM |  |  |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 8.31 |  | -47 | I | 8.31 | I |



TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA THE PERCENTAGE OF HEAVY VEHICLES VARIES OVER TURNING MOVEMENTS

## QUEUE AND DELAY INFORMATION FOR EACH 15 MIN TIME SEGMENT

| FOR DEMAND SET | Base $+\mathrm{CD}+\mathrm{Dev}$ PM |
| :--- | :---: |
| AND FOR TIME PERIOD | 2 |


| I I I | TIME | DEMAND <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFCC}) \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | END QUEUE (VEHS) | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 16.00-16.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | B-C | 1.66 | 10.19 | 0.162 |  | 0.00 | 0.19 | 2.8 |  | 0.12 |
| I | B-A | 1.43 | 6.62 | 0.216 |  | 0.00 | 0.27 | 3.9 |  | 0.19 |
| I | C-AB | 2.13 | 14.41 | 0.148 |  | 0.00 | 0.32 | 4.8 |  | 0.08 |
| I | C-A | 6.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | A-B | 0.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | A-C | 7.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TIME | DEMAND (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEMAND/ } \\ & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (RFC) } \end{aligned}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.15-16.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-C | 1.98 | 9.65 | 0.205 |  | 0.19 | 0.26 | 3.7 |  | 0.13 |
| B-A | 1.71 | 5.98 | 0.285 |  | 0.27 | 0.39 | 5.6 |  | 0.23 |
| C-AB | 3.00 | 15.12 | 0.198 |  | 0.32 | 0.49 | 7.3 |  | 0.08 |
| C-A | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-B | 1.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | 8.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | ```DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT)``` | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.30-16.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-C | 2.42 | 8.85 | 0.274 |  | 0.26 | 0.37 | 5.4 |  | 0.16 |
| B-A | 2.09 | 5.10 | 0.410 |  | 0.39 | 0.67 | 9.4 |  | 0.33 |
| C-AB | 4.53 | 16.08 | 0.282 |  | 0.49 | 0.82 | 12.4 |  | 0.09 |
| C-A | 7.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-B | 1.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | 10.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| I | TIME | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{VEH} / \mathrm{MIN}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START QUEUE <br> (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I I I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 16.45- | . 00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | B-C | 2.42 | 8.84 | 0.274 |  | 0.37 | 0.37 | 5.6 |  | 0.16 | I |
| I | B-A | 2.09 | 5.10 | 0.410 |  | 0.67 | 0.68 | 10.2 |  | 0.33 | I |
| I | $C-A B$ | 4.54 | 16.09 | 0.282 |  | 0.82 | 0.83 | 12.6 |  | 0.09 | I |
| I | C-A | 7.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-B | 1.28 |  |  |  |  | 51 |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 10.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |


*WARNING* NO MARGINAL ANALYSIS OF CAPACITIES AS MAJOR ROAD BLOCKING MAY OCCUR

| QUEUE FOR | M B-C |
| :---: | :---: |
| TIME | NO. OF |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 16.15 | 0.2 |
| 16.30 | 0.3 |
| 16.45 | 0.4 |
| 17.00 | 0.4 |
| 17.15 | 0.3 |
| 17.30 | 0.2 |

QUEUE FOR STREAM B-A

| TIME | NO. OF |
| :--- | :---: |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 16.15 | 0.3 |
| 16.30 | 0.4 |
| 16.45 | 0.7 |
| 17.00 | 0.7 |
| 17.15 | 0.4 |
| 17.30 | 0.3 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| QUEUE FOR |  |
| $------1 R E A M$ | C-AB |
| TIME | NO. OF |
| SEGMENT | VEHICLES |
| ENDING | IN QUEUE |
| 16.15 | 0.3 |
| 16.30 | 0.5 |
| 16.45 | 0.8 |
| 17.00 | 0.8 |
| 17.15 | 0.5 |
| 17.30 | 0.3 |

## QUEUEING DELAY INFORMATION OVER WHOLE PERIOD



* DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD
* INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD * THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.
*******END OF RUN******


## APPENDIX 8

## ARCADY 7

Version: 7.1.1.245 [9th June 2011]
© Copyright Transport Research Laboratory 2011

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL:
Tel: +44 (0)1344 770758 E-mail: software@trl.co.uk Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

File: S:114 jobs1042 Avanti House Secondary School, Whitchurch Playing FieldsITechnical Assessments\ARCADY\Abercorn Rd-Wmborough Rd-St Andrews Drive.arc7
Report generation date: 02/06/2015 13:13:33

## Summary of roundabout performance

|  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (min) | RFC | LOS | Queue (Veh) | Delay (min) | RFC | LOS |
|  | (Default Analysis Set) - 2014 Surveyed Flows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm A | 2.80 | 0.26 | 0.74 | C | 4.90 | 0.40 | 0.84 | C |
| Arm B | 1.38 | 0.20 | 0.58 | B | 1.91 | 0.29 | 0.66 | C |
| Arm C | 1.74 | 0.18 | 0.64 | B | 1.86 | 0.20 | 0.65 | B |
| Arm D | 3.52 | 0.34 | 0.79 | C | 2.17 | 0.23 | 0.69 | B |
|  | (Default Analysis Set) - 2020 Base Flows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm A | 3.94 | 0.36 | 0.81 | C | 8.47 | 0.66 | 0.91 | E |
| Arm B | 1.74 | 0.24 | 0.64 | B | 2.65 | 0.38 | 0.74 | C |
| Arm C | 2.22 | 0.22 | 0.70 | B | 2.44 | 0.25 | 0.72 | B |
| Arm D | 5.38 | 0.50 | 0.86 | D | 2.86 | 0.29 | 0.75 | C |
|  | (Default Analysis Set) - Base + CD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm A | 6.07 | 0.51 | 0.87 | D | 16.08 | 1.13 | 0.98 | F |
| Arm B | 2.13 | 0.29 | 0.69 | C | 3.44 | 0.48 | 0.79 | D |
| Arm C | 2.67 | 0.26 | 0.73 | C | 2.95 | 0.29 | 0.76 | C |
| Arm D | 7.65 | 0.70 | 0.90 | E | 3.59 | 0.35 | 0.79 | C |
|  | (Default Analysis Set) - Base + CD + Dev |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Arm A | 6.82 | 0.57 | 0.89 | D | 21.27 | 1.41 | 1.00 | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Arm B | 2.50 | 0.32 | 0.72 | C | 3.56 | 0.49 | 0.80 | D |
| Arm C | 3.12 | 0.29 | 0.77 | C | 2.99 | 0.29 | 0.76 | C |
| Arm D | 10.91 | 0.97 | 0.95 | F | 3.62 | 0.35 | 0.79 | C |

Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

2014 Surveyed Flows - AM runs from 07:45:00 to 09:15:00
2014 Surveyed Flows - PM runs from 16:45:00 to 18:15:00
2020 Base Flows - AM runs from 07:45:00 to 09:15:00
2020 Base Flows - PM runs from 16:45:00 to 18:15:00
Base + CD - AM runs from 07:45:00 to 09:15:00
Base + CD - PM runs from 16:45:00 to 18:15:00
Base + CD + Dev - AM runs from 07:45:00 to 09:15:00
Base $+C D+D e v-P M$ runs from 16:45:00 to 18:15:00
File summary
File Description

| Title | Wemborough Road/Abrecorn Road/ St Andrew's Drive |
| :--- | :---: |
| Location |  |
| Site Number |  |
| Date | 14/10/2014 |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber |  |
| Enumerator | Milestone4-PC\Milestone4 - Newer |
| Description |  |

## Analysis Options

| RFC Threshold | Vehicle Length (m) | Do Queue Variations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.85 | 5.75 |  |

## Sorting and Display

| Show Arm Names | Arm Grouping | Sorting Direction | Sorting Type | Data Matrix Style | Time Style |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Order | Ascending | Numerical | By Destination | Absolute Time |

## Units

| Distance <br> Units | Speed <br> Units | Traffic Units <br> Input | Traffic Units <br> Results | Flow <br> Units | Average Delay <br> Units | Total Delay <br> Units | Rate Of Delay <br> Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | min | - Min | perMin |

## A1 - (Default Analysis Set) - D1-2014 Surveyed Flows, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Include In <br> Report | Use Specific <br> Demand Set | Demand <br> Set | Locked | Network Flow <br> Scaling Factor <br> (\%) | Network <br> Capacity Scaling <br> Factor (\%) | Reason For <br> Scaling <br> Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default <br> Analysis <br> Set) |  | Yes |  | (D1) |  | 100.000 | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenari <br> o Name | Time <br> Perio <br> d <br> Nam e | Descripti on | Locke <br> d | Run <br> Automatica Ily | Use <br> Relationsh ip | Relationsh ip | Start <br> Time <br> (HH:m <br> m) | Finish <br> Time <br> (HH:m <br> m) | Time <br> Perio <br> d <br> Lengt h <br> (min) | Time <br> Segme nt Length (min) | Traffi <br> C Profil e Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 <br> Survey ed Flows, AM | 2014 <br> Survey ed Flows | AM |  |  | Yes |  |  | 07:45 | 09:15 | 90 | 15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ONE } \\ \text { HOU } \\ \mathrm{R} \end{gathered}$ |

## Roundabout Network

## Roundabout Type(s)

| ID | Name | Arm Order | Roundabout Type | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Do Geometric Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | A,B,C,D | Standard |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting | Road Surface | In London |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown | $(($ Mini-roundabouts only $))$ |  |

## Arms

## Arms

| ID | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Wemborough Road (E) |  |
| B | St Andrew's Drive |  |
| C | Wemborough Road (W) |  |
| D | Abercorn Road |  |

## Capacity Options

Arm Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) Assume Flat Start Profile Initial Queue (PCU)

| A | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  |
| C | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  |
| D | 0.00 | 99999.00 | 0.00 |

Standard Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road <br> half-width (m) | E-Entry <br> width (m) | I' - Effective flare <br> length (m) | R - Entry <br> radius (m) | D - Inscribed circle <br> diameter (m) | PHI - Conflict <br> (entry) angle (deg) | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.70 | 4.50 | 3.40 | 7.00 | 19.00 | 16.50 |  |
| B | 3.45 | 4.10 | 2.10 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 15.00 |  |
| C | 4.20 | 4.45 | 2.80 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 10.00 |  |
| D | 3.35 | 4.80 | 2.60 | 6.30 | 19.00 | 14.00 |  |

## Pedestrian Crossings

| Arm | Crossing Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | None |
| B | None |
| C | None |
| D | None |

## Arm Slope/ Intercept and Capacity

Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter Directly | Slope | Intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $(($ calculated)) | $(($ calculated)) | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.516 | 1073.293 |  |
| C | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.562 | 1271.998 |  |
| D | $(($ calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.528 | 1113.227 |  |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

## Demand Set Data Options

| Default Vehicle Mix | Vehicle Mix Varies Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Entry | Vehicle Mix Source | PCU <br> Factor for a HV (PCU) | Default <br> Turning Proportions | Estimate from entry/exit counts | Turning Proportions Vary Over Time | Turning Proportions Vary Over Turn | Turning Proportions Vary Over Entry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | Yes | HV <br> Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | PHF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | Yes | 593.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| B | ONE HOUR | Yes | 376.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| C | ONE HOUR | Yes | 531.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| D | ONE HOUR | Yes | 586.00 | 100.000 | N/A |

## Direct/Resultant Flows

## Direct Flows Data

| Time Segment | Arm | Direct Demand Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | DirectDemandEntryFlowInPCU (PCU/hr) | Direct Demand Exit Flow (Veh/hr) | Direct Demand Pedestrian Flow (Ped/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 446.44 | 459.30 | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | B | 283.07 | 287.51 | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | C | 399.76 | 410.31 | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | D | 441.17 | 447.08 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | A | 533.09 | 548.45 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | B | 338.02 | 343.31 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | C | 477.36 | 489.95 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | D | 526.80 | 533.85 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | A | 652.91 | 671.72 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | B | 413.98 | 420.47 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | C | 584.64 | 600.07 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | D | 645.20 | 653.83 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | A | 652.91 | 671.72 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | B | 413.98 | 420.47 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | C | 584.64 | 600.07 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | D | 645.20 | 653.83 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | A | 533.09 | 548.45 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | B | 338.02 | 343.31 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | C | 477.36 | 489.95 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | D | 526.80 | 533.85 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | A | 446.44 | 459.30 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | B | 283.07 | 287.51 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | C | 399.76 | 410.31 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | D | 441.17 | 447.08 | N/A | N/A |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (Veh/hr) - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |  |
|  | A | 1.000 | 62.000 | 346.000 | 184.000 |  |
|  | B | 60.000 | 1.000 | 38.000 | 277.000 |  |
|  | C | 343.000 | 69.000 | 1.000 | 118.000 |  |
|  | D | 165.000 | 348.000 | 66.000 | 7.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C | $\mathbf{D}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{A}$ | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.58 | 0.31 |
|  | $\mathbf{B}$ | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.74 |
|  | $\mathbf{C}$ | 0.65 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.22 |
|  | $\mathbf{D}$ | 0.28 | 0.59 | 0.11 | 0.01 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |  |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.016 | 1.038 | 1.016 |  |
|  | B | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.053 | 1.014 |  |
|  | C | 1.035 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.017 |  |
|  | D | 1.012 | 1.014 | 1.015 | 1.000 |  |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |  |
|  | A | 0.000 | 1.600 | 3.800 | 1.600 |  |
|  | B | 0.000 | 0.000 | 5.300 | 1.400 |  |
|  | C | 3.500 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.700 |  |
|  | D | 1.200 | 1.400 | 1.500 | 0.000 |  |

## Results

## Results Summary

| Arm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \\ & \text { RFC } \end{aligned}$ | Max <br> Delay (min) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Total Arrivals (Veh) | Total Queueing Delay (Veh-min) | Average Queueing Delay (min) | Rate Of Queueing Delay (Vehmin/min) | Inclusive Queueing Total Delay (Veh-min) | Inclusive <br> Queueing <br> Average <br> Delay <br> (min) | Slope | Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.74 | 0.26 | 2.80 | C | 544.15 | 816.22 | 144.26 | 0.18 | 1.60 | 144.28 | 0.18 | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B | 0.58 | 0.20 | 1.38 | B | 345.02 | 517.54 | 78.89 | 0.15 | 0.88 | 78.90 | 0.15 | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C | 0.64 | 0.18 | 1.74 | B | 487.25 | 730.88 | 98.21 | 0.13 | 1.09 | 98.22 | 0.13 | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D | 0.79 | 0.34 | 3.52 | C | 537.73 | 806.59 | 171.50 | 0.21 | 1.91 | 171.53 | 0.21 | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

## Main Results

Main results: (07:45-08:00)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 446.44 | 111.61 | 443.09 | 425.34 | 367.40 | 0.00 | 972.09 | 834.91 | 0.459 | 0.00 | 0.84 |
| B | 283.07 | 70.77 | 280.99 | 358.48 | 452.01 | 0.00 | 820.70 | 618.64 | 0.345 | 0.00 | 0.52 |
| C | 399.76 | 99.94 | 397.21 | 336.95 | 396.05 | 0.00 | 1019.52 | 781.43 | 0.392 | 0.00 | 0.64 |
| D | 441.17 | 110.29 | 437.46 | 437.98 | 355.27 | 0.00 | 908.90 | 719.93 | 0.485 | 0.00 | 0.93 |

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

| Arm | Demand <br> $\mathbf{( V e h / h r )}$ | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $\mathbf{( V e h / h r )}$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 533.09 | 133.27 | 531.22 | 509.94 | 440.53 | 0.00 | 932.64 | 834.91 | 0.572 | 0.84 | 1.31 |
| B | 338.02 | 84.50 | 337.04 | 429.82 | 541.92 | 0.00 | 773.75 | 618.65 | 0.437 | 0.52 | 0.76 |


| $\mathbf{C}$ | 477.36 | 119.34 | 476.14 | 403.99 | 474.98 | 0.00 | 975.72 | 781.43 | 0.489 | 0.64 | 0.94 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{D}$ | 526.80 | 131.70 | 524.56 | 525.20 | 425.91 | 0.00 | 871.20 | 719.93 | 0.605 | 0.93 | 1.49 |

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 652.90 | 163.23 | 647.36 | 622.04 | 535.94 | 0.00 | 881.17 | 834.91 | 0.741 | 1.31 | 2.69 |
| B | 413.98 | 103.50 | 411.65 | 523.08 | 660.21 | 0.00 | 711.98 | 618.65 | 0.581 | 0.76 | 1.35 |
| C | 584.64 | 146.16 | 581.61 | 492.24 | 579.62 | 0.00 | 917.65 | 781.43 | 0.637 | 0.94 | 1.70 |
| D | 645.20 | 161.30 | 637.74 | 640.99 | 520.23 | 0.00 | 820.85 | 719.93 | 0.786 | 1.49 | 3.35 |

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $($ Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 652.90 | 163.23 | 652.49 | 626.19 | 541.22 | 0.00 | 878.32 | 834.91 | 0.743 | 2.69 | 2.80 |
| B | 413.98 | 103.50 | 413.86 | 528.04 | 665.66 | 0.00 | 709.14 | 618.65 | 0.584 | 1.35 | 1.38 |
| C | 584.64 | 146.16 | 584.50 | 496.23 | 583.30 | 0.00 | 915.61 | 781.43 | 0.639 | 1.70 | 1.74 |
| D | 645.20 | 161.30 | 644.55 | 644.95 | 522.86 | 0.00 | 819.45 | 719.93 | 0.787 | 3.35 | 3.52 |

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 533.09 | 133.27 | 538.76 | 516.03 | 448.26 | 0.00 | 928.46 | 834.91 | 0.574 | 2.80 | 1.38 |
| B | 338.02 | 84.50 | 340.34 | 437.10 | 549.92 | 0.00 | 769.58 | 618.65 | 0.439 | 1.38 | 0.80 |
| C | 477.36 | 119.34 | 480.38 | 409.86 | 480.41 | 0.00 | 972.71 | 781.43 | 0.491 | 1.74 | 0.98 |
| D | 526.80 | 131.70 | 534.54 | 531.04 | 429.76 | 0.00 | 869.14 | 719.93 | 0.606 | 3.52 | 1.58 |

Main results: (09:00-09:15)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 446.44 | 111.61 | 448.50 | 430.08 | 372.36 | 0.00 | 969.41 | 834.91 | 0.461 | 1.38 | 0.87 |
| B | 283.07 | 70.77 | 284.11 | 363.23 | 457.63 | 0.00 | 817.77 | 618.64 | 0.346 | 0.80 | 0.54 |
| C | 399.76 | 99.94 | 401.06 | 341.12 | 400.62 | 0.00 | 1016.98 | 781.43 | 0.393 | 0.98 | 0.65 |
| D | 441.17 | 110.29 | 443.65 | 442.90 | 358.79 | 0.00 | 907.02 | 719.93 | 0.486 | 1.58 | 0.96 |

## Queueing Delay Results

Queueing Delay results: (07:45-08:00)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 12.01 | 0.80 | 0.113 | A | A |
| B | 7.51 | 0.50 | 0.111 | A | A |
| C | 9.23 | 0.62 | 0.096 | A | A |
| D | 13.24 | 0.88 | 0.126 | A | A |

Queueing Delay results: (08:00-08:15)

| Arm | Queueing Total | Queueing Rate Of | Average Delay Per | Unsignalised Level | Signalised Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | Delay (Veh-min) | Delay (Veh-min/min) | Arriving Vehicle (min) | Of Service | Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 18.71 | 1.25 | 0.149 | A | A |
| B | 11.06 | 0.74 | 0.137 | A | A |
| C | 13.67 | 0.91 | 0.120 | A | A |
| D | 21.17 | 1.41 | 0.172 | B | B |

Queueing Delay results: (08:15-08:30)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 36.77 | 2.45 | 0.251 | C | B |
| B | 19.06 | 1.27 | 0.198 | B | B |
| C | 24.03 | 1.60 | 0.177 | B | B |
| D | 44.63 | 2.98 | 0.315 | C | B |

Queueing Delay results: (08:30-08:45)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 41.33 | 2.76 | 0.265 | C | B |
| B | 20.50 | 1.37 | 0.203 | B | B |
| C | 25.84 | 1.72 | 0.181 | B | B |
| D | 51.78 | 3.45 | 0.340 | C | C |

Queueing Delay results: (08:45-09:00)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 21.96 | 1.46 | 0.156 | A | A |
| B | 12.47 | 0.83 | 0.141 | A | A |
| C | 15.32 | 1.02 | 0.123 | A | A |
| D | 25.62 | 1.71 | 0.183 | B | B |

Queueing Delay results: (09:00-09:15)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 13.48 | 0.90 | 0.116 | A | A |
| B | 8.28 | 0.55 | 0.113 | A | A |
| C | 10.11 | 0.67 | 0.098 | A | A |
| D | 15.06 | 1.00 | 0.130 | A | A |

## Overview: Standard Roundabout Geometry

Standard Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach <br> road half-width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | E - Entry <br> width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | I' - Effective <br> flare length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry <br> radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | D- Inscribed <br> circle diameter <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict <br> (entry) angle <br> $(\mathbf{d e g})$ | Exit <br> Only | Final <br> Slope | Final <br> Intercept <br> $($ PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.70 | 4.50 | 3.40 | 7.00 | 19.00 | 16.50 |  | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B | 3.45 | 4.10 | 2.10 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 15.00 |  | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C | 4.20 | 4.45 | 2.80 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 10.00 |  | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D | 3.35 | 4.80 | 2.60 | 6.30 | 19.00 | 14.00 |  | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

## Overview: Time Segment Results

Time Segment Results

| Time Segment | Arm | Demand (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Start Queue (Veh) | End Queue (Veh) | Queueing Total Delay (Veh-min) | Geometric Total Delay (Veh-min) | Average <br> Delay Per <br> Arriving <br> Vehicle (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 446.44 | 972.09 | 0.459 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 12.01 | (0.02) | 0.113 |
| 1 | B | 283.07 | 820.70 | 0.345 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | 7.51 | (0.02) | 0.111 |
| 1 | C | 399.76 | 1019.52 | 0.392 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.64 | 9.23 | (0.02) | 0.096 |
| 1 | D | 441.17 | 908.90 | 0.485 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.93 | 13.24 | (0.02) | 0.126 |
| 2 | A | 533.09 | 932.64 | 0.572 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 1.31 | 18.71 | (0.02) | 0.149 |
| 2 | B | 338.02 | 773.75 | 0.437 | 0.00 | 0.52 | 0.76 | 11.06 | (0.02) | 0.137 |
| 2 | C | 477.36 | 975.72 | 0.489 | 0.00 | 0.64 | 0.94 | 13.67 | (0.02) | 0.120 |
| 2 | D | 526.80 | 871.20 | 0.605 | 0.00 | 0.93 | 1.49 | 21.17 | (0.02) | 0.172 |
| 3 | A | 652.90 | 881.17 | 0.741 | 0.00 | 1.31 | 2.69 | 36.77 | (0.02) | 0.251 |
| 3 | B | 413.98 | 711.98 | 0.581 | 0.00 | 0.76 | 1.35 | 19.06 | (0.02) | 0.198 |
| 3 | C | 584.64 | 917.65 | 0.637 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 1.70 | 24.03 | (0.02) | 0.177 |
| 3 | D | 645.20 | 820.85 | 0.786 | 0.00 | 1.49 | 3.35 | 44.63 | (0.02) | 0.315 |
| 4 | A | 652.90 | 878.32 | 0.743 | 0.00 | 2.69 | 2.80 | 41.33 | (0.02) | 0.265 |
| 4 | B | 413.98 | 709.14 | 0.584 | 0.00 | 1.35 | 1.38 | 20.50 | (0.02) | 0.203 |
| 4 | C | 584.64 | 915.61 | 0.639 | 0.00 | 1.70 | 1.74 | 25.84 | (0.02) | 0.181 |
| 4 | D | 645.20 | 819.45 | 0.787 | 0.00 | 3.35 | 3.52 | 51.78 | (0.02) | 0.340 |
| 5 | A | 533.09 | 928.46 | 0.574 | 0.00 | 2.80 | 1.38 | 21.96 | (0.02) | 0.156 |
| 5 | B | 338.02 | 769.58 | 0.439 | 0.00 | 1.38 | 0.80 | 12.47 | (0.02) | 0.141 |
| 5 | C | 477.36 | 972.71 | 0.491 | 0.00 | 1.74 | 0.98 | 15.32 | (0.02) | 0.123 |
| 5 | D | 526.80 | 869.14 | 0.606 | 0.00 | 3.52 | 1.58 | 25.62 | (0.02) | 0.183 |
| 6 | A | 446.44 | 969.41 | 0.461 | 0.00 | 1.38 | 0.87 | 13.48 | (0.02) | 0.116 |
| 6 | B | 283.07 | 817.77 | 0.346 | 0.00 | 0.80 | 0.54 | 8.28 | (0.02) | 0.113 |
| 6 | C | 399.76 | 1016.98 | 0.393 | 0.00 | 0.98 | 0.65 | 10.11 | (0.02) | 0.098 |
| 6 | D | 441.17 | 907.02 | 0.486 | 0.00 | 1.58 | 0.96 | 15.06 | (0.02) | 0.130 |

## A1 - (Default Analysis Set) - D2-2014 Surveyed Flows, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Include In <br> Report | Use Specific <br> Demand Set | Demand <br> Set | Locked | Network Flow <br> Scaling Factor <br> (\%) | Network <br> Capacity Scaling <br> Factor (\%) | Reason For <br> Scaling <br> Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default <br> Analysis <br> Set) |  | Yes |  | (D1) |  | 100.000 | 100.000 |  |

Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenari <br> o Name | Time Perio d Nam e | Descripti on | Locke d | Run Automatica Ily | Use <br> Relationsh ip | Relationsh ip | Start <br> Time <br> (HH:m <br> m) | Finish Time (HH:m m) | Time <br> Perio <br> d <br> Lengt h (min) | Time <br> Segme nt Length (min) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Traffi } \\ \text { c } \\ \text { Profil } \\ \text { e } \\ \text { Type } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 <br> Survey <br> ed <br> Flows, <br> PM | 2014 <br> Survey <br> ed <br> Flows | PM |  |  | Yes |  |  | 16:45 | 18:15 | 90 | 15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ONE } \\ \text { HOU } \\ \text { R } \end{gathered}$ |

## Roundabout Network

Roundabout Type(s)

| ID | Name | Arm Order | Roundabout Type | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Do Geometric Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | A,B,C,D | Standard |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting | Road Surface | In London |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown | $(($ Mini-roundabouts only $))$ |  |

## Arms

## Arms

| ID | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Wemborough Road (E) |  |
| B | St Andrew's Drive |  |
| C | Wemborough Road (W) |  |
| D | Abercorn Road |  |

## Capacity Options

| Arm | Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Assume Flat Start Profile | Initial Queue (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |
| B | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |
| C | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |
| D | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |

## Standard Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road <br> half-width (m) | E - Entry <br> width (m) | I' - Effective flare <br> length (m) | R - Entry <br> radius (m) | D - Inscribed circle <br> diameter (m) | PHI - Conflict <br> (entry) angle (deg) | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.70 | 4.50 | 3.40 | 7.00 | 19.00 | 16.50 |  |
| B | 3.45 | 4.10 | 2.10 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 15.00 |  |
| C | 4.20 | 4.45 | 2.80 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 10.00 |  |
| D | 3.35 | 4.80 | 2.60 | 6.30 | 19.00 | 14.00 |  |

## Pedestrian Crossings

| Arm | Crossing Type |
| :--- | :--- |


| A | None |
| :---: | :---: |
| B | None |
| C | None |
| D | None |

## Arm Slope/ Intercept and Capacity

Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter Directly | Slope | Intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.548 | 1204.008 |  |
| B |  | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.562 | 1271.998 |  |
| D |  | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default Vehicle Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Time | Vehicle Mix Varies Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Entry | Vehicle Mix Source | PCU <br> Factor for a HV (PCU) | Default <br> Turning Proportions | Estimate from entrylexit counts | Turning Proportions Vary Over Time | Turning Proportions Vary Over Turn | Turning Proportions Vary Over Entry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | Yes | HV <br> Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | PHF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | Yes | 704.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| B | ONE HOUR | Yes | 371.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| C | ONE HOUR | Yes | 523.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| D | ONE HOUR | Yes | 528.00 | 100.000 | N/A |

## Direct/Resultant Flows

## Direct Flows Data

| Time <br> Segment | Arm | Direct Demand <br> Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | DirectDemandEntryFlowInPCU <br> (PCU/hr) | Direct Demand <br> Exit Flow (Veh/hr) | Direct Demand <br> Pedestrian Flow <br> (Ped/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | A | 530.01 | 544.31 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B | 279.31 | 284.64 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | C | 393.74 | 404.97 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | D | 397.51 | 403.66 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | A | 632.88 | 649.96 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | B | 333.52 | 339.89 | A |  |


| 2 | C | 470.17 | 483.57 | N/A | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | D | 474.66 | 482.01 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | A | 775.12 | 796.04 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | B | 408.48 | 416.28 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | C | 575.83 | 592.25 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | D | 581.34 | 590.34 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | A | 775.12 | 796.04 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | B | 408.48 | 416.28 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | C | 575.83 | 592.25 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | D | 581.34 | 590.34 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | A | 632.88 | 649.96 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | B | 333.52 | 339.89 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | C | 470.17 | 483.57 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | D | 474.66 | 482.01 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | A | 530.01 | 544.31 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | B | 279.31 | 284.64 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | C | 393.74 | 404.97 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | D | 397.51 | 403.66 | N/A | N/A |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (Veh/hr) - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |  |
|  | A | 0.000 | 73.000 | 381.000 | 250.000 |  |
|  | B | 49.000 | 0.000 | 39.000 | 283.000 |  |
|  | C | 340.000 | 41.000 | 0.000 | 142.000 |  |
|  | D | 148.000 | 244.000 | 132.000 | 4.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{A}$ | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.54 | 0.36 |
|  | B | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.76 |
|  | $\mathbf{C}$ | 0.65 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.27 |
|  | $\mathbf{D}$ | 0.28 | 0.46 | 0.25 | 0.01 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |  |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.042 | 1.012 |  |
|  | B | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.051 | 1.018 |  |
|  | C | 1.038 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.014 |  |


|  | $\mathbf{D}$ | 1.014 | 1.025 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

| From | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |  |
|  | A | 0.000 | 0.000 | 4.200 | 1.200 |  |
|  | B | 0.000 | 0.000 | 5.100 | 1.800 |  |
|  | C | 3.800 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.400 |  |
|  | D | 1.400 | 2.500 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |

## Results

Results Summary

| Arm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \\ & \text { RFC } \end{aligned}$ | Max <br> Delay (min) | Max Queue (Veh) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Total Arrivals (Veh) | Total Queueing Delay (Veh-min) | Average Queueing Delay (min) | Rate Of Queueing Delay (Vehmin/min) | Inclusive Queueing Total Delay (Veh-min) | Inclusive Queueing Average Delay (min) | Slope | Intercept <br> (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.84 | 0.40 | 4.90 | C | 646.00 | 969.01 | 222.87 | 0.23 | 2.48 | 222.91 | 0.23 | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B | 0.66 | 0.29 | 1.91 | C | 340.44 | 510.65 | 99.11 | 0.19 | 1.10 | 99.12 | 0.19 | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C | 0.65 | 0.20 | 1.86 | B | 479.92 | 719.87 | 102.70 | 0.14 | 1.14 | 102.71 | 0.14 | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D | 0.69 | 0.23 | 2.17 | B | 484.50 | 726.75 | 118.82 | 0.16 | 1.32 | 118.84 | 0.16 | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

## Main Results

Main results: (16:45-17:00)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 530.01 | 132.50 | 525.60 | 401.45 | 314.60 | 0.00 | 1001.91 | 846.80 | 0.529 | 0.00 | 1.10 |
| B | 279.31 | 69.83 | 277.00 | 267.48 | 572.71 | 0.00 | 756.13 | 559.82 | 0.369 | 0.00 | 0.58 |
| C | 393.74 | 98.44 | 391.15 | 412.20 | 437.51 | 0.00 | 994.24 | 789.17 | 0.396 | 0.00 | 0.65 |
| D | 397.51 | 99.38 | 394.53 | 507.13 | 321.53 | 0.00 | 924.19 | 749.04 | 0.430 | 0.00 | 0.74 |

Main results: (17:00-17:15)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 632.88 | 158.22 | 629.98 | 481.32 | 377.29 | 0.00 | 967.95 | 846.80 | 0.654 | 1.10 | 1.83 |
| B | 333.52 | 83.38 | 332.24 | 320.74 | 686.53 | 0.00 | 697.10 | 559.82 | 0.478 | 0.58 | 0.90 |
| C | 470.17 | 117.54 | 468.87 | 494.16 | 524.61 | 0.00 | 945.96 | 789.17 | 0.497 | 0.65 | 0.97 |
| D | 474.66 | 118.66 | 473.16 | 608.03 | 385.45 | 0.00 | 889.99 | 749.04 | 0.533 | 0.74 | 1.12 |

Main results: (17:15-17:30)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 775.12 | 193.78 | 764.12 | 587.47 | 460.41 | 0.00 | 922.91 | 846.79 | 0.840 | 1.83 | 4.58 |
| B | 408.48 | 102.12 | 404.76 | 390.93 | 833.60 | 0.00 | 620.83 | 559.82 | 0.658 | 0.90 | 1.83 |


| $\mathbf{C}$ | 575.84 | 143.96 | 572.49 | 600.43 | 637.93 | 0.00 | 883.15 | 789.17 | 0.652 | 0.97 | 1.81 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{D}$ | 581.34 | 145.33 | 577.37 | 739.91 | 470.51 | 0.00 | 844.47 | 749.04 | 0.688 | 1.12 | 2.11 |

Main results: (17:30-17:45)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 775.12 | 193.78 | 773.84 | 591.02 | 463.36 | 0.00 | 921.31 | 846.79 | 0.841 | 4.58 | 4.90 |
| B | 408.48 | 102.12 | 408.17 | 393.92 | 843.28 | 0.00 | 615.80 | 559.82 | 0.663 | 1.83 | 1.91 |
| C | 575.84 | 143.96 | 575.65 | 606.99 | 644.46 | 0.00 | 879.53 | 789.17 | 0.655 | 1.81 | 1.86 |
| D | 581.34 | 145.33 | 581.12 | 746.85 | 473.26 | 0.00 | 843.00 | 749.04 | 0.690 | 2.11 | 2.17 |

Main results: (17:45-18:00)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $($ Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 632.88 | 158.22 | 644.62 | 486.56 | 381.60 | 0.00 | 965.61 | 846.80 | 0.655 | 4.90 | 1.97 |
| B | 333.52 | 83.38 | 337.33 | 325.15 | 701.06 | 0.00 | 689.55 | 559.82 | 0.484 | 1.91 | 0.96 |
| C | 470.17 | 117.54 | 473.53 | 503.98 | 534.40 | 0.00 | 940.54 | 789.17 | 0.500 | 1.86 | 1.02 |
| D | 474.66 | 118.66 | 478.64 | 618.42 | 389.51 | 0.00 | 887.82 | 749.04 | 0.535 | 2.17 | 1.17 |

Main results: (18:00-18:15)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 530.01 | 132.50 | 533.28 | 405.83 | 318.23 | 0.00 | 999.95 | 846.80 | 0.530 | 1.97 | 1.15 |
| B | 279.31 | 69.83 | 280.74 | 270.72 | 580.79 | 0.00 | 751.94 | 559.82 | 0.371 | 0.96 | 0.60 |
| C | 393.74 | 98.44 | 395.14 | 417.90 | 443.63 | 0.00 | 990.85 | 789.17 | 0.397 | 1.02 | 0.67 |
| D | 397.51 | 99.38 | 399.12 | 513.83 | 324.93 | 0.00 | 922.37 | 749.04 | 0.431 | 1.17 | 0.77 |

## Queueing Delay Results

Queueing Delay results: (16:45-17:00)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 15.70 | 1.05 | 0.125 | A | A |
| B | 8.30 | 0.55 | 0.125 | A | A |
| C | 9.37 | 0.62 | 0.099 | A | A |
| D | 10.70 | 0.71 | 0.113 | A | A |

Queueing Delay results: (17:00-17:15)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 25.87 | 1.72 | 0.176 | B | B |
| B | 12.92 | 0.86 | 0.164 | A | A |
| C | 14.07 | 0.94 | 0.125 | A | A |
| D | 16.13 | 1.08 | 0.143 | A | A |

Queueing Delay results: (17:15-17:30)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| A | 59.18 | 3.95 | 0.355 | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | 25.21 | 1.68 | 0.273 | C | B |
| C | 25.41 | 1.69 | 0.191 | B | B |
| D | 29.36 | 1.96 | 0.221 | B | B |

Queueing Delay results: (17:30-17:45)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 71.57 | 4.77 | 0.399 | C | C |
| B | 28.18 | 1.88 | 0.288 | C | B |
| C | 27.59 | 1.84 | 0.197 | B | B |
| D | 32.19 | 2.15 | 0.229 | B | B |

Queueing Delay results: (17:45-18:00)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 32.53 | 2.17 | 0.193 | B | B |
| B | 15.17 | 1.01 | 0.172 | B | B |
| C | 15.95 | 1.06 | 0.129 | A | A |
| D | 18.51 | 1.23 | 0.148 | A | A |

Queueing Delay results: (18:00-18:15)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 18.02 | 1.20 | 0.129 | A | A |
| B | 9.32 | 0.62 | 0.128 | A | A |
| C | 10.31 | 0.69 | 0.101 | A | A |
| D | 11.92 | 0.79 | 0.115 | A | A |

## Overview: Standard Roundabout Geometry

## Standard Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach <br> road half-width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | E-Entry <br> width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | I' - Effective <br> flare length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry <br> radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | D-Inscribed <br> circle diameter <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict <br> (entry) angle <br> $(\mathbf{d e g})$ | Exit <br> Only | Final <br> Slope | Final <br> Intercept <br> $($ PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.70 | 4.50 | 3.40 | 7.00 | 19.00 | 16.50 |  | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B | 3.45 | 4.10 | 2.10 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 15.00 |  | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C | 4.20 | 4.45 | 2.80 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 10.00 |  | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D | 3.35 | 4.80 | 2.60 | 6.30 | 19.00 | 14.00 |  | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

## Overview: Time Segment Results

## Time Segment Results

| Time <br> Segment | Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | Queueing <br> Total Delay <br> (Veh-min) | Geometric <br> Total Delay <br> (Veh-min) | Average <br> Delay Per <br> Arriving <br> Vehicle <br> (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | A | 530.01 | 1001.91 | 0.529 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.10 | 15.70 | $(0.02)$ | 0.125 |


| 1 | B | 279.31 | 756.13 | 0.369 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 8.30 | (0.02) | 0.125 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | C | 393.74 | 994.24 | 0.396 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 9.37 | (0.02) | 0.099 |
| 1 | D | 397.51 | 924.19 | 0.430 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 10.70 | (0.02) | 0.113 |
| 2 | A | 632.88 | 967.95 | 0.654 | 0.00 | 1.10 | 1.83 | 25.87 | (0.02) | 0.176 |
| 2 | B | 333.52 | 697.10 | 0.478 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.90 | 12.92 | (0.02) | 0.164 |
| 2 | C | 470.17 | 945.96 | 0.497 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.97 | 14.07 | (0.02) | 0.125 |
| 2 | D | 474.66 | 889.99 | 0.533 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 1.12 | 16.13 | (0.02) | 0.143 |
| 3 | A | 775.12 | 922.91 | 0.840 | 0.00 | 1.83 | 4.58 | 59.18 | (0.02) | 0.355 |
| 3 | B | 408.48 | 620.83 | 0.658 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 1.83 | 25.21 | (0.02) | 0.273 |
| 3 | C | 575.84 | 883.15 | 0.652 | 0.00 | 0.97 | 1.81 | 25.41 | (0.02) | 0.191 |
| 3 | D | 581.34 | 844.47 | 0.688 | 0.00 | 1.12 | 2.11 | 29.36 | (0.02) | 0.221 |
| 4 | A | 775.12 | 921.31 | 0.841 | 0.00 | 4.58 | 4.90 | 71.57 | (0.02) | 0.399 |
| 4 | B | 408.48 | 615.80 | 0.663 | 0.00 | 1.83 | 1.91 | 28.18 | (0.02) | 0.288 |
| 4 | C | 575.84 | 879.53 | 0.655 | 0.00 | 1.81 | 1.86 | 27.59 | (0.02) | 0.197 |
| 4 | D | 581.34 | 843.00 | 0.690 | 0.00 | 2.11 | 2.17 | 32.19 | (0.02) | 0.229 |
| 5 | A | 632.88 | 965.61 | 0.655 | 0.00 | 4.90 | 1.97 | 32.53 | (0.02) | 0.193 |
| 5 | B | 333.52 | 689.55 | 0.484 | 0.00 | 1.91 | 0.96 | 15.17 | (0.02) | 0.172 |
| 5 | C | 470.17 | 940.54 | 0.500 | 0.00 | 1.86 | 1.02 | 15.95 | (0.02) | 0.129 |
| 5 | D | 474.66 | 887.82 | 0.535 | 0.00 | 2.17 | 1.17 | 18.51 | (0.02) | 0.148 |
| 6 | A | 530.01 | 999.95 | 0.530 | 0.00 | 1.97 | 1.15 | 18.02 | (0.02) | 0.129 |
| 6 | B | 279.31 | 751.94 | 0.371 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 0.60 | 9.32 | (0.02) | 0.128 |
| 6 | C | 393.74 | 990.85 | 0.397 | 0.00 | 1.02 | 0.67 | 10.31 | (0.02) | 0.101 |
| 6 | D | 397.51 | 922.37 | 0.431 | 0.00 | 1.17 | 0.77 | 11.92 | (0.02) | 0.115 |

## A1 - (Default Analysis Set) - D3-2020 Base Flows, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Include In <br> Report | Use Specific <br> Demand Set | Demand <br> Set | Locked | Network Flow <br> Scaling Factor <br> (\%) | Network <br> Capacity Scaling <br> Factor (\%) | Reason For <br> Scaling <br> Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default <br> Analysis <br> Set) | Yes |  | (D1) |  | 100.000 | 100.000 |  |  |

Demand Set Details

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Nam } \\ \text { e } \end{gathered}$ | Scenari <br> o Name | Time <br> Perio <br> d <br> Name | Descripti on | Locke <br> d | Run <br> Automatical ly | Use Relationsh ip | Relationsh ip | Start <br> Time <br> (HH:m <br> m) | Finish Time (HH:m m) | Time <br> Perio <br> d <br> Lengt h (min) | Time <br> Segme nt Length (min) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Traffi } \\ \text { c } \\ \text { Profil } \\ \text { e } \\ \text { Type } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 <br> Base <br> Flow <br> s, AM |  | AM |  |  | Yes |  |  | 07:45 | 09:15 | 90 | 15 |  |

## Roundabout Network

Roundabout Type(s)

| ID | Name | Arm Order | Roundabout Type | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Do Geometric Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | A,B,C,D | Standard |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting | Road Surface | In London |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown | $(($ Mini-roundabouts only $))$ |  |

## Arms

## Arms

| ID | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Wemborough Road (E) |  |
| B | St Andrew's Drive |  |
| C | Wemborough Road (W) |  |
| D | Abercorn Road |  |

## Capacity Options

Arm Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) Assume Flat Start Profile Initial Queue (PCU)

| A | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | 0.00 | 99999.00 | 0.00 |  |
| C | 0.00 | 99999.00 | 0.00 |  |
| D | 0.00 | 99999.00 | 0.00 |  |

## Standard Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road <br> half-width (m) | E Entry <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | I' - Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R}-$ Entry <br> radius $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{D}-$ Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict <br> (entry) angle (deg) | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.70 | 4.50 | 3.40 | 7.00 | 19.00 | 16.50 |  |
| B | 3.45 | 4.10 | 2.10 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 15.00 |  |
| C | 4.20 | 4.45 | 2.80 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 10.00 |  |
| D | 3.35 | 4.80 | 2.60 | 6.30 | 19.00 | 14.00 |  |

## Pedestrian Crossings

| Arm | Crossing Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | None |
| B | None |
| C | None |
| D | None |

## Arm Slope/ Intercept and Capacity

Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter Directly | Slope | Intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $(($ calculated $))$ | $(($ calculated)) | 0.548 | 1204.008 |


| B | $(($ calculated $))$ | $(($ calculated $))$ | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | $(($ calculated $)$ | $(($ calculated $))$ | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D | $(($ calculated $)$ | ((calculated)) | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

## Demand Set Data Options

| Default Vehicle Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Time | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Entry | Vehicle Mix Source | PCU <br> Factor for a HV (PCU) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Default } \\ \text { Turning } \\ \text { Proportions } \end{gathered}$ | Estimate from entry/exit counts | Turning Proportions Vary Over Time | Turning Proportions Vary Over Turn | Turning Proportions Vary Over Entry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | Yes | HV <br> Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | PHF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | Yes | 631.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| B | ONE HOUR | Yes | 400.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| C | ONE HOUR | Yes | 565.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| D | ONE HOUR | Yes | 624.00 | 100.000 | N/A |

## Direct/Resultant Flows

## Direct Flows Data

| Time Segment | Arm | Direct Demand Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | DirectDemandEntryFlowInPCU (PCU/hr) | Direct Demand Exit Flow (Veh/hr) | Direct Demand Pedestrian Flow (Ped/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 475.05 | 488.73 | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | B | 301.14 | 305.85 | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | C | 425.36 | 436.59 | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | D | 469.78 | 476.07 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | A | 567.26 | 583.60 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | B | 359.59 | 365.21 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | C | 507.92 | 521.33 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | D | 560.96 | 568.48 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | A | 694.74 | 714.76 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | B | 440.41 | 447.29 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | C | 622.08 | 638.50 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | D | 687.04 | 696.24 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | A | 694.74 | 714.76 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | B | 440.41 | 447.29 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | C | 622.08 | 638.50 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | D | 687.04 | 696.24 | N/A | N/A |


| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | 567.26 | 583.60 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | 359.59 | 365.21 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 507.92 | 521.33 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 560.96 | 568.48 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | A | 475.05 | 488.73 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N/A |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | B | 301.14 | 305.85 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N/A |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 425.36 | 436.59 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N/A |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 469.78 | 476.07 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (Veh/hr) - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C | D |
|  | A | 1.000 | 66.000 | 368.000 | 196.000 |
|  | B | 64.000 | 1.000 | 40.000 | 295.000 |
|  | C | 365.000 | 73.000 | 1.000 | 126.000 |
|  | D | 176.000 | 371.000 | 70.000 | 7.000 |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{A}$ | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.58 | 0.31 |
|  | $\mathbf{B}$ | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.74 |
|  | $\mathbf{C}$ | 0.65 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.22 |
|  | $\mathbf{D}$ | 0.28 | 0.59 | 0.11 | 0.01 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.016 | 1.038 | 1.016 |
|  | B | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.053 | 1.014 |
|  | C | 1.035 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.017 |
|  | D | 1.012 | 1.014 | 1.015 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |
|  | A | 0.000 | 1.600 | 3.800 | 1.600 |
|  | B | 0.000 | 0.000 | 5.300 | 1.400 |
|  | C | 3.500 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.700 |
|  | D | 1.200 | 1.400 | 1.500 | 0.000 |

## Results

Results Summary

| Arm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \\ & \text { RFC } \end{aligned}$ |  | Max Queue (Veh) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Total Arrivals (Veh) | Total Queueing Delay (Veh-min) | Average Queueing Delay (min) | Rate Of Queueing Delay (Vehmin/min) | Inclusive <br> Queueing <br> Total <br> Delay <br> (Veh-min) | Inclusive <br> Queueing <br> Average <br> Delay <br> (min) | Slope | Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.81 | 0.36 | 3.94 | C | 579.01 | 868.52 | 185.92 | 0.21 | 2.07 | 185.95 | 0.21 | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B | 0.64 | 0.24 | 1.74 | B | 367.05 | 550.57 | 94.78 | 0.17 | 1.05 | 94.79 | 0.17 | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C | 0.70 | 0.22 | 2.22 | B | 518.45 | 777.68 | 119.21 | 0.15 | 1.32 | 119.23 | 0.15 | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D | 0.86 | 0.50 | 5.38 | D | 572.59 | 858.89 | 232.49 | 0.27 | 2.58 | 232.53 | 0.27 | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

## Main Results

Main results: (07:45-08:00)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{( V e h / h r ) ~}$ | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 475.05 | 118.76 | 471.19 | 452.76 | 390.26 | 0.00 | 959.75 | 835.37 | 0.495 | 0.00 | 0.96 |
| B | 301.14 | 75.28 | 298.78 | 381.36 | 480.09 | 0.00 | 806.06 | 618.92 | 0.374 | 0.00 | 0.59 |
| C | 425.36 | 106.34 | 422.46 | 357.64 | 421.24 | 0.00 | 1005.53 | 781.02 | 0.423 | 0.00 | 0.72 |
| D | 469.78 | 117.45 | 465.47 | 466.15 | 377.55 | 0.00 | 896.99 | 720.35 | 0.524 | 0.00 | 1.08 |

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

| Arm | Demand <br> $\mathbf{( V e h / h r )}$ | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $\mathbf{( V e h / h r ) ~}$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $\mathbf{( V e h / h r )}$ | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 567.25 | 141.81 | 564.82 | 542.75 | 467.81 | 0.00 | 917.91 | 835.37 | 0.618 | 0.96 | 1.57 |
| B | 359.59 | 89.90 | 358.39 | 457.14 | 575.49 | 0.00 | 756.25 | 618.92 | 0.475 | 0.59 | 0.89 |
| C | 507.92 | 126.98 | 506.40 | 428.73 | 505.15 | 0.00 | 958.97 | 781.02 | 0.530 | 0.72 | 1.11 |
| D | 560.96 | 140.24 | 557.97 | 558.94 | 452.60 | 0.00 | 856.93 | 720.35 | 0.655 | 1.08 | 1.83 |

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 694.74 | 173.69 | 686.26 | 660.48 | 566.37 | 0.00 | 864.74 | 835.37 | 0.803 | 1.57 | 3.69 |
| B | 440.41 | 110.10 | 437.24 | 553.81 | 698.82 | 0.00 | 691.84 | 618.92 | 0.637 | 0.89 | 1.68 |
| C | 622.08 | 155.52 | 617.86 | 520.73 | 615.34 | 0.00 | 897.82 | 781.02 | 0.693 | 1.11 | 2.16 |
| D | 687.04 | 171.76 | 674.64 | 680.99 | 552.21 | 0.00 | 803.76 | 720.35 | 0.855 | 1.83 | 4.93 |

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

| Arm | Demand <br> $\mathbf{( V e h / h r )}$ | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $\mathbf{( V e h / h r ) ~}$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 694.74 | 173.69 | 693.76 | 666.52 | 574.51 | 0.00 | 860.35 | 835.37 | 0.808 | 3.69 | 3.94 |
| B | 440.41 | 110.10 | 440.19 | 561.42 | 706.86 | 0.00 | 687.65 | 618.92 | 0.640 | 1.68 | 1.74 |
| C | 622.08 | 155.52 | 621.83 | 526.59 | 620.45 | 0.00 | 894.98 | 781.02 | 0.695 | 2.16 | 2.22 |
| D | 687.04 | 171.76 | 685.24 | 686.49 | 555.78 | 0.00 | 801.86 | 720.35 | 0.857 | 4.93 | 5.38 |

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 567.25 | 141.81 | 576.21 | 551.88 | 480.46 | 0.00 | 911.08 | 835.37 | 0.623 | 3.94 | 1.70 |
| B | 359.59 | 89.90 | 362.78 | 468.93 | 587.75 | 0.00 | 749.85 | 618.92 | 0.480 | 1.74 | 0.94 |
| C | 507.92 | 126.98 | 512.18 | 437.68 | 512.85 | 0.00 | 954.69 | 781.02 | 0.532 | 2.22 | 1.16 |
| D | 560.96 | 140.24 | 574.52 | 567.20 | 457.82 | 0.00 | 854.15 | 720.35 | 0.657 | 5.38 | 1.99 |

Main results: (09:00-09:15)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $($ Veh/hr $)$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> $($ (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 475.05 | 118.76 | 477.83 | 458.48 | 396.44 | 0.00 | 956.42 | 835.37 | 0.497 | 1.70 | 1.00 |
| B | 301.14 | 75.28 | 302.46 | 387.26 | 487.00 | 0.00 | 802.45 | 618.92 | 0.375 | 0.94 | 0.61 |
| C | 425.36 | 106.34 | 427.01 | 362.76 | 426.71 | 0.00 | 1002.49 | 781.02 | 0.424 | 1.16 | 0.75 |
| D | 469.78 | 117.45 | 473.22 | 472.03 | 381.69 | 0.00 | 894.78 | 720.35 | 0.525 | 1.99 | 1.13 |

## Queueing Delay Results

Queueing Delay results: (07:45-08:00)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 13.77 | 0.92 | 0.122 | A | A |
| B | 8.47 | 0.56 | 0.118 | A | A |
| C | 10.44 | 0.70 | 0.102 | A | A |
| D | 15.30 | 1.02 | 0.138 | A | A |

Queueing Delay results: (08:00-08:15)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 22.36 | 1.49 | 0.169 | B | B |
| B | 12.83 | 0.86 | 0.150 | A | A |
| C | 15.95 | 1.06 | 0.132 | A | A |
| D | 25.72 | 1.71 | 0.199 | B | B |

Queueing Delay results: (08:15-08:30)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 48.77 | 3.25 | 0.322 | C | B |
| B | 23.47 | 1.56 | 0.233 | B | B |
| C | 30.02 | 2.00 | 0.211 | B | B |
| D | 62.23 | 4.15 | 0.429 | D | C |

Queueing Delay results: (08:30-08:45)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 57.65 | 3.84 | 0.355 | C | C |
| B | 25.75 | 1.72 | 0.242 | B | B |
| C | 32.98 | 2.20 | 0.219 | B | B |
| D | 77.89 | 5.19 | 0.498 | D | C |

Queueing Delay results: (08:45-09:00)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 27.66 | 1.84 | 0.184 | B | B |
| B | 14.81 | 0.99 | 0.156 | A | A |
| C | 18.26 | 1.22 | 0.137 | A | A |
| D | 33.60 | 2.24 | 0.224 | B | B |

Queueing Delay results: (09:00-09:15)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 15.70 | 1.05 | 0.126 | A | A |
| B | 9.44 | 0.63 | 0.120 | A | A |
| C | 11.56 | 0.77 | 0.105 | A | A |
| D | 17.75 | 1.18 | 0.143 | A | A |

## Overview: Standard Roundabout Geometry

## Standard Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach <br> road half-width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | E-Entry <br> width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | I' - Effective <br> flare length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry <br> radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | D - Inscribed <br> circle diameter <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict <br> (entry) angle <br> $(\mathbf{d e g})$ | Exit <br> Only | Final <br> Slope | Final <br> Intercept <br> $($ (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.70 | 4.50 | 3.40 | 7.00 | 19.00 | 16.50 |  | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B | 3.45 | 4.10 | 2.10 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 15.00 |  | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C | 4.20 | 4.45 | 2.80 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 10.00 |  | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D | 3.35 | 4.80 | 2.60 | 6.30 | 19.00 | 14.00 |  | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

## Overview: Time Segment Results

## Time Segment Results

| Time <br> Segment | Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | Queueing <br> Total Delay <br> (Veh-min) | Geometric <br> Total Delay <br> (Veh-min) | Average <br> Delay Per <br> Arriving <br> Vehicle <br> (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | 475.05 | 959.75 | 0.495 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 13.77 | $(0.02)$ | 0.122 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | 301.14 | 806.06 | 0.374 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.59 | 8.47 | $(0.02)$ | 0.118 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 425.36 | 1005.53 | 0.423 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.72 | 10.44 | $(0.02)$ | 0.102 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 469.78 | 896.99 | 0.524 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.08 | 15.30 | $(0.02)$ | 0.138 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | 567.25 | 917.91 | 0.618 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 1.57 | 22.36 | $(0.02)$ | 0.169 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | 359.59 | 756.25 | 0.475 | 0.00 | 0.59 | 0.89 | 12.83 | $(0.02)$ | 0.150 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 507.92 | 958.97 | 0.530 | 0.00 | 0.72 | 1.11 | 15.95 | $(0.02)$ | 0.132 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 560.96 | 856.93 | 0.655 | 0.00 | 1.08 | 1.83 | 25.72 | $(0.02)$ | 0.199 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | 694.74 | 864.74 | 0.803 | 0.00 | 1.57 | 3.69 | 48.77 | $(0.02)$ | 0.322 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | 440.41 | 691.84 | 0.637 | 0.00 | 0.89 | 1.68 | 23.47 | $(0.02)$ | 0.233 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 622.08 | 897.82 | 0.693 | 0.00 | 1.11 | 2.16 | 30.02 | $(0.02)$ | 0.211 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 687.04 | 803.76 | 0.855 | 0.00 | 1.83 | 4.93 | 62.23 | $(0.02)$ | 0.429 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | A | 694.74 | 860.35 | 0.808 | 0.00 | 3.69 | 3.94 | 57.65 | $(0.02)$ | 0.355 |


| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | 440.41 | 687.65 | 0.640 | 0.00 | 1.68 | 1.74 | 25.75 | $(0.02)$ | 0.242 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 622.08 | 894.98 | 0.695 | 0.00 | 2.16 | 2.22 | 32.98 | $(0.02)$ | 0.219 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 687.04 | 801.86 | 0.857 | 0.00 | 4.93 | 5.38 | 77.89 | $(0.02)$ | 0.498 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | 567.25 | 911.08 | 0.623 | 0.00 | 3.94 | 1.70 | 27.66 | $(0.02)$ | 0.184 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | 359.59 | 749.85 | 0.480 | 0.00 | 1.74 | 0.94 | 14.81 | $(0.02)$ | 0.156 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 507.92 | 954.69 | 0.532 | 0.00 | 2.22 | 1.16 | 18.26 | $(0.02)$ | 0.137 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 560.96 | 854.15 | 0.657 | 0.00 | 5.38 | 1.99 | 33.60 | $(0.02)$ | 0.224 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | 475.05 | 956.42 | 0.497 | 0.00 | 1.70 | 1.00 | 15.70 | $(0.02)$ | 0.126 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | 301.14 | 802.45 | 0.375 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.61 | 9.44 | $(0.02)$ | 0.120 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 425.36 | 1002.49 | 0.424 | 0.00 | 1.16 | 0.75 | 11.56 | $(0.02)$ | 0.105 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 469.78 | 894.78 | 0.525 | 0.00 | 1.99 | 1.13 | 17.75 | $(0.02)$ | 0.143 |

## A1 - (Default Analysis Set) - D4-2020 Base Flows, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Include In <br> Report | Use Specific <br> Demand Set | Demand <br> Set | Locked | Network Flow <br> Scaling Factor <br> (\%) | Network <br> Capacity Scaling <br> Factor (\%) | Reason For <br> Scaling <br> Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default <br> Analysis <br> Set) |  | Yes |  | (D1) |  | 100.000 | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Nam } \\ e \end{gathered}$ | Scenari <br> o Name | Time <br> Perio <br> d <br> Name | Descripti on | Locke <br> d | Run <br> Automatical ly | Use Relationsh ip | Relationsh ip | Start <br> Time <br> (HH:m <br> m) | Finish <br> Time <br> (HH:m <br> m) | Time <br> Perio <br> d <br> Lengt h <br> (min) | Time Segme nt Length (min) | Traffi <br> c Profil e Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 <br> Base <br> Flow <br> s, PM |  | PM |  |  | Yes |  |  | 16:45 | 18:15 | 90 | 15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ONE } \\ \text { HOU } \\ \text { R } \end{gathered}$ |

## Roundabout Network

Roundabout Type(s)

| ID | Name | Arm Order | Roundabout Type | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Do Geometric Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | A,B,C,D | Standard |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting | Road Surface | In London |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown | ((Mini-roundabouts only) $)$ |  |

## Arms

## Arms

| ID | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Wemborough Road (E) |  |
| B | St Andrew's Drive |  |
| C | Wemborough Road (W) |  |
| D | Abercorn Road |  |

## Capacity Options

| Arm | Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Assume Flat Start Profile | Initial Queue (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |
| B | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |
| C | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |
| D | 0.00 | 99999.00 | 0.00 |  |

## Standard Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road <br> half-width (m) | E - Entry <br> width (m) | I' - Effective flare <br> length (m) | R - Entry <br> radius (m) | D - Inscribed circle <br> diameter (m) | PHI - Conflict <br> (entry) angle (deg) | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.70 | 4.50 | 3.40 | 7.00 | 19.00 | 16.50 |  |
| B | 3.45 | 4.10 | 2.10 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 15.00 |  |
| C | 4.20 | 4.45 | 2.80 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 10.00 |  |
| D | 3.35 | 4.80 | 2.60 | 6.30 | 19.00 | 14.00 |  |

## Pedestrian Crossings

| Arm | Crossing Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | None |
| B | None |
| C | None |
| D | None |

## Arm Slope/ Intercept and Capacity

Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter Directly | Slope | Intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B |  | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C |  | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D |  | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

## Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Varies | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | PCU <br> Factor <br> for a | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entrylexit | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  | Over <br> Time | Over <br> Turn | Over <br> Entry | HV <br> (PCU) | counts | Time | Turn | Entry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | Yes | HV <br> Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | PHF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | Yes | 751.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| B | ONE HOUR | Yes | 394.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| C | ONE HOUR | Yes | 557.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| D | ONE HOUR | Yes | 561.00 | 100.000 | N/A |

## Direct/Resultant Flows

## Direct Flows Data

| Time Segment | Arm | Direct Demand Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | DirectDemandEntryFlowInPCU (PCU/hr) | Direct Demand Exit Flow (Veh/hr) | Direct Demand Pedestrian Flow (Ped/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 565.39 | 580.60 | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | B | 296.62 | 302.28 | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | C | 419.34 | 431.29 | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | D | 422.35 | 428.90 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | A | 675.13 | 693.29 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | B | 354.20 | 360.95 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | C | 500.73 | 515.00 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | D | 504.33 | 512.15 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | A | 826.87 | 849.11 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | B | 433.80 | 442.07 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | C | 613.27 | 630.74 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | D | 617.67 | 627.25 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | A | 826.87 | 849.11 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | B | 433.80 | 442.07 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | C | 613.27 | 630.74 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | D | 617.67 | 627.25 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | A | 675.13 | 693.29 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | B | 354.20 | 360.95 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | C | 500.73 | 515.00 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | D | 504.33 | 512.15 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | A | 565.39 | 580.60 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | B | 296.62 | 302.28 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | C | 419.34 | 431.29 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | D | 422.35 | 428.90 | N/A | N/A |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (Veh/hr) - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |  |
|  | A | 2.000 | 78.000 | 405.000 | 266.000 |  |
|  | B | 52.000 | 0.000 | 41.000 | 301.000 |  |
|  | C | 362.000 | 44.000 | 0.000 | 151.000 |  |
|  | D | 157.000 | 260.000 | 140.000 | 4.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C | $\mathbf{D}$ |
|  | A | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.54 | 0.35 |
|  | B | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.76 |
|  | C | 0.65 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.27 |
|  | D | 0.28 | 0.46 | 0.25 | 0.01 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |  |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.042 | 1.012 |  |
|  | B | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.051 | 1.018 |  |
|  | C | 1.038 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.014 |  |
|  | D | 1.014 | 1.025 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |
|  | A | 0.000 | 0.000 | 4.200 | 1.200 |
|  | B | 0.000 | 0.000 | 5.100 | 1.800 |
|  | C | 3.800 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.400 |
|  | D | 1.400 | 2.500 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## Results

## Results Summary

| Arm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \\ & \text { RFC } \end{aligned}$ | Max <br> Delay <br> (min) | Max Queue (Veh) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Total Arrivals (Veh) | Total Queueing Delay (Veh-min) | Average Queueing Delay (min) | Rate Of Queueing Delay (Veh$\min / m i n$ ) | Inclusive Queueing Total Delay (Veh-min) | Inclusive Queueing Average Delay (min) | Slope | Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.91 | 0.66 | 8.47 | E | 689.13 | 1033.70 | 326.28 | 0.32 | 3.63 | 326.33 | 0.32 | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B | 0.74 | 0.38 | 2.65 | C | 361.54 | 542.31 | 126.55 | 0.23 | 1.41 | 126.57 | 0.23 | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C | 0.72 | 0.25 | 2.44 | B | 511.11 | 766.67 | 126.88 | 0.17 | 1.41 | 126.90 | 0.17 | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D | 0.75 | 0.29 | 2.86 | C | 514.79 | 772.18 | 146.94 | 0.19 | 1.63 | 146.97 | 0.19 | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

## Main Results

Main results: (16:45-17:00)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 565.39 | 141.35 | 560.19 | 428.14 | 334.60 | 0.00 | 991.16 | 846.83 | 0.570 | 0.00 | 1.30 |
| B | 296.62 | 74.16 | 293.97 | 285.24 | 609.55 | 0.00 | 737.07 | 560.38 | 0.402 | 0.00 | 0.66 |
| C | 419.34 | 104.83 | 416.38 | 437.24 | 466.27 | 0.00 | 978.32 | 787.94 | 0.429 | 0.00 | 0.74 |
| D | 422.35 | 105.59 | 418.96 | 538.86 | 343.79 | 0.00 | 912.29 | 748.16 | 0.463 | 0.00 | 0.85 |

Main results: (17:00-17:15)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 675.13 | 168.78 | 671.11 | 513.28 | 401.24 | 0.00 | 955.05 | 846.82 | 0.707 | 1.30 | 2.31 |
| B | 354.20 | 88.55 | 352.54 | 341.98 | 730.37 | 0.00 | 674.40 | 560.38 | 0.525 | 0.66 | 1.08 |
| C | 500.73 | 125.18 | 499.09 | 523.98 | 558.92 | 0.00 | 926.97 | 787.94 | 0.540 | 0.74 | 1.15 |
| D | 504.33 | 126.08 | 502.42 | 645.91 | 412.10 | 0.00 | 875.74 | 748.16 | 0.576 | 0.85 | 1.33 |

Main results: (17:15-17:30)

| Arm | Demand (Veh/hr) | Arrivals (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow (Veh/hr) | Circulating Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | Saturation Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start Queue (Veh) | End Queue (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 826.87 | 206.72 | 806.72 | 625.41 | 488.77 | 0.00 | 907.62 | 846.82 | 0.911 | 2.31 | 7.34 |
| B | 433.80 | 108.45 | 428.28 | 415.47 | 880.02 | 0.00 | 596.81 | 560.38 | 0.727 | 1.08 | 2.46 |
| C | 613.27 | 153.32 | 608.51 | 632.34 | 675.96 | 0.00 | 862.09 | 787.94 | 0.711 | 1.15 | 2.34 |
| D | 617.68 | 154.42 | 611.96 | 782.26 | 502.22 | 0.00 | 827.52 | 748.16 | 0.746 | 1.33 | 2.75 |

Main results: (17:30-17:45)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 826.87 | 206.72 | 822.37 | 630.40 | 492.92 | 0.00 | 905.37 | 846.82 | 0.913 | 7.34 | 8.47 |
| B | 433.80 | 108.45 | 433.05 | 419.89 | 895.39 | 0.00 | 588.82 | 560.38 | 0.737 | 2.46 | 2.65 |
| C | 613.27 | 153.32 | 612.88 | 642.59 | 685.86 | 0.00 | 856.61 | 787.94 | 0.716 | 2.34 | 2.44 |
| D | 617.68 | 154.42 | 617.24 | 792.66 | 506.07 | 0.00 | 825.46 | 748.16 | 0.748 | 2.75 | 2.86 |

Main results: (17:45-18:00)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $($ Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $($ Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 675.13 | 168.78 | 698.76 | 520.74 | 407.33 | 0.00 | 951.75 | 846.82 | 0.709 | 8.47 | 2.56 |
| B | 354.20 | 88.55 | 360.03 | 348.95 | 757.13 | 0.00 | 660.48 | 560.38 | 0.536 | 2.65 | 1.19 |
| C | 500.73 | 125.18 | 505.59 | 541.60 | 575.56 | 0.00 | 917.75 | 787.94 | 0.546 | 2.44 | 1.23 |
| D | 504.33 | 126.08 | 510.16 | 663.25 | 417.91 | 0.00 | 872.64 | 748.16 | 0.578 | 2.86 | 1.40 |

Main results: (18:00-18:15)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathrm{Veh} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathrm{Veh} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $(\mathrm{Veh} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 565.39 | 141.35 | 570.18 | 433.44 | 338.93 | 0.00 | 988.81 | 846.83 | 0.572 | 2.56 | 1.36 |


| B | 296.62 | 74.16 | 298.61 | 289.21 | 619.92 | 0.00 | 731.68 | 560.38 | 0.405 | 1.19 | 0.69 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 419.34 | 104.83 | 421.18 | 444.49 | 474.04 | 0.00 | 974.02 | 787.94 | 0.431 | 1.23 | 0.77 |
| D | 422.35 | 105.59 | 424.45 | 547.29 | 347.93 | 0.00 | 910.08 | 748.16 | 0.464 | 1.40 | 0.88 |

## Queueing Delay Results

Queueing Delay results: (16:45-17:00)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 18.36 | 1.22 | 0.138 | A | A |
| B | 9.49 | 0.63 | 0.135 | A | A |
| C | 10.66 | 0.71 | 0.106 | A | A |
| D | 12.15 | 0.81 | 0.121 | A | A |

Queueing Delay results: (17:00-17:15)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 32.15 | 2.14 | 0.208 | B | B |
| B | 15.39 | 1.03 | 0.185 | B | B |
| C | 16.57 | 1.10 | 0.140 | A | A |
| D | 18.96 | 1.26 | 0.160 | A | A |

Queueing Delay results: (17:15-17:30)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 87.70 | 5.85 | 0.515 | D | C |
| B | 32.99 | 2.20 | 0.345 | C | C |
| C | 32.29 | 2.15 | 0.232 | B | B |
| D | 37.41 | 2.49 | 0.271 | C | B |

Queueing Delay results: (17:30-17:45)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 119.87 | 7.99 | 0.658 | E | D |
| B | 38.67 | 2.58 | 0.382 | C | C |
| C | 36.06 | 2.40 | 0.245 | B | B |
| D | 42.29 | 2.82 | 0.287 | C | B |

Queueing Delay results: (17:45-18:00)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 46.61 | 3.11 | 0.257 | C | B |
| B | 19.19 | 1.28 | 0.203 | B | B |
| C | 19.41 | 1.29 | 0.147 | A | A |
| D | 22.41 | 1.49 | 0.168 | B | B |

Queueing Delay results: (18:00-18:15)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 21.59 | 1.44 | 0.145 | A | A |


| $\mathbf{B}$ | 10.83 | 0.72 | 0.139 | A | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | 11.89 | 0.79 | 0.109 | A | A |
| $\mathbf{D}$ | 13.71 | 0.91 | 0.124 | A | A |

## Overview: Standard Roundabout Geometry

Standard Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach <br> road half-width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | E Entry <br> width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | I' Effective <br> flare length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry <br> radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | D - Inscribed <br> circle diameter <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict <br> (entry) angle <br> $(\mathbf{d e g})$ | Exit <br> Only | Final <br> Slope | Final <br> Intercept <br> $($ PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.70 | 4.50 | 3.40 | 7.00 | 19.00 | 16.50 |  | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B | 3.45 | 4.10 | 2.10 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 15.00 |  | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C | 4.20 | 4.45 | 2.80 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 10.00 |  | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D | 3.35 | 4.80 | 2.60 | 6.30 | 19.00 | 14.00 |  | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

## Overview: Time Segment Results

## Time Segment Results

| Time Segment | Arm | Demand (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Start Queue (Veh) | End Queue (Veh) | Queueing Total Delay (Veh-min) | Geometric <br> Total Delay (Veh-min) | Average <br> Delay Per <br> Arriving <br> Vehicle (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 565.39 | 991.16 | 0.570 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.30 | 18.36 | (0.02) | 0.138 |
| 1 | B | 296.62 | 737.07 | 0.402 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 9.49 | (0.02) | 0.135 |
| 1 | C | 419.34 | 978.32 | 0.429 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 10.66 | (0.02) | 0.106 |
| 1 | D | 422.35 | 912.29 | 0.463 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.85 | 12.15 | (0.02) | 0.121 |
| 2 | A | 675.13 | 955.05 | 0.707 | 0.00 | 1.30 | 2.31 | 32.15 | (0.02) | 0.208 |
| 2 | B | 354.20 | 674.40 | 0.525 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 1.08 | 15.39 | (0.02) | 0.185 |
| 2 | C | 500.73 | 926.97 | 0.540 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 1.15 | 16.57 | (0.02) | 0.140 |
| 2 | D | 504.33 | 875.74 | 0.576 | 0.00 | 0.85 | 1.33 | 18.96 | (0.02) | 0.160 |
| 3 | A | 826.87 | 907.62 | 0.911 | 0.00 | 2.31 | 7.34 | 87.70 | (0.02) | 0.515 |
| 3 | B | 433.80 | 596.81 | 0.727 | 0.00 | 1.08 | 2.46 | 32.99 | (0.02) | 0.345 |
| 3 | C | 613.27 | 862.09 | 0.711 | 0.00 | 1.15 | 2.34 | 32.29 | (0.02) | 0.232 |
| 3 | D | 617.68 | 827.52 | 0.746 | 0.00 | 1.33 | 2.75 | 37.41 | (0.02) | 0.271 |
| 4 | A | 826.87 | 905.37 | 0.913 | 0.00 | 7.34 | 8.47 | 119.87 | (0.02) | 0.658 |
| 4 | B | 433.80 | 588.82 | 0.737 | 0.00 | 2.46 | 2.65 | 38.67 | (0.02) | 0.382 |
| 4 | C | 613.27 | 856.61 | 0.716 | 0.00 | 2.34 | 2.44 | 36.06 | (0.02) | 0.245 |
| 4 | D | 617.68 | 825.46 | 0.748 | 0.00 | 2.75 | 2.86 | 42.29 | (0.02) | 0.287 |
| 5 | A | 675.13 | 951.75 | 0.709 | 0.00 | 8.47 | 2.56 | 46.61 | (0.02) | 0.257 |
| 5 | B | 354.20 | 660.48 | 0.536 | 0.00 | 2.65 | 1.19 | 19.19 | (0.02) | 0.203 |
| 5 | C | 500.73 | 917.75 | 0.546 | 0.00 | 2.44 | 1.23 | 19.41 | (0.02) | 0.147 |
| 5 | D | 504.33 | 872.64 | 0.578 | 0.00 | 2.86 | 1.40 | 22.41 | (0.02) | 0.168 |
| 6 | A | 565.39 | 988.81 | 0.572 | 0.00 | 2.56 | 1.36 | 21.59 | (0.02) | 0.145 |
| 6 | B | 296.62 | 731.68 | 0.405 | 0.00 | 1.19 | 0.69 | 10.83 | (0.02) | 0.139 |
| 6 | C | 419.34 | 974.02 | 0.431 | 0.00 | 1.23 | 0.77 | 11.89 | (0.02) | 0.109 |
| 6 | D | 422.35 | 910.08 | 0.464 | 0.00 | 1.40 | 0.88 | 13.71 | (0.02) | 0.124 |

## A1 - (Default Analysis Set) - D5 - Base + CD, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Include In <br> Report | Use Specific <br> Demand Set | Demand <br> Set | Locked | Network Flow <br> Scaling Factor <br> (\%) | Network <br> Capacity Scaling <br> Factor (\%) | Reason For <br> Scaling <br> Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default <br> Analysis <br> Set) |  | Yes |  | (D1) |  | 100.000 | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Nam e | Scenari <br> o Name | Time <br> Perio <br> d <br> Name | Descriptio <br> n | Locke <br> d | Run <br> Automatical ly | Use <br> Relationsh ip | Relationsh ip | Start <br> Time <br> (HH:m <br> m) | Finish <br> Time <br> (HH:m <br> m) | Time <br> Perio <br> d <br> Lengt h <br> (min) | Time Segme nt Length (min) | Traffi <br> C <br> Profil <br> e Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Base } \\ + \\ \text { CD, } \\ \text { AM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base + } \\ \text { CD } \end{gathered}$ | AM |  |  | Yes |  |  | 07:45 | 09:15 | 90 | 15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ONE } \\ \text { HOU } \\ R \end{gathered}$ |

## Roundabout Network

Roundabout Type(s)

| ID | Name | Arm Order | Roundabout Type | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Do Geometric Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | A,B,C,D | Standard |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting | Road Surface | In London |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown | ((Mini-roundabouts only)) |  |

## Arms

## Arms

| ID | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Wemborough Road (E) |  |
| B | St Andrew's Drive |  |
| C | Wemborough Road (W) |  |
| D | Abercorn Road |  |

## Capacity Options

| Arm | Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Assume Flat Start Profile | Initial Queue (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |


| B | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |
| D | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |

Standard Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road <br> half-width (m) | E - Entry <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | I' - Effective flare <br> length (m) | $\mathbf{R}-$ Entry <br> radius $(\mathbf{m})$ | D - Inscribed circle <br> diameter (m) | PHI - Conflict <br> (entry) angle (deg) | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.70 | 4.50 | 3.40 | 7.00 | 19.00 | 16.50 |  |
| B | 3.45 | 4.10 | 2.10 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 15.00 |  |
| C | 4.20 | 4.45 | 2.80 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 10.00 |  |
| D | 3.35 | 4.80 | 2.60 | 6.30 | 19.00 | 14.00 |  |

## Pedestrian Crossings

| Arm | Crossing Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | None |
| B | None |
| C | None |
| D | None |

## Arm Slope/ Intercept and Capacity

Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter Directly | Slope | Intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $(($ calculated)) | $(($ calculated)) | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.516 | 1073.293 |  |
| C | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.562 | 1271.998 |  |
| D | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.528 | 1113.227 |  |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

## Demand Set Data Options

| Default | Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Over <br> Time | PCU <br> Over <br> Turn | Varies <br> Over <br> Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | Factor <br> for a <br> HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Turn | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Entry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | Yes | HV <br> Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  |

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | PHF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| A | ONE HOUR | Yes | 685.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| B | ONE HOUR | Yes | 418.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| C | ONE HOUR | Yes | 583.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| D | ONE HOUR | Yes | 642.00 | 100.000 | N/A |

## Direct/Resultant Flows

## Direct Flows Data

| Time Segment | Arm | Direct Demand Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | DirectDemandEntryFlowInPCU (PCU/hr) | Direct Demand Exit Flow (Veh/hr) | Direct Demand Pedestrian Flow (Ped/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 515.70 | 529.40 | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | B | 314.69 | 319.40 | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | C | 438.91 | 450.04 | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | D | 483.33 | 489.64 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | A | 615.80 | 632.16 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | B | 375.77 | 381.39 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | C | 524.11 | 537.39 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | D | 577.14 | 584.68 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | A | 754.20 | 774.24 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | B | 460.23 | 467.11 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | C | 641.89 | 658.17 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | D | 706.86 | 716.08 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | A | 754.20 | 774.24 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | B | 460.23 | 467.11 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | C | 641.89 | 658.17 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | D | 706.86 | 716.08 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | A | 615.80 | 632.16 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | B | 375.77 | 381.39 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | C | 524.11 | 537.39 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | D | 577.14 | 584.68 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | A | 515.70 | 529.40 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | B | 314.69 | 319.40 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | C | 438.91 | 450.04 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | D | 483.33 | 489.64 | N/A | N/A |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (Veh/hr) - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |  |
|  | A | 1.000 | 84.000 | 386.000 | 214.000 |  |
|  | B | 82.000 | 1.000 | 40.000 | 295.000 |  |
|  | C | 383.000 | 73.000 | 1.000 | 126.000 |  |
|  | D | 194.000 | 371.000 | 70.000 | 7.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{A}$ | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.56 | 0.31 |  |
|  | B | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.71 |  |


|  | $\mathbf{C}$ | 0.66 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.22 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{D}$ | 0.30 | 0.58 | 0.11 | 0.01 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.013 | 1.036 | 1.015 |
|  | B | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.053 | 1.014 |
|  | C | 1.033 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.017 |
|  | D | 1.011 | 1.014 | 1.015 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To |  |  |  |  |  |
| From |  | A | B | C | D |
|  | A | 0.000 | 1.300 | 3.600 | 1.500 |
|  | B | 0.000 | 0.000 | 5.300 | 1.400 |
|  | C | 3.300 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.700 |
|  | D | 1.100 | 1.400 | 1.500 | 0.000 |

## Results

## Results Summary

| Arm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \\ & \text { RFC } \end{aligned}$ | Max <br> Delay (min) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Total Arrivals (Veh) | Total Queueing Delay (Veh-min) | Average Queueing Delay (min) | Rate Of Queueing Delay (Vehmin/min) | Inclusive Queueing Total Delay (Veh-min) | Inclusive Queueing Average Delay (min) | Slope | Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.87 | 0.51 | 6.07 | D | 628.57 | 942.85 | 254.48 | 0.27 | 2.83 | 254.53 | 0.27 | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B | 0.69 | 0.29 | 2.13 | C | 383.56 | 575.35 | 110.84 | 0.19 | 1.23 | 110.86 | 0.19 | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C | 0.73 | 0.26 | 2.67 | C | 534.97 | 802.46 | 136.65 | 0.17 | 1.52 | 136.67 | 0.17 | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D | 0.90 | 0.70 | 7.65 | E | 589.11 | 883.67 | 295.72 | 0.33 | 3.29 | 295.77 | 0.33 | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

## Main Results

Main results: (07:45-08:00)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 515.70 | 128.93 | 511.17 | 492.88 | 390.04 | 0.00 | 961.96 | 851.60 | 0.536 | 0.00 | 1.13 |
| B | 314.69 | 78.67 | 312.10 | 394.57 | 506.64 | 0.00 | 793.13 | 622.51 | 0.397 | 0.00 | 0.65 |
| C | 438.91 | 109.73 | 435.78 | 370.84 | 447.89 | 0.00 | 991.91 | 775.44 | 0.442 | 0.00 | 0.78 |
| D | 483.33 | 120.83 | 478.60 | 479.35 | 404.31 | 0.00 | 883.41 | 708.56 | 0.547 | 0.00 | 1.18 |

Main results: (08:00-08:15)


|  | (Veh/hr) | (Veh) | Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | (Veh/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Queue <br> (Veh) | Queue <br> (Veh) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 615.80 | 153.95 | 612.53 | 590.77 | 467.39 | 0.00 | 920.14 | 851.60 | 0.669 | 1.13 | 1.95 |
| B | 375.77 | 93.94 | 374.34 | 472.82 | 607.10 | 0.00 | 740.70 | 622.51 | 0.507 | 0.65 | 1.01 |
| C | 524.11 | 131.03 | 522.33 | 444.41 | 537.02 | 0.00 | 942.43 | 775.44 | 0.556 | 0.78 | 1.23 |
| D | 577.14 | 144.29 | 573.49 | 574.69 | 484.67 | 0.00 | 840.59 | 708.56 | 0.687 | 1.18 | 2.10 |

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 754.20 | 188.55 | 740.32 | 716.85 | 562.46 | 0.00 | 868.75 | 851.60 | 0.868 | 1.95 | 5.42 |
| B | 460.23 | 115.06 | 456.13 | 569.56 | 733.23 | 0.00 | 674.89 | 622.51 | 0.682 | 1.01 | 2.03 |
| C | 641.90 | 160.47 | 636.55 | 537.01 | 652.35 | 0.00 | 878.41 | 775.44 | 0.731 | 1.23 | 2.56 |
| D | 706.86 | 176.71 | 688.67 | 698.27 | 590.63 | 0.00 | 784.12 | 708.56 | 0.901 | 2.10 | 6.64 |

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 754.20 | 188.55 | 751.58 | 725.11 | 572.96 | 0.00 | 863.06 | 851.60 | 0.874 | 5.42 | 6.07 |
| B | 460.23 | 115.06 | 459.84 | 579.74 | 744.82 | 0.00 | 668.84 | 622.51 | 0.688 | 2.03 | 2.13 |
| C | 641.90 | 160.47 | 641.49 | 545.25 | 659.40 | 0.00 | 874.50 | 775.44 | 0.734 | 2.56 | 2.67 |
| D | 706.86 | 176.71 | 702.82 | 705.63 | 595.25 | 0.00 | 781.65 | 708.56 | 0.904 | 6.64 | 7.65 |

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $($ Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 615.80 | 153.95 | 631.38 | 604.20 | 485.72 | 0.00 | 910.22 | 851.60 | 0.677 | 6.07 | 2.18 |
| B | 375.77 | 93.94 | 379.96 | 490.46 | 626.64 | 0.00 | 730.51 | 622.51 | 0.514 | 2.13 | 1.08 |
| C | 524.11 | 131.03 | 529.58 | 458.30 | 548.30 | 0.00 | 936.17 | 775.44 | 0.560 | 2.67 | 1.30 |
| D | 577.14 | 144.29 | 598.42 | 586.38 | 491.49 | 0.00 | 836.95 | 708.56 | 0.690 | 7.65 | 2.33 |

Main results: (09:00-09:15)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 515.70 | 128.93 | 519.66 | 499.81 | 397.03 | 0.00 | 958.18 | 851.60 | 0.538 | 2.18 | 1.19 |
| B | 314.69 | 78.67 | 316.33 | 401.51 | 515.18 | 0.00 | 788.66 | 622.51 | 0.399 | 1.08 | 0.67 |
| C | 438.91 | 109.73 | 440.87 | 377.03 | 454.48 | 0.00 | 988.25 | 775.44 | 0.444 | 1.30 | 0.81 |
| D | 483.33 | 120.83 | 487.69 | 486.20 | 409.16 | 0.00 | 880.83 | 708.56 | 0.549 | 2.33 | 1.24 |

## Queueing Delay Results

Queueing Delay results: (07:45-08:00)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 16.09 | 1.07 | 0.132 | A | A |
| B | 9.31 | 0.62 | 0.124 | A | A |
| C | 11.27 | 0.75 | 0.107 | A | A |


| D | 16.69 | 1.11 | 0.147 | A | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Queueing Delay results: (08:00-08:15)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 27.41 | 1.83 | 0.193 | B | B |
| B | 14.47 | 0.96 | 0.163 | A | A |
| C | 17.63 | 1.18 | 0.142 | A | A |
| D | 29.21 | 1.95 | 0.222 | B | B |

Queueing Delay results: (08:15-08:30)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 67.90 | 4.53 | 0.427 | D | C |
| B | 27.96 | 1.86 | 0.269 | C | B |
| C | 35.12 | 2.34 | 0.243 | B | B |
| D | 79.54 | 5.30 | 0.547 | D | C |

Queueing Delay results: (08:30-08:45)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 87.14 | 5.81 | 0.514 | D | C |
| B | 31.42 | 2.09 | 0.286 | C | B |
| C | 39.42 | 2.63 | 0.256 | C | B |
| D | 108.36 | 7.22 | 0.696 | E | D |

Queueing Delay results: (08:45-09:00)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 37.21 | 2.48 | 0.226 | B | B |
| B | 17.20 | 1.15 | 0.173 | B | B |
| C | 20.64 | 1.38 | 0.149 | A | A |
| D | 42.24 | 2.82 | 0.272 | C | B |

Queueing Delay results: (09:00-09:15)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle ( $\mathbf{m i n}$ ) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 18.72 | 1.25 | 0.138 | A | A |
| B | 10.48 | 0.70 | 0.127 | A | A |
| C | 12.58 | 0.84 | 0.110 | A | A |
| D | 19.67 | 1.31 | 0.154 | A | A |

## Overview: Standard Roundabout Geometry

Standard Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach <br> road half-width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | E-Entry <br> width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | I' Effective <br> flare length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry <br> radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | D - Inscribed <br> circle diameter <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict <br> $($ entry $)$ angle <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Exit <br> Only | Final <br> Slope | Final <br> Intercept <br> $($ PCU/hr $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.70 | 4.50 | 3.40 | 7.00 | 19.00 | 16.50 |  | 0.548 | 1204.008 |


| B | 3.45 | 4.10 | 2.10 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 15.00 |  | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 4.20 | 4.45 | 2.80 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 10.00 |  | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D | 3.35 | 4.80 | 2.60 | 6.30 | 19.00 | 14.00 |  | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

## Overview: Time Segment Results

## Time Segment Results

| Time Segment | Arm | Demand (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Start Queue (Veh) | End Queue (Veh) | Queueing Total Delay (Veh-min) | Geometric <br> Total Delay (Veh-min) | Average <br> Delay Per <br> Arriving <br> Vehicle (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 515.70 | 961.96 | 0.536 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.13 | 16.09 | (0.02) | 0.132 |
| 1 | B | 314.69 | 793.13 | 0.397 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 9.31 | (0.02) | 0.124 |
| 1 | C | 438.91 | 991.91 | 0.442 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.78 | 11.27 | (0.02) | 0.107 |
| 1 | D | 483.33 | 883.41 | 0.547 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.18 | 16.69 | (0.02) | 0.147 |
| 2 | A | 615.80 | 920.14 | 0.669 | 0.00 | 1.13 | 1.95 | 27.41 | (0.02) | 0.193 |
| 2 | B | 375.77 | 740.70 | 0.507 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 1.01 | 14.47 | (0.02) | 0.163 |
| 2 | C | 524.11 | 942.43 | 0.556 | 0.00 | 0.78 | 1.23 | 17.63 | (0.02) | 0.142 |
| 2 | D | 577.14 | 840.59 | 0.687 | 0.00 | 1.18 | 2.10 | 29.21 | (0.02) | 0.222 |
| 3 | A | 754.20 | 868.75 | 0.868 | 0.00 | 1.95 | 5.42 | 67.90 | (0.02) | 0.427 |
| 3 | B | 460.23 | 674.89 | 0.682 | 0.00 | 1.01 | 2.03 | 27.96 | (0.02) | 0.269 |
| 3 | C | 641.90 | 878.41 | 0.731 | 0.00 | 1.23 | 2.56 | 35.12 | (0.02) | 0.243 |
| 3 | D | 706.86 | 784.12 | 0.901 | 0.00 | 2.10 | 6.64 | 79.54 | (0.02) | 0.547 |
| 4 | A | 754.20 | 863.06 | 0.874 | 0.00 | 5.42 | 6.07 | 87.14 | (0.02) | 0.514 |
| 4 | B | 460.23 | 668.84 | 0.688 | 0.00 | 2.03 | 2.13 | 31.42 | (0.02) | 0.286 |
| 4 | C | 641.90 | 874.50 | 0.734 | 0.00 | 2.56 | 2.67 | 39.42 | (0.02) | 0.256 |
| 4 | D | 706.86 | 781.65 | 0.904 | 0.00 | 6.64 | 7.65 | 108.36 | (0.02) | 0.696 |
| 5 | A | 615.80 | 910.22 | 0.677 | 0.00 | 6.07 | 2.18 | 37.21 | (0.02) | 0.226 |
| 5 | B | 375.77 | 730.51 | 0.514 | 0.00 | 2.13 | 1.08 | 17.20 | (0.02) | 0.173 |
| 5 | C | 524.11 | 936.17 | 0.560 | 0.00 | 2.67 | 1.30 | 20.64 | (0.02) | 0.149 |
| 5 | D | 577.14 | 836.95 | 0.690 | 0.00 | 7.65 | 2.33 | 42.24 | (0.02) | 0.272 |
| 6 | A | 515.70 | 958.18 | 0.538 | 0.00 | 2.18 | 1.19 | 18.72 | (0.02) | 0.138 |
| 6 | B | 314.69 | 788.66 | 0.399 | 0.00 | 1.08 | 0.67 | 10.48 | (0.02) | 0.127 |
| 6 | C | 438.91 | 988.25 | 0.444 | 0.00 | 1.30 | 0.81 | 12.58 | (0.02) | 0.110 |
| 6 | D | 483.33 | 880.83 | 0.549 | 0.00 | 2.33 | 1.24 | 19.67 | (0.02) | 0.154 |

## A1 - (Default Analysis Set) - D6 - Base + CD, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Include In <br> Report | Use Specific <br> Demand Set | Demand <br> Set | Locked | Network Flow <br> Scaling Factor | Network <br> Capacity Scaling | Reason For <br> Scaling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | (\%) | Factor (\%) | Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default <br> Analysis <br> Set) |  | Yes |  | (D1) |  | 100.000 | 100.000 |  |

Demand Set Details

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Nam } \\ e \end{gathered}$ | Scenari <br> o Name | Time <br> Perio <br> d <br> Name | Descriptio <br> n | Locke <br> d | Run <br> Automatical ly | Use Relationsh ip | Relationsh ip | Start <br> Time <br> (HH:m <br> m) | Finish Time (HH:m m) | Time <br> Perio <br> d <br> Lengt h (min) | Time <br> Segme nt Length (min) | Traffi <br> C Profil e Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Base } \\ + \\ \text { CD, } \\ \text { PM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base + } \\ \text { CD } \end{gathered}$ | PM |  |  | Yes |  |  | 16:45 | 18:15 | 90 | 15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ONE } \\ \text { HOU } \\ \text { R } \end{gathered}$ |

## Roundabout Network

## Roundabout Type(s)

| ID | Name | Arm Order | Roundabout Type | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Do Geometric Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | A,B,C,D | Standard |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting | Road Surface | In London |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown | ((Mini-roundabouts only)) |  |

## Arms

## Arms

| ID | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Wemborough Road (E) |  |
| B | St Andrew's Drive |  |
| C | Wemborough Road (W) |  |
| D | Abercorn Road |  |

## Capacity Options

| Arm | Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Assume Flat Start Profile | Initial Queue (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |
| B | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |
| C | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |
| D | 0.00 | 99999.00 | 0.00 |  |

## Standard Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road <br> half-width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E-Entry <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | ' - Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R}-$ Entry <br> radius $(\mathbf{m})$ | - Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict <br> (entry) angle (deg) $)$ | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.70 | 4.50 | 3.40 | 7.00 | 19.00 | 16.50 |  |
| B | 3.45 | 4.10 | 2.10 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 15.00 |  |
| C | 4.20 | 4.45 | 2.80 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 10.00 |  |


| $\mathbf{D}$ | 3.35 | 4.80 | 2.60 | 6.30 | 19.00 | 14.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Pedestrian Crossings

| Arm | Crossing Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | None |
| B | None |
| C | None |
| D | None |

## Arm Slope/ Intercept and Capacity

Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter Directly | Slope | Intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B |  | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C |  | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D |  | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Default } \\ \text { Vehicle } \\ \text { Mix }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Vehicle } \\ \text { Mix }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Vehicle } \\ \text { Mix } \\ \text { Over } \\ \text { Time }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Vehicle } \\ \text { Varies } \\ \text { Over } \\ \text { Turn }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Varies } \\ \text { Over } \\ \text { Entry }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { PCU } \\ \text { Vehicle Mix } \\ \text { Source }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Factor } \\ \text { for a } \\ \text { HV } \\ \text { (PCU) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Default } \\ \text { Turning } \\ \text { Proportions }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Estimate } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { entry/exit } \\ \text { counts }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Turning } \\ \text { Proportions } \\ \text { Vary Over } \\ \text { Time }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Turning } \\ \text { Proportions } \\ \text { Vary Over } \\ \text { Turn }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Turning } \\ \text { Proportions } \\ \text { Vary Over } \\ \text { Entry }\end{array}\right]$

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | PHF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | Yes | 805.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| B | ONE HOUR | Yes | 412.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| C | ONE HOUR | Yes | 575.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| D | ONE HOUR | Yes | 579.00 | 100.000 | N/A |

## Direct/Resultant Flows

## Direct Flows Data

| Time <br> Segment | Arm | Direct Demand <br> Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | DirectDemandEntryFlowInPCU <br> (PCU/hr) | Direct Demand <br> Exit Flow (Veh/hr) | Direct Demand <br> Pedestrian Flow <br> (Ped/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | A | 606.05 | 621.14 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B | 310.18 | 315.83 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |


| 1 | C | 432.89 | 444.78 | N/A | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | D | 435.90 | 442.38 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | A | 723.68 | 741.70 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | B | 370.38 | 377.13 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | C | 516.91 | 531.11 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | D | 520.51 | 528.24 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | A | 886.32 | 908.39 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | B | 453.62 | 461.89 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | C | 633.09 | 650.48 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | D | 637.49 | 646.96 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | A | 886.32 | 908.39 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | B | 453.62 | 461.89 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | C | 633.09 | 650.48 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | D | 637.49 | 646.96 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | A | 723.68 | 741.70 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | B | 370.38 | 377.13 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | C | 516.91 | 531.11 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | D | 520.51 | 528.24 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | A | 606.05 | 621.14 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | B | 310.18 | 315.83 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | C | 432.89 | 444.78 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | D | 435.90 | 442.38 | N/A | N/A |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (Veh/hr) - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |  |
|  | A | 2.000 | 96.000 | 423.000 | 284.000 |  |
|  | B | 70.000 | 0.000 | 41.000 | 301.000 |  |
|  | C | 380.000 | 44.000 | 0.000 | 151.000 |  |
|  | D | 175.000 | 260.000 | 140.000 | 4.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{A}$ | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.53 | 0.35 |
|  | B | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.73 |
|  | $\mathbf{C}$ | 0.66 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.26 |
|  | D | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.24 | 0.01 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)
To

| From |  | A | B | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.040 | 1.011 |
|  | B | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.051 | 1.018 |
|  | C | 1.036 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.014 |
|  | D | 1.012 | 1.025 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |
|  | A | 0.000 | 0.000 | 4.000 | 1.100 |
|  | B | 0.000 | 0.000 | 5.100 | 1.800 |
|  | C | 3.600 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.400 |
|  | D | 1.200 | 2.500 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## Results

Results Summary

| Arm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \\ & \text { RFC } \end{aligned}$ | Max <br> Delay (min) | Max Queue (Veh) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Total Arrivals (Veh) | Total Queueing Delay (Veh-min) | Average Queueing Delay (min) | Rate Of Queueing Delay (Vehmin/min) | Inclusive Queueing Total Delay (Veh-min) | Inclusive <br> Queueing <br> Average <br> Delay <br> (min) | Slope | Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.98 | 1.13 | 16.08 | F | 738.68 | 1108.02 | 513.33 | 0.46 | 5.70 | 513.41 | 0.46 | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B | 0.79 | 0.48 | 3.44 | D | 378.06 | 567.09 | 154.36 | 0.27 | 1.72 | 154.38 | 0.27 | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C | 0.76 | 0.29 | 2.95 | C | 527.63 | 791.44 | 146.49 | 0.19 | 1.63 | 146.51 | 0.19 | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D | 0.79 | 0.35 | 3.59 | C | 531.30 | 796.95 | 173.19 | 0.22 | 1.92 | 173.22 | 0.22 | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

## Main Results

Main results: (16:45-17:00)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 606.05 | 151.51 | 599.94 | 468.28 | 334.45 | 0.00 | 993.18 | 863.40 | 0.610 | 0.00 | 1.53 |
| B | 310.17 | 77.54 | 307.23 | 298.51 | 635.89 | 0.00 | 724.39 | 565.24 | 0.428 | 0.00 | 0.74 |
| C | 432.89 | 108.22 | 429.68 | 450.33 | 492.79 | 0.00 | 964.81 | 782.86 | 0.449 | 0.00 | 0.80 |
| D | 435.90 | 108.98 | 432.20 | 551.94 | 370.53 | 0.00 | 899.00 | 736.12 | 0.485 | 0.00 | 0.93 |

Main results: (17:00-17:15)

| Arm | Demand <br> $\mathbf{( V e h / h r )}$ | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $\mathbf{( V e h / h r ) ~}$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 723.68 | 180.92 | 718.13 | 561.34 | 401.01 | 0.00 | 957.03 | 863.41 | 0.756 | 1.53 | 2.91 |
| B | 370.38 | 92.59 | 368.35 | 357.77 | 761.38 | 0.00 | 659.33 | 565.24 | 0.562 | 0.74 | 1.24 |
| C | 516.91 | 129.23 | 514.99 | 539.32 | 590.41 | 0.00 | 910.69 | 782.86 | 0.568 | 0.80 | 1.28 |
| D | 520.51 | 130.13 | 518.25 | 661.28 | 444.12 | 0.00 | 859.69 | 736.12 | 0.605 | 0.93 | 1.49 |

Main results: (17:15-17:30)

| Arm | Demand | Arrivals | Entry | Exit | Circulating | Pedestrian | Capacity | Saturation | RFC | Start | End |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | (Veh/hr) | (Veh) | Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | (Veh/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Queue <br> (Veh) | Queue <br> (Veh) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 886.32 | 221.58 | 849.64 | 682.72 | 487.48 | 0.00 | 910.09 | 863.40 | 0.974 | 2.91 | 12.09 |
| B | 453.62 | 113.40 | 446.26 | 432.15 | 904.96 | 0.00 | 584.94 | 565.24 | 0.776 | 1.24 | 3.08 |
| C | 633.09 | 158.27 | 627.07 | 643.16 | 708.06 | 0.00 | 845.46 | 782.86 | 0.749 | 1.28 | 2.79 |
| D | 637.49 | 159.37 | 629.88 | 794.80 | 540.33 | 0.00 | 808.29 | 736.12 | 0.789 | 1.49 | 3.40 |

Main results: (17:30-17:45)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 886.32 | 221.58 | 870.34 | 689.40 | 492.68 | 0.00 | 907.27 | 863.40 | 0.977 | 12.09 | 16.08 |
| B | 453.62 | 113.40 | 452.20 | 438.11 | 924.91 | 0.00 | 574.57 | 565.24 | 0.789 | 3.08 | 3.44 |
| C | 633.09 | 158.27 | 632.44 | 656.30 | 720.81 | 0.00 | 838.40 | 782.86 | 0.755 | 2.79 | 2.95 |
| D | 637.49 | 159.37 | 636.73 | 807.91 | 545.35 | 0.00 | 805.62 | 736.12 | 0.791 | 3.40 | 3.59 |

Main results: (17:45-18:00)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $\mathbf{( V e h / h r ) ~}$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $\mathbf{( V e h / h r )}$ | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 723.68 | 180.92 | 774.34 | 571.62 | 408.76 | 0.00 | 952.83 | 863.41 | 0.760 | 16.08 | 3.41 |
| B | 370.38 | 92.59 | 378.28 | 369.67 | 813.43 | 0.00 | 632.26 | 565.24 | 0.586 | 3.44 | 1.46 |
| C | 516.91 | 129.23 | 523.10 | 572.31 | 619.40 | 0.00 | 894.64 | 782.86 | 0.578 | 2.95 | 1.40 |
| D | 520.51 | 130.13 | 528.46 | 690.57 | 451.93 | 0.00 | 855.53 | 736.12 | 0.608 | 3.59 | 1.60 |

Main results: (18:00-18:15)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 606.05 | 151.51 | 613.23 | 474.80 | 339.23 | 0.00 | 990.58 | 863.40 | 0.612 | 3.41 | 1.62 |
| B | 310.17 | 77.54 | 312.94 | 303.32 | 649.15 | 0.00 | 717.51 | 565.24 | 0.432 | 1.46 | 0.77 |
| C | 432.89 | 108.22 | 435.16 | 459.39 | 502.69 | 0.00 | 959.32 | 782.86 | 0.451 | 1.40 | 0.83 |
| D | 435.90 | 108.98 | 438.45 | 562.28 | 375.58 | 0.00 | 896.31 | 736.12 | 0.486 | 1.60 | 0.96 |

## Queueing Delay Results

Queueing Delay results: (16:45-17:00)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 21.39 | 1.43 | 0.150 | A | A |
| B | 10.49 | 0.70 | 0.143 | A | A |
| C | 11.53 | 0.77 | 0.111 | A | A |
| D | 13.20 | 0.88 | 0.128 | A | A |

Queueing Delay results: (17:00-17:15)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 39.87 | 2.66 | 0.245 | B | B |
| B | 17.63 | 1.18 | 0.205 | B | B |
| C | 18.38 | 1.23 | 0.151 | A | A |
| D | 21.22 | 1.41 | 0.175 | B | B |

Queueing Delay results: (17:15-17:30)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 130.53 | 8.70 | 0.735 | E | D |
| B | 40.33 | 2.69 | 0.412 | C | C |
| C | 37.82 | 2.52 | 0.268 | C | B |
| D | 45.09 | 3.01 | 0.323 | C | B |

Queueing Delay results: (17:30-17:45)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 213.72 | 14.25 | 1.129 | F | E |
| B | 49.61 | 3.31 | 0.480 | D | C |
| C | 43.35 | 2.89 | 0.289 | C | B |
| D | 52.67 | 3.51 | 0.351 | C | C |

Queueing Delay results: (17:45-18:00)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 81.89 | 5.46 | 0.407 | C | C |
| B | 24.12 | 1.61 | 0.243 | B | B |
| C | 22.42 | 1.49 | 0.164 | A | A |
| D | 25.94 | 1.73 | 0.188 | B | B |

Queueing Delay results: (18:00-18:15)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 25.93 | 1.73 | 0.162 | A | A |
| B | 12.18 | 0.81 | 0.149 | A | A |
| C | 12.99 | 0.87 | 0.115 | A | A |
| D | 15.07 | 1.00 | 0.132 | A | A |

## Overview: Standard Roundabout Geometry

## Standard Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road half-width (m) | E-Entry width (m) | I' - Effective flare length (m) | R - Entry radius (m) | D - Inscribed circle diameter (m) | PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg) | Exit <br> Only | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.70 | 4.50 | 3.40 | 7.00 | 19.00 | 16.50 |  | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B | 3.45 | 4.10 | 2.10 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 15.00 |  | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C | 4.20 | 4.45 | 2.80 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 10.00 |  | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D | 3.35 | 4.80 | 2.60 | 6.30 | 19.00 | 14.00 |  | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

## Overview: Time Segment Results

## Time Segment Results

| Time <br> Segment | Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | Queueing <br> Total Delay <br> (Veh-min) | Geometric <br> Total Delay <br> (Veh-min) | Average <br> Delay Per <br> Arriving |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline & & & & & & & & & & \\ \text { Vehicle } \\ \text { (min) }\end{array}\right]$

## A1 - (Default Analysis Set) - D7 - Base + CD + Dev, AM

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Include In <br> Report | Use Specific <br> Demand Set | Demand <br> Set | Locked | Network Flow <br> Scaling Factor <br> (\%) | Network <br> Capacity Scaling <br> Factor (\%) | Reason For <br> Scaling <br> Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default <br> Analysis <br> Set) |  | Yes |  | (D1) |  | 100.000 | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Nam e | Scenari <br> o Name | Time <br> Perio <br> d <br> Name | Descriptio <br> n | Locke <br> d | Run Automatical ly | $\qquad$ | Relationsh ip | Start <br> Time <br> (HH:m <br> m) | Finish Time (HH:m m) | Time Perio d <br> Lengt h (min) | Time <br> Segme nt Length (min) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Traffi } \\ \text { c } \\ \text { Profil } \\ \text { e } \\ \text { Type } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



## Roundabout Network

Roundabout Type(s)

| ID | Name | Arm Order | Roundabout Type | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Do Geometric Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | A,B,C,D | Standard |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting | Road Surface | In London |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown | $(($ Mini-roundabouts only $))$ |  |

## Arms

## Arms

| ID | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Wemborough Road (E) |  |
| B | St Andrew's Drive |  |
| C | Wemborough Road (W) |  |
| D | Abercorn Road |  |

Capacity Options
Arm Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) Assume Flat Start Profile Initial Queue (PCU)

| A | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | 0.00 | 99999.00 | 0.00 |  |
| C | 0.00 | 99999.00 | 0.00 |  |
| D | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |

## Standard Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road <br> half-width (m) | E - Entry <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{I}-$ Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R}-$ Entry <br> radius $(\mathbf{m})$ | D - Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict <br> (entry) angle (deg) | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.70 | 4.50 | 3.40 | 7.00 | 19.00 | 16.50 |  |
| B | 3.45 | 4.10 | 2.10 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 15.00 |  |
| C | 4.20 | 4.45 | 2.80 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 10.00 |  |
| D | 3.35 | 4.80 | 2.60 | 6.30 | 19.00 | 14.00 |  |

## Pedestrian Crossings

| Arm | Crossing Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | None |
| B | None |
| C | None |
| D | None |

## Arm Slope/ Intercept and Capacity

Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter Directly | Slope | Intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B |  | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C |  | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D |  | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default | Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Varies <br> Over <br> Time | Varies <br> Over <br> Turn | Paries <br> Over <br> Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | Factor <br> for a <br> HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Turning |
| :---: |
| Proportions |
| Vary Over |
| Time | | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Turn |
| :---: |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | PHF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | Yes | 699.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| B | ONE HOUR | Yes | 437.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| C | ONE HOUR | Yes | 599.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| D | ONE HOUR | Yes | 654.00 | 100.000 | N/A |

## Direct/Resultant Flows

## Direct Flows Data

| Time Segment | Arm | Direct Demand Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | DirectDemandEntryFlowInPCU (PCU/hr) | Direct Demand Exit Flow (Veh/hr) | Direct Demand Pedestrian Flow (Ped/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 526.24 | 539.81 | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | B | 329.00 | 333.70 | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | C | 450.96 | 462.18 | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | D | 492.37 | 498.62 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | A | 628.39 | 644.59 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | B | 392.85 | 398.47 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | C | 538.49 | 551.89 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | D | 587.93 | 595.40 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | A | 769.61 | 789.45 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | B | 481.15 | 488.03 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | C | 659.51 | 675.93 | N/A | N/A |


| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 720.07 | 729.21 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | 769.61 | 789.45 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | 481.15 | 488.03 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 659.51 | 675.93 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 720.07 | 729.21 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | 628.39 | 644.59 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | 392.85 | 398.47 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 538.49 | 551.89 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 587.93 | 595.40 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | 526.24 | 539.81 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | 329.00 | 333.70 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 450.96 | 462.18 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 492.37 | 498.62 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (Veh/hr) - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |  |
|  | A | 1.000 | 90.000 | 391.000 | 217.000 |  |
|  | B | 101.000 | 1.000 | 40.000 | 295.000 |  |
|  | C | 399.000 | 73.000 | 1.000 | 126.000 |  |
|  | D | 206.000 | 371.000 | 70.000 | 7.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |  |
|  | A | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.56 | 0.31 |  |
|  | B | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.68 |  |
|  | C | 0.67 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.21 |  |
|  | D | 0.31 | 0.57 | 0.11 | 0.01 |  |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.012 | 1.035 | 1.015 |
|  | B | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.053 | 1.014 |
|  | C | 1.032 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.017 |
|  | D | 1.010 | 1.014 | 1.015 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From |  | A | B | C | D |


| A | 0.000 | 1.200 | 3.500 | 1.500 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B | 0.000 | 0.000 | 5.300 | 1.400 |
| C | 3.200 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.700 |
| D | 1.000 | 1.400 | 1.500 | 0.000 |

## Results

Results Summary

| Arm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \\ & \text { RFC } \end{aligned}$ | Max <br> Delay (min) | Max Queue (Veh) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Total Arrivals (Veh) | Total Queueing Delay (Veh-min) | Average Queueing Delay (min) | Rate Of Queueing Delay (Vehmin/min) | Inclusive Queueing Total Delay (Veh-min) | Inclusive Queueing Average Delay (min) | Slope | Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.89 | 0.57 | 6.82 | D | 641.41 | 962.12 | 276.98 | 0.29 | 3.08 | 277.03 | 0.29 | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B | 0.72 | 0.32 | 2.50 | C | 401.00 | 601.50 | 125.48 | 0.21 | 1.39 | 125.50 | 0.21 | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C | 0.77 | 0.29 | 3.12 | C | 549.65 | 824.48 | 153.50 | 0.19 | 1.71 | 153.52 | 0.19 | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D | 0.95 | 0.97 | 10.91 | F | 600.12 | 900.18 | 375.89 | 0.42 | 4.18 | 375.95 | 0.42 | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

## Main Results

Main results: (07:45-08:00)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 526.24 | 131.56 | 521.52 | 527.78 | 389.84 | 0.00 | 962.81 | 863.36 | 0.547 | 0.00 | 1.18 |
| B | 329.00 | 82.25 | 326.19 | 398.87 | 512.49 | 0.00 | 790.72 | 622.11 | 0.416 | 0.00 | 0.70 |
| C | 450.96 | 112.74 | 447.62 | 374.48 | 464.20 | 0.00 | 983.40 | 773.77 | 0.459 | 0.00 | 0.84 |
| D | 492.36 | 123.09 | 487.27 | 481.47 | 430.34 | 0.00 | 870.11 | 696.08 | 0.566 | 0.00 | 1.27 |

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $\mathbf{( V e h / h r ) ~}$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 628.39 | 157.10 | 624.86 | 632.49 | 466.95 | 0.00 | 921.09 | 863.36 | 0.682 | 1.18 | 2.06 |
| B | 392.85 | 98.21 | 391.21 | 477.79 | 614.01 | 0.00 | 737.74 | 622.11 | 0.533 | 0.70 | 1.11 |
| C | 538.49 | 134.62 | 536.48 | 448.70 | 556.53 | 0.00 | 932.14 | 773.77 | 0.578 | 0.84 | 1.34 |
| D | 587.93 | 146.98 | 583.60 | 577.17 | 515.84 | 0.00 | 824.58 | 696.08 | 0.713 | 1.27 | 2.36 |

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 769.61 | 192.40 | 754.03 | 764.81 | 557.43 | 0.00 | 872.14 | 863.36 | 0.882 | 2.06 | 5.96 |
| B | 481.15 | 120.29 | 476.16 | 571.67 | 739.79 | 0.00 | 672.10 | 622.11 | 0.716 | 1.11 | 2.36 |
| C | 659.51 | 164.88 | 652.98 | 540.78 | 675.17 | 0.00 | 866.27 | 773.77 | 0.761 | 1.34 | 2.97 |
| D | 720.07 | 180.02 | 694.39 | 700.31 | 627.85 | 0.00 | 764.94 | 696.08 | 0.941 | 2.36 | 8.78 |

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow | Exit <br> Flow | Circulating <br> Flow | Pedestrian <br> Demand | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity | RFC | Start <br> Queue | End <br> Queue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  | $\mathbf{( V e h / h r})$ | $\mathbf{( V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | $\mathbf{( V e h / h r})$ | $\mathbf{( P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ |  | $\mathbf{( V e h / h r})$ |  | (Veh) | (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 769.61 | 192.40 | 766.16 | 775.20 | 569.90 | 0.00 | 865.39 | 863.36 | 0.889 | 5.96 | 6.82 |
| B | 481.15 | 120.29 | 480.60 | 583.68 | 752.39 | 0.00 | 665.53 | 622.11 | 0.723 | 2.36 | 2.50 |
| C | 659.51 | 164.88 | 658.91 | 549.82 | 683.17 | 0.00 | 861.83 | 773.77 | 0.765 | 2.97 | 3.12 |
| D | 720.07 | 180.02 | 711.52 | 708.50 | 633.58 | 0.00 | 761.88 | 696.08 | 0.945 | 8.78 | 10.91 |

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 628.39 | 157.10 | 646.22 | 651.65 | 493.53 | 0.00 | 906.68 | 863.36 | 0.693 | 6.82 | 2.36 |
| B | 392.85 | 98.21 | 398.00 | 502.74 | 637.02 | 0.00 | 725.75 | 622.11 | 0.541 | 2.50 | 1.21 |
| C | 538.49 | 134.62 | 545.25 | 465.27 | 569.76 | 0.00 | 924.79 | 773.77 | 0.582 | 3.12 | 1.43 |
| D | 587.93 | 146.98 | 620.81 | 590.63 | 524.38 | 0.00 | 820.03 | 696.08 | 0.717 | 10.91 | 2.69 |

Main results: (09:00-09:15)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 526.24 | 131.56 | 530.72 | 535.92 | 397.72 | 0.00 | 958.54 | 863.36 | 0.549 | 2.36 | 1.24 |
| B | 329.00 | 82.25 | 330.91 | 406.69 | 521.75 | 0.00 | 785.89 | 622.11 | 0.419 | 1.21 | 0.73 |
| C | 450.96 | 112.74 | 453.21 | 381.19 | 471.47 | 0.00 | 979.36 | 773.77 | 0.460 | 1.43 | 0.87 |
| D | 492.36 | 123.09 | 497.76 | 488.81 | 435.87 | 0.00 | 867.16 | 696.08 | 0.568 | 2.69 | 1.34 |

## Queueing Delay Results

Queueing Delay results: (07:45-08:00)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 16.74 | 1.12 | 0.135 | A | A |
| B | 10.05 | 0.67 | 0.128 | A | A |
| C | 11.99 | 0.80 | 0.111 | A | A |
| D | 17.90 | 1.19 | 0.155 | A | A |

Queueing Delay results: (08:00-08:15)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 28.91 | 1.93 | 0.200 | B | B |
| B | 15.91 | 1.06 | 0.172 | B | B |
| C | 19.13 | 1.28 | 0.151 | A | A |
| D | 32.52 | 2.17 | 0.245 | B | B |

Queueing Delay results: (08:15-08:30)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 73.57 | 4.90 | 0.457 | D | C |
| B | 32.05 | 2.14 | 0.299 | C | B |
| C | 40.09 | 2.67 | 0.273 | C | B |
| D | 99.24 | 6.62 | 0.684 | E | D |

Queueing Delay results: (08:30-08:45)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 97.03 | 6.47 | 0.568 | D | C |
| B | 36.70 | 2.45 | 0.322 | C | B |
| C | 45.93 | 3.06 | 0.294 | C | B |
| D | 149.53 | 9.97 | 0.968 | F | E |

Queueing Delay results: (08:45-09:00)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 41.11 | 2.74 | 0.245 | B | B |
| B | 19.36 | 1.29 | 0.186 | B | B |
| C | 22.88 | 1.53 | 0.161 | A | A |
| D | 55.27 | 3.68 | 0.344 | C | C |

Queueing Delay results: (09:00-09:15)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 19.61 | 1.31 | 0.142 | A | A |
| B | 11.41 | 0.76 | 0.132 | A | A |
| C | 13.48 | 0.90 | 0.115 | A | A |
| D | 21.42 | 1.43 | 0.165 | A | A |

## Overview: Standard Roundabout Geometry

Standard Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach <br> road half-width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | E-Entry <br> width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | I' Effective <br> flare length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry <br> radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | D-Inscribed <br> circle diameter <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict <br> $(\mathbf{e n t r y})$ angle <br> $(\mathbf{d e g})$ | Exit <br> Only | Final <br> Slope | Final <br> Intercept <br> $($ PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.70 | 4.50 | 3.40 | 7.00 | 19.00 | 16.50 |  | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B | 3.45 | 4.10 | 2.10 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 15.00 |  | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C | 4.20 | 4.45 | 2.80 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 10.00 |  | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D | 3.35 | 4.80 | 2.60 | 6.30 | 19.00 | 14.00 |  | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

## Overview: Time Segment Results

## Time Segment Results

| Time <br> Segment | Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | Queueing <br> Total Delay <br> (Veh-min) | Geometric <br> Total Delay <br> (Veh-min) | Average <br> Delay Per <br> Arriving <br> Vehicle <br> (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | A | 526.24 | 962.81 | 0.547 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.18 | 16.74 | $(0.02)$ | 0.135 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B | 329.00 | 790.72 | 0.416 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 10.05 | $(0.02)$ | 0.128 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 450.96 | 983.40 | 0.459 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 11.99 | $(0.02)$ | 0.111 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | D | 492.36 | 870.11 | 0.566 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.27 | 17.90 | $(0.02)$ | 0.155 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | A | 628.39 | 921.09 | 0.682 | 0.00 | 1.18 | 2.06 | 28.91 | $(0.02)$ | 0.200 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | B | 392.85 | 737.74 | 0.533 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 1.11 | 15.91 | $(0.02)$ | 0.172 |


| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 538.49 | 932.14 | 0.578 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 1.34 | 19.13 | $(0.02)$ | 0.151 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 587.93 | 824.58 | 0.713 | 0.00 | 1.27 | 2.36 | 32.52 | $(0.02)$ | 0.245 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | 769.61 | 872.14 | 0.882 | 0.00 | 2.06 | 5.96 | 73.57 | $(0.02)$ | 0.457 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | 481.15 | 672.10 | 0.716 | 0.00 | 1.11 | 2.36 | 32.05 | $(0.02)$ | 0.299 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 659.51 | 866.27 | 0.761 | 0.00 | 1.34 | 2.97 | 40.09 | $(0.02)$ | 0.273 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 720.07 | 764.94 | 0.941 | 0.00 | 2.36 | 8.78 | 99.24 | $(0.02)$ | 0.684 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | 769.61 | 865.39 | 0.889 | 0.00 | 5.96 | 6.82 | 97.03 | $(0.02)$ | 0.568 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | 481.15 | 665.53 | 0.723 | 0.00 | 2.36 | 2.50 | 36.70 | $(0.02)$ | 0.322 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 659.51 | 861.83 | 0.765 | 0.00 | 2.97 | 3.12 | 45.93 | $(0.02)$ | 0.294 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 720.07 | 761.88 | 0.945 | 0.00 | 8.78 | 10.91 | 149.53 | $(0.02)$ | 0.968 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | 628.39 | 906.68 | 0.693 | 0.00 | 6.82 | 2.36 | 41.11 | $(0.02)$ | 0.245 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | 392.85 | 725.75 | 0.541 | 0.00 | 2.50 | 1.21 | 19.36 | $(0.02)$ | 0.186 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 538.49 | 924.79 | 0.582 | 0.00 | 3.12 | 1.43 | 22.88 | $(0.02)$ | 0.161 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 587.93 | 820.03 | 0.717 | 0.00 | 10.91 | 2.69 | 55.27 | $(0.02)$ | 0.344 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | 526.24 | 958.54 | 0.549 | 0.00 | 2.36 | 1.24 | 19.61 | $(0.02)$ | 0.142 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | B | 329.00 | 785.89 | 0.419 | 0.00 | 1.21 | 0.73 | 11.41 | $(0.02)$ | 0.132 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | C | 450.96 | 979.36 | 0.460 | 0.00 | 1.43 | 0.87 | 13.48 | $(0.02)$ | 0.115 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 492.36 | 867.16 | 0.568 | 0.00 | 2.69 | 1.34 | 21.42 | $(0.02)$ | 0.165 |

## A1 - (Default Analysis Set) - D8 - Base + CD Dev, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Include In <br> Report | Use Specific <br> Demand Set | Demand <br> Set | Locked | Network Flow <br> Scaling Factor <br> (\%) | Network <br> Capacity Scaling <br> Factor (\%) | Reason For <br> Scaling <br> Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default <br> Analysis <br> Set) |  | Yes |  | (D1) |  | 100.000 | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Nam } \\ e \end{gathered}$ | Scenari <br> o Name | Time <br> Perio <br> d <br> Name | Descriptio <br> n | Locke d | Run Automatical ly | Use Relationsh ip | Relationsh ip | Start <br> Time <br> (HH:m <br> m) | Finish Time (HH:m m) | Time <br> Perio d <br> Lengt h (min) | Time Segme nt Length (min) | Traffi <br> c <br> Profil <br> e <br> Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Base } \\ + \text { CD } \\ + \\ \text { Dev, } \\ \text { PM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base + } \\ \text { CD + } \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ | PM |  |  | Yes |  |  | 16:45 | 18:15 | 90 | 15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ONE } \\ \text { HOU } \\ \text { R } \end{gathered}$ |

## Roundabout Network

## Roundabout Type(s)

| ID | Name | Arm Order | Roundabout Type | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Do Geometric Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | A,B,C,D | Standard |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting | Road Surface | In London |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown | $(($ Mini-roundabouts only $))$ |  |

## Arms

## Arms

| ID | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Wemborough Road (E) |  |
| B | St Andrew's Drive |  |
| C | Wemborough Road (W) |  |
| D | Abercorn Road |  |

## Capacity Options

| Arm | Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Assume Flat Start Profile | Initial Queue (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |
| B | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |
| C | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |
| D | 0.00 | 99999.00 |  | 0.00 |

## Standard Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road <br> half-width (m) | E-Entry <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | I' - Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry <br> radius $(\mathbf{m})$ | D - Inscribed circle <br> diameter (m) | PHI - Conflict <br> (entry) angle (deg) | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.70 | 4.50 | 3.40 | 7.00 | 19.00 | 16.50 |  |
| B | 3.45 | 4.10 | 2.10 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 15.00 |  |
| C | 4.20 | 4.45 | 2.80 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 10.00 |  |
| D | 3.35 | 4.80 | 2.60 | 6.30 | 19.00 | 14.00 |  |

## Pedestrian Crossings

| Arm | Crossing Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | None |
| B | None |
| C | None |
| D | None |

## Arm Slope/ Intercept and Capacity

Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter Directly | Slope | Intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.548 | 1204.008 |  |
| B |  | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.562 | 1271.998 |  |
| D | ((calculated)) | ((calculated)) | 0.528 | 1113.227 |  |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

$\left.$| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix <br> Over <br> Time | Vehicle <br> Varies <br> Over <br> Turn | Varies <br> Over <br> Entry | PCU <br> Sehicle Mix <br> Source | Factor <br> for a <br> HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over <br> Turn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Turning |
| :---: |
| Proportions |
| Vary Over |
| Entry | \right\rvert\,

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | PHF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| A | ONE HOUR | Yes | 826.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| B | ONE HOUR | Yes | 414.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| C | ONE HOUR | Yes | 576.00 | 100.000 | N/A |
| D | ONE HOUR | Yes | 580.00 | 100.000 | N/A |

## Direct/Resultant Flows

## Direct Flows Data

| Time Segment | Arm | Direct Demand Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | DirectDemandEntryFlowInPCU (PCU/hr) | Direct Demand Exit Flow (Veh/hr) | Direct Demand Pedestrian Flow (Ped/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 621.86 | 636.90 | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | B | 311.68 | 317.33 | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | C | 433.64 | 445.56 | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | D | 436.65 | 443.14 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | A | 742.56 | 760.53 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | B | 372.18 | 378.93 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | C | 517.81 | 532.04 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | D | 521.41 | 529.15 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | A | 909.44 | 931.45 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | B | 455.82 | 464.09 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | C | 634.19 | 651.62 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | D | 638.59 | 648.07 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | A | 909.44 | 931.45 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | B | 455.82 | 464.09 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | C | 634.19 | 651.62 | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | D | 638.59 | 648.07 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | A | 742.56 | 760.53 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | B | 372.18 | 378.93 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | C | 517.81 | 532.04 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | D | 521.41 | 529.15 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | A | 621.86 | 636.90 | N/A | N/A |


| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | 311.68 | 317.33 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 433.64 | 445.56 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 436.65 | 443.14 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts or Proportions (Veh/hr) - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |  |
|  | A | 0.000 | 106.000 | 431.000 | 289.000 |  |
|  | B | 72.000 | 0.000 | 41.000 | 301.000 |  |
|  | C | 381.000 | 44.000 | 0.000 | 151.000 |  |
|  | D | 176.000 | 260.000 | 140.000 | 4.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{A}$ | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.52 | 0.35 |
|  | B | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.73 |
|  | $\mathbf{C}$ | 0.66 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.26 |
|  | $\mathbf{D}$ | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.24 | 0.01 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.039 | 1.011 |
|  | B | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.051 | 1.018 |
|  | C | 1.036 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.014 |
|  | D | 1.012 | 1.025 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Roundabout 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |
|  | A | 0.000 | 0.000 | 3.900 | 1.100 |
|  | B | 0.000 | 0.000 | 5.100 | 1.800 |
|  | C | 3.600 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.400 |
|  | D | 1.200 | 2.500 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## Results

## Results Summary



|  | RFC | Delay <br> (min) | Queue <br> (Veh) | LOS | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Queueing <br> Delay <br> (Veh-min) | Queueing <br> Delay <br> (min) | Queueing <br> Delay <br> (Veh- <br> min/min) | Queueing <br> Total <br> Delay <br> (Veh-min) | Queueing <br> Average <br> Delay <br> (min) |  | (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1.00 | 1.41 | 21.27 | F | 757.95 | 1136.93 | 636.91 | 0.56 | 7.08 | 637.00 | 0.56 | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B | 0.80 | 0.49 | 3.56 | D | 379.89 | 569.84 | 159.65 | 0.28 | 1.77 | 159.67 | 0.28 | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C | 0.76 | 0.29 | 2.99 | C | 528.55 | 792.82 | 148.23 | 0.19 | 1.65 | 148.25 | 0.19 | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D | 0.79 | 0.35 | 3.62 | C | 532.22 | 798.33 | 174.55 | 0.22 | 1.94 | 174.58 | 0.22 | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

## Main Results

Main results: (16:45-17:00)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 621.86 | 155.46 | 615.35 | 469.76 | 334.44 | 0.00 | 993.86 | 864.78 | 0.626 | 0.00 | 1.63 |
| B | 311.68 | 77.92 | 308.68 | 305.92 | 643.87 | 0.00 | 720.43 | 569.18 | 0.433 | 0.00 | 0.75 |
| C | 433.64 | 108.41 | 430.41 | 456.16 | 496.40 | 0.00 | 962.80 | 783.06 | 0.450 | 0.00 | 0.81 |
| D | 436.65 | 109.16 | 432.93 | 555.55 | 371.26 | 0.00 | 898.61 | 735.43 | 0.486 | 0.00 | 0.93 |

Main results: (17:00-17:15)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $($ Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $($ Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 742.56 | 185.64 | 736.22 | 563.11 | 401.00 | 0.00 | 957.69 | 864.78 | 0.775 | 1.63 | 3.21 |
| B | 372.18 | 93.04 | 370.07 | 366.60 | 770.62 | 0.00 | 654.74 | 569.18 | 0.568 | 0.75 | 1.28 |
| C | 517.81 | 129.45 | 515.86 | 546.11 | 594.59 | 0.00 | 908.37 | 783.06 | 0.570 | 0.81 | 1.30 |
| D | 521.41 | 130.35 | 519.12 | 665.46 | 444.98 | 0.00 | 859.23 | 735.43 | 0.607 | 0.93 | 1.50 |

Main results: (17:15-17:30)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 909.44 | 227.36 | 862.83 | 684.85 | 487.41 | 0.00 | 910.75 | 864.78 | 0.999 | 3.21 | 14.86 |
| B | 455.82 | 113.96 | 448.22 | 441.51 | 908.73 | 0.00 | 583.22 | 569.18 | 0.782 | 1.28 | 3.18 |
| C | 634.19 | 158.55 | 628.10 | 646.89 | 710.07 | 0.00 | 844.34 | 783.06 | 0.751 | 1.30 | 2.82 |
| D | 638.59 | 159.65 | 630.87 | 796.78 | 541.39 | 0.00 | 807.72 | 735.43 | 0.791 | 1.50 | 3.43 |

Main results: (17:30-17:45)

| Arm | Demand (Veh/hr) | Arrivals (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow (Veh/hr) | Circulating Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | Saturation Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End Queue (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 909.44 | 227.36 | 883.80 | 691.60 | 492.66 | 0.00 | 907.89 | 864.78 | 1.002 | 14.86 | 21.27 |
| B | 455.82 | 113.96 | 454.31 | 447.73 | 928.73 | 0.00 | 572.83 | 569.18 | 0.796 | 3.18 | 3.56 |
| C | 634.19 | 158.55 | 633.52 | 660.11 | 722.94 | 0.00 | 837.21 | 783.06 | 0.758 | 2.82 | 2.99 |
| D | 638.59 | 159.65 | 637.81 | 810.01 | 546.45 | 0.00 | 805.02 | 735.43 | 0.793 | 3.43 | 3.62 |

Main results: (17:45-18:00)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> $(\mathrm{Veh} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Exit <br> Flow <br> $(\mathrm{Veh} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Circulating <br> Flow <br> $(\mathrm{Veh} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 742.56 | 185.64 | 812.07 | 573.40 | 408.84 | 0.00 | 953.44 | 864.78 | 0.779 | 21.27 | 3.90 |


| B | 372.18 | 93.04 | 380.14 | 381.59 | 839.32 | 0.00 | 619.01 | 569.18 | 0.601 | 3.56 | 1.57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 517.81 | 129.45 | 524.03 | 589.18 | 630.27 | 0.00 | 888.61 | 783.06 | 0.583 | 2.99 | 1.43 |
| D | 521.41 | 130.35 | 529.47 | 701.53 | 452.76 | 0.00 | 855.08 | 735.43 | 0.610 | 3.62 | 1.61 |

Main results: (18:00-18:15)

| Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Arrivals <br> (Veh) | Entry <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Exit <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating <br> Flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | Saturation <br> Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 621.86 | 155.46 | 630.51 | 476.43 | 339.25 | 0.00 | 991.25 | 864.78 | 0.627 | 3.90 | 1.73 |
| B | 311.68 | 77.92 | 314.78 | 311.11 | 658.65 | 0.00 | 712.76 | 569.18 | 0.437 | 1.57 | 0.79 |
| C | 433.64 | 108.41 | 436.01 | 466.19 | 507.24 | 0.00 | 956.79 | 783.06 | 0.453 | 1.43 | 0.84 |
| D | 436.65 | 109.16 | 439.22 | 566.80 | 376.45 | 0.00 | 895.84 | 735.43 | 0.487 | 1.61 | 0.97 |

## Queueing Delay Results

Queueing Delay results: (16:45-17:00)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 22.71 | 1.51 | 0.156 | A | A |
| B | 10.67 | 0.71 | 0.145 | A | A |
| C | 11.60 | 0.77 | 0.112 | A | A |
| D | 13.26 | 0.88 | 0.128 | A | A |

Queueing Delay results: (17:00-17:15)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 43.55 | 2.90 | 0.263 | C | B |
| B | 18.07 | 1.20 | 0.209 | B | B |
| C | 18.55 | 1.24 | 0.152 | A | A |
| D | 21.33 | 1.42 | 0.175 | B | B |

Queueing Delay results: (17:15-17:30)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 153.68 | 10.25 | 0.849 | F | D |
| B | 41.40 | 2.76 | 0.422 | D | C |
| C | 38.20 | 2.55 | 0.270 | C | B |
| D | 45.48 | 3.03 | 0.326 | C | B |

Queueing Delay results: (17:30-17:45)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 273.68 | 18.25 | 1.409 | F | F |
| B | 51.21 | 3.41 | 0.494 | D | C |
| C | 43.86 | 2.92 | 0.293 | C | B |
| D | 53.22 | 3.55 | 0.355 | C | C |

Queueing Delay results: (17:45-18:00)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| A | 115.27 | 7.68 | 0.561 | D | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | 25.85 | 1.72 | 0.259 | C | B |
| C | 22.90 | 1.53 | 0.167 | B | B |
| D | 26.12 | 1.74 | 0.189 | B | B |

Queueing Delay results: (18:00-18:15)

| Arm | Queueing Total <br> Delay (Veh-min) | Queueing Rate Of <br> Delay (Veh-min/min) | Average Delay Per <br> Arriving Vehicle (min) | Unsignalised Level <br> Of Service | Signalised Level <br> Of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 28.01 | 1.87 | 0.170 | B | B |
| B | 12.45 | 0.83 | 0.152 | A | A |
| C | 13.10 | 0.87 | 0.116 | A | A |
| D | 15.14 | 1.01 | 0.132 | A | A |

## Overview: Standard Roundabout Geometry

## Standard Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach <br> road half-width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | E - Entry <br> width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | I' - Effective <br> flare length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry <br> radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | D - Inscribed <br> circle diameter <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict <br> (entry) angle <br> $(\mathbf{d e g})$ | Exit <br> Only | Final <br> Slope | Final <br> Intercept <br> $($ PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.70 | 4.50 | 3.40 | 7.00 | 19.00 | 16.50 |  | 0.548 | 1204.008 |
| B | 3.45 | 4.10 | 2.10 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 15.00 |  | 0.516 | 1073.293 |
| C | 4.20 | 4.45 | 2.80 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 10.00 |  | 0.562 | 1271.998 |
| D | 3.35 | 4.80 | 2.60 | 6.30 | 19.00 | 14.00 |  | 0.528 | 1113.227 |

## Overview: Time Segment Results

## Time Segment Results

| Time <br> Segment | Arm | Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity <br> (Veh/hr) | RFC | Pedestrian <br> Demand <br> (Ped/hr) | Start <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | End <br> Queue <br> (Veh) | Queueing <br> Total Delay <br> (Veh-min) | Geometric <br> Total Delay <br> (Veh-min) | Average <br> Delay Per <br> Arriving <br> Vehicle <br> (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | 621.86 | 993.86 | 0.626 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.63 | 22.71 | $(0.02)$ | 0.156 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | 311.68 | 720.43 | 0.433 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 10.67 | $(0.02)$ | 0.145 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 433.64 | 962.80 | 0.450 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 11.60 | $(0.02)$ | 0.112 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 436.65 | 898.61 | 0.486 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.93 | 13.26 | $(0.02)$ | 0.128 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | 742.56 | 957.69 | 0.775 | 0.00 | 1.63 | 3.21 | 43.55 | $(0.02)$ | 0.263 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | 372.18 | 654.74 | 0.568 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 1.28 | 18.07 | $(0.02)$ | 0.209 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 517.81 | 908.37 | 0.570 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 1.30 | 18.55 | $(0.02)$ | 0.152 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 521.41 | 859.23 | 0.607 | 0.00 | 0.93 | 1.50 | 21.33 | $(0.02)$ | 0.175 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | 909.44 | 910.75 | 0.999 | 0.00 | 3.21 | 14.86 | 153.68 | $(0.02)$ | 0.849 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | 455.82 | 583.22 | 0.782 | 0.00 | 1.28 | 3.18 | 41.40 | $(0.02)$ | 0.422 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 634.19 | 844.34 | 0.751 | 0.00 | 1.30 | 2.82 | 38.20 | $(0.02)$ | 0.270 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 638.59 | 807.72 | 0.791 | 0.00 | 1.50 | 3.43 | 45.48 | $(0.02)$ | 0.326 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | A | 909.44 | 907.89 | 1.002 | 0.00 | 14.86 | 21.27 | 273.68 | $(0.02)$ | 1.409 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | B | 455.82 | 572.83 | 0.796 | 0.00 | 3.18 | 3.56 | 51.21 | $(0.02)$ | 0.494 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 634.19 | 837.21 | 0.758 | 0.00 | 2.82 | 2.99 | 43.86 | $(0.02)$ | 0.293 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 638.59 | 805.02 | 0.793 | 0.00 | 3.43 | 3.62 | 53.22 | $(0.02)$ | 0.355 |


| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | 742.56 | 953.44 | 0.779 | 0.00 | 21.27 | 3.90 | 115.27 | $(0.02)$ | 0.561 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | B | 372.18 | 619.01 | 0.601 | 0.00 | 3.56 | 1.57 | 25.85 | $(0.02)$ | 0.259 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | C | 517.81 | 888.61 | 0.583 | 0.00 | 2.99 | 1.43 | 22.90 | $(0.02)$ | 0.167 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 521.41 | 855.08 | 0.610 | 0.00 | 3.62 | 1.61 | 26.12 | $(0.02)$ | 0.189 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | A | 621.86 | 991.25 | 0.627 | 0.00 | 3.90 | 1.73 | 28.01 | $(0.02)$ | 0.170 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | B | 311.68 | 712.76 | 0.437 | 0.00 | 1.57 | 0.79 | 12.45 | $(0.02)$ | 0.152 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | C | 433.64 | 956.79 | 0.453 | 0.00 | 1.43 | 0.84 | 13.10 | $(0.02)$ | 0.116 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | 436.65 | 895.84 | 0.487 | 0.00 | 1.61 | 0.97 | 15.14 | $(0.02)$ | 0.132 |

## APPENDIX 9

## PTAI Study Report File Summary

## PTAI Run Parameters

| PTAI Run | 20142305144724 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description | 20142305144724 |
| Run by user | PTAL web application |
| Date and time | $23 / 05 / 201414: 47$ |

## Walk File Parameters

| Walk File | PLSQLTest |
| :--- | :--- |
| Day of Week | M-F |
| Time Period | AM Peak |
| BUS Wpeed | 4.8 kph |
| BUS Reliability Factor | 8 |
| LU LRT Walk Access Time (mins) | 2.0 |
| LU LRT Reliability Factor | 12 |
| NATIONAL_RAIL Walk Access Time (mins) | 12 |
| NATIONAL_RAIL Reliability Factor | 0.75 |

Coordinates: 517579, 191147

| Mode | Stop |  | Route | Distance <br> (metres) | Frequency <br> $(\mathbf{v p h})$ | Weight | Walk <br> time <br> $(\mathbf{m i n s})$ | SWT <br> $(\mathbf{m i n s})$ | TAT <br> $(\mathbf{m i n s})$ | EDF AI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| BUS | WHITCHURCH LANE MARSH LN | 79 | 263.33 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 3.29 | 8.0 | 11.29 | 2.66 | 2.66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUS | WHITCHURCH LANE MARSH LN | 340 | 263.33 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 3.29 | 8.0 | 11.29 | 2.66 | 1.33 |
| BUS | ABERCORN ROAD | 324 | 421.63 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 5.27 | 12.0 | 17.27 | 1.74 | 0.87 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LU } \\ & \text { LRT } \end{aligned}$ | Canons Park | Jubilee Line <br> Stanmore to Stratford | 639.9 | 17.8 | 1.0 | 8.0 | 2.44 | 10.43 | 2.88 | 2.88 |

$\stackrel{\omega}{\perp}$

## APPENDIX 10

## 2015 Avanti House School

School Travel Plan

1. Introduction
2. Survey Results
3. Working group \& Involvement

## 4. Travel \& Transport Issues

5. Objectives \& Targets
6. Consultation and Collaboration
7. Travel Initiatives
8. Monitoring and Review
9. Sign off and Formal Approval

## Introduction

| Description of the school |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| School Name*: | Avanti House School |
| School Address*: | Common Road, Stanmore, HA7 3JB |
| Travel Plan Coordinator*: | Nadira Morris |
| Telephone Number*: | 02082496830 |
| Email Address: | nadira.morris@avanti.org.uk |
| Website Address: | http://harrowtp.org/teachers/www.avanti.org.uk |
| DcSF Number*: | 310/4000 |
| Type of School | Secondary |
| Location of the school*: | School is situated to the east of the A409 Common Road and southwest of the redeveloped Bentley Priory estate site, which provides 93 residential units and a museum. |
| Pedestrian and school entrances*: | The main school entrance is located on Common Road, Stanmore. <br> The A409 Common Road benefits from street lighting, wide footway on its northwestern side and existing school signage alerting drivers to the fact that there may be children crossing the road. This section of the road is subject to a |

## Description of the school

40mph speed limit. A pedestrian refuge island is provided across the A409 Common Road adjacent to the school access, facilitating pedestrian access from footway on the northwestern side of the road.
Uncontrolled pedestrian crossing facilities are provided at the A409 Magpie Hall Road/A4140. North and South of the crossroads continous footways are provided on both sides of the carriageway connecting to Stanmore and Bushey local centres.

School Map


Catchment area*: The catchment area of Avanti House (Secondary School element) shows a geographical spread of current Year 7 students as well as those enrolled to start in September 2014, reasonable proportion of which $53 \%$ reside within the adjourning postcode areas of HA3, HA7, HA8, HA5, HA1, HA2, HA9 and would have the opportunity to walk and cycle to school.

| Facilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Description | Numbers |
| Car Park | No. of staff parking spaces | 20 |
|  | No. of visitor spaces: | 1 |
|  | No. of disables spaces: | 1 |
| Cycle Storage | Received free Cycle Storage (Mayor's Scheme): |  |
|  | Covered Sheffield Stands | nil |
|  | Sheffield Stands | nil |
|  | Cycle Racks |  |
|  | Cycle Pod / Mini Pod | nil |
|  | Other Cycle Spaces | nil |
|  | Scooter Parking Available | nil |
| If storage is available, how secure is it? |  |  |
| Storage Lockers: | No. of staff storage lockers: | 18 |
|  | No. of pupils storage lockers: | nil |

Facilities

Shower Facilities: Are staff shower facilities available:

Are pupil shower facilities available:

School opening and closing times*:

|  | Start Time: | Finish Time: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School Site: | 07.00 | 18.00 |
| Pupils official school time: | $08: 00$ | $15: 20$ |
| Breakfast Club (if <br> applicable) | $07: 30$ | $08: 00$ |
| After school Club (if <br> applicable) | $15: 30$ | $17: 00$ |
|  |  |  |
| Transportation Links |  |  |

## Buses*:

Map

Bus service 258 runs from Watford Junction station to South Harrow station. Bus stops are located at regular intervals along the A409 Common Road of which the nearest is located 50m southwest of the school access for services in both directions. Each stop is provided with a bus shelter. this route provides four services per hour through daytime hours, Monday - Saturday.

Bus 142 service runs from Watford Junction Station, Stanmore Underground to Brent Cross centre and stops at the High Road (A4140), 500m northeast of the school. Service 142 operates 5 hourly services through weekday daytime periods, reducing to 3-4 hourly services through evenings and Sundays. This service provided an opportunity, particularly for secondary school children to access the school from the Stanmore area, completing the journey on foot.

Trains / Tubes*:

Stanmore London Underground station is the northern terminus of the Jubilee Line which runs into central London. Stanmore LU is located approximately 4 kilometres to the east of the school site. From this station, direct access can be gained to the school site using bus route 142 followed by a 500 m walk. Bushey rail station is located approximately 4.6 kilometres to the north-west of the application site. Bus route 258 stops outside Bushey rail station and connects directly with the school site.

## Roads*:

Within 5 kilometres of Avanti House school there is a comprehensive network of on and off-road cycle routes, the London Outer Orbital Path runs along the southern boundary of the Bentley Priory Estate.

The A409 Common Road benefits from street lighting, wide footway on its northwestern side and existing school signage alerting drivers to the fact that there may be children crossing the road. This section of the A409 is subject to a 40 mph speed limit. A pedestrian refuge island is provided across the A409 Common Road adjacent to the school access, facilitating pedestrian access from footway on the northwestern side of the road.

## Pupils and staff numbers

| Pupils roll: | 316 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age range of pupils*: | $11-13$ |
| Number of pupils entitled to SEN nil <br> transportation and how their needs <br> are taken into account*: |  |
| Full - Time Staff roll: | 32 |
| Part - Time Staff roll: | 3 |
| Support Staff roll: | 9 |

About our Pupils and Staff
Pupils roll*: 316
Age range of pupils*: 11-13
Other information about the pupils who attend our school:
Staff roll*: 44

Other information about the people who work at our school:
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## Survey Results

## Pupils Hands Up Results

Responses: 311
Response Rate: 98 \%
Data Collection Date: Monday 01st December 2014


|  | Actual Mode of Travel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bus | School Bus | Car | Car Share | Cycle | Park / <br> Stride | Rail | Scooting | Walk | Other |
| Total 2015 105 13 97 77 0 1 14 0 4 0 <br> Responses:           <br> 311           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% | 34\% | 4\% | 31\% | 25\% | 0\% | 0\% | 5\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Total 2014 51 1 69 43 0 0 17 0 3 0 <br> Responses:           <br> $\mathbf{1 8 4}$           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% | 28\% | 1\% | 38\% | 23\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | Preferred Mode of Travel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bus | School Bus | Car | Car Share | Cycle | Park / Stride | Rail | Scooting | Walk | Other |
| Total2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% | 20\% | 5\% | 38\% | 11\% | 7\% | 4\% | 4\% | 0\% | 6\% | 4\% |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Total } & 44 & 3 & 57 & 21 & 23 & 0 & 12 & 0 & \\ 2014\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% | 24\% | 2\% | 31\% | 11\% | 13\% | 0\% | 7\% | 0\% | 2\% | 11\% |

## Staff survey Results

Responses: 34
Response Rate: 77\%
Data Collection Date: Monday 05th January 2015


| Actual Mode of of Travel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Bus | Car | Car <br> Share | Cycle | Park / <br> Walk | Rail | Walk | Other |
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|  | Preferred Mode of Travel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bus | Car | Car <br> Share | - Cycle | Park / <br> Walk | ${ }^{-}$Rail | Walk | Other |
| Total 2015 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| \% | 9\% | 41\% | 41\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Total 2014 | 9 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| \% | 16\% | 27\% | 7\% | 0\% | 0\% | 16\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## Working Group and Involvement

| Working Group |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nadira Morris | School Travel Plan Advisor |
| Upendra Kalan | Bursar / School Office |
| Mark Bennison | Headteacher |
| Toby Gosden | Assistant |
| Nadira Morris | Deputy Head teacher |
| Funmi Atolagbe | Travel Planner (Harrow Council) |
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Travel and Transport Issues - Toby to complete/update

Original Travel and Transportation Issues


New Travel and Transport Issues

Objectives and Targets

Modal Shift

|  |  | Car | Car <br> Share | Bus | Dedicated Bus | Rail | Cycle | Walk | Park <br> Then <br> Walk | Scooting | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | Number | 97 | 77 | 105 | 13 | 14 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 311 |
|  | \% | 31\% | 25\% | 34\% | 4\% | 5\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| 2014 | Number | 69 | 43 | 51 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184 |
|  | \% | 38\% | 23\% | 28\% | 1\% | 9\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| 2013 | Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | \% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| 2012 | Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | \% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| 2011 | Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | \% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| 2010 | Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | \% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |

## New Objectives

S1. Committed to ensuring that everyone travelling to and from the school can do so as safely as possible - The school will encourage staff and students to make transport choices that demonstrate absolute regard for the need to minimise potential damage to the environment.

S2. To raise awareness of road safety and environmental issues relating to mode of travel choices.

A01. Ensure that staff and students travel to and from the school by foot, bicycle and/or public transport and that they are offered assistance in identifying routes by which they can travel by these modes.

AO2. To maximise opportunities for the use of alternative modes of travel.

H1. Aim to provide a focus for a range of initiatives to encourage journeys to the school by sustainable modes of transport and to inform the targets that in turn will assist in identifying and evaluating its success or otherwise.

H2. To increase awareness of the health benefits of walking and sycling to the school

E1. To reduce congestion on surrounding roads thereby improving road safety and minimising the effects in terms of emissions.

## New Targets

T1. To reduce the percentage of journeys by cars to and from the school by 2\% by September 2015

T2. To increase the percentage of students using sustainable modes of travel by walking/cycling or public transport by 5\% by September 2015.

## Consultation and Collaboration

Activity
The school has an STP working group
(should include student representatives)
Conducted consultation with parents:
Evidence of questionnaires, survey
results

Further Information:
Use this section to state what other

consultation your school is doing or why your school has not been able to meet one of the criteria above.

Details are
included on how any funding allocation of the capital grant has
been spent
If applicable,
provide information
or evidence on how
the school has
spent other funding
from the Local
Authority (Small
Claim grants...)
The school has identified and
obtained other
sources of funding
aside from that
available from the
local authority

Initiatives - Action Plan

## Planned Initiatives

| Initiative | Details | Reporting | Evidence <br> (where <br> required) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Walking |  |  |  |
| W3 <br> Walk to school <br> week | TPC | Publicise local pedestrian routes on school website and <br> promote participation in 'Walk to School Week' in May every <br> year. |  |


| Cycling |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C4 | TPC | Provide cycle training through the Government-supported |
| Cycle training <br> for pupils (E.g. <br> Bikeability) | May 2015 | 'Bikeability' scheme (www.dft.gov.uk/bikeability). |

## Smarter Driving

| SD1 | TPC | Encourage car-sharing by directing parents towards |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School | May 2015 | websites such as http:www.school-carshare.co.uk where |
| promotes car |  | they can register to find other local people travelling to the <br> sharing/has a |

car pool
scheme

| SD7 | TPC | Set up list of marshalls, rota and 'back up' marshalls for both |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other Smarter | May 2015 | AM and PM drop-off / pick-up periods on every school day - |
| Driving |  | ensuring 4-5 marshalls are on-site to manage traffic flow. |
| Initiatives |  | Marshalls should be provided with a strategy document for <br> effective traffic management. |
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| Initiative $\quad$ Details Reporting | Evidence <br> (where <br> required) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Walking |  |


| Public Transportation |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| PT2 | TPC |
| School May 2015 <br> promotes  <br> public transport  |  |
| Promotion |  |


| PR1 | TPC | Re-engage parents through website and newsletters on |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Newsletter | May 2015 | demand for mini-bus facility. Pupils / parents could be <br> surveyed to identify strategic collection / drop-off locations. <br>  |
|  | Within newsletter also provide updates on Travel Plan, <br> survey results and new initiatives. |  |


| PR2 | TPC | Notice boards to be placed in a communal area near the |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Notice Board $\quad$ May 2015 | entrance of the main building and in the staff room. Notice <br> boards will display information related to the Travel Plan and |  |
|  | sustainable transport. They will display details of existing <br> facilities such as bus routes and the locations of the nearby <br> underground and rail stations, in addition to cycle routes in <br> the vicinity of the school. |  |

PR6 TPC Update school website to provide page on travel, including
Information on May 2015 information on walk / cycle initiatives, public transport, and
website updates on the Travel Plan.

| PR8 | TPC | Update school prospectus to include statement on Travel |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Within the | May 2015 | Planning and expectation that wherever possible students <br> should travel to school by sustainable modes. |
| Prospectus |  |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\hline \text { Initiative } & \text { Details } & \text { Reporting }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Evidence } \\ \text { (where } \\ \text { required) }\end{array}\right]$

## Sign off and Formal Approval

Our next hands up surveys be on: October 2015
Our Annual Progress review will be completed in: January 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022
The person responsible for ensuring that the annual review will be actioned is : Nadira Morris

When reviewing our School travel Plan we will take into consideration any issues arising from new developments in education and transport since the original STP was completed with specific emphasis on the proposed school expansion.

## Sign off and formal approval of STP

## School Name: Avanti House School

School travel plan champion Nadira Morris
Year of school travel plan document 2015
School signatures
Approval of the school travel plan by the school travel plan champion confirms the schools management (including but not limited to the Head Teacher and Governors) have read, understood and agreed to the contents of this document. Avanti House School further acknowledges that they have committed to achieving all targets highlighted in their action plan and to the annual review and monitoring of the plan.

School Travel Plan
Champion*


Pupil Representatives
(Optional):
Parent Governors
(Optional):
Other Stakeholders
involved (Optional):
e.g. Police, bus operators
etc.
Council signatures
The following signatures confirm that the document has been Quality Assessed checked by representatives from The London Borough of Harrow.

## APPENDIX 11

## TRIP RATE CALCULATION SELECTION PARAMETERS:

```
Land Use : 04-EDUCATION
Category : B-SECONDARY
MULTI-MODAL CYCLISTS
```


## Selected regions and areas:

## 01 GREATER LONDON

| BN | BARNET | 1 days |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HM | HAMMERSMITH AND FULHAM | 1 days |
| IS | ISLINGTON | 1 days |

This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS® sub-region in the selected set

## Filtering Stage 2 selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

| Parameter: | Number of pupils |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actual Range: | 610 to 1200 (units: ) |
| Range Selected by User: | 610 to 1200 (units: ) |

Public Transport Provision:
Selection by:
Include all surveys
Date Range: $\quad 01 / 01 / 00$ to $25 / 11 / 09$
This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Selected survey days:
Wednesday 3 days
This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.

## Selected survey types:

```
Manual count 3 days
Directional ATC Count 0 days
```

This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaking using machines.

Selected Locations:
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) 3
This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.

Selected Location Sub Categories:
Residential Zone

## 3

This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

## Filtering Stage 3 selection:

Use Class: D1

This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS®®.

Population within 1 mile:
25,001 to 50,000 1 days
50,001 to $100,000 \quad 2$ days
This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.
Population within 5 miles:
500,001 or More 3 days
This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5-mile radii of population.
Car ownership within 5 miles:
0.6 to 1.0

3 days
This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5-miles of selected survey sites.

Travel Plan:
Not Known 1 days
No
This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

## LIST OF SITES relevant to selection parameters

1 BN-04-B-01 SECONDARY SCHOOL
CHESTNUT GROVE
EAST BARNET
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Residential Zone
Total Number of pupils: 1200
Survey date: WEDNESDAY 19/10/05
2 HM-04-B-01 SECONDARY SCHOOL
KINGWOOD ROAD
FULHAM
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Residential Zone
Total Number of pupils: 610 Survey date: WEDNESDAY 04/12/0
3 IS-04-B-01 SECONDARY SCH.
TURLE ROAD
FINSBURY PARK
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Residential Zone
Total Number of pupils: 850
Survey date: WEDNESDAY 25/11/09 Survey Type: MANUAL

This section provides a list of all survey sites and days in the selected set. For each individual survey site, it displays a unique site reference code and site address, the selected trip rate calculation parameter and its value, the day of the week and date of each survey, and whether the survey was a manual classified count or an ATC count.

MANUALLY DESELECTED SITES

| Site Ref |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| LB-04-B-01 | Too Central |

GUILDFORD

## TRIP RATE for Land Use 04 - EDUCATION/B - SECONDARY

MULTI-MODAL CYCLISTS
Calculation factor: 1 PUPILS
BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

|  | ARRIVALS |  |  | DEPARTURES |  |  | TOTALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Range | No. Days | Ave. PUPILS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. PUPILS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. PUPILS | Trip Rate |
| 00:00-01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00-02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00-03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00-04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00-05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00-06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00-07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00-08:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.002 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.002 |
| 08:00-09:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.008 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.008 |
| 09:00-10:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.001 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.001 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.002 | 3 | 887 | 0.002 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.002 | 3 | 887 | 0.006 | 3 | 887 | 0.008 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.005 | 3 | 887 | 0.005 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.001 | 3 | 887 | 0.001 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 2 | 1025 | 0.000 | 2 | 1025 | 0.000 | 2 | 1025 | 0.000 |
| 19:00-20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00-21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00-22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00-23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00-24:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rates: |  |  | 0.013 |  |  | 0.014 |  |  | 0.027 |

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP*FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

## Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:
Survey date date range:
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):
Number of Saturdays:
Number of Sundays:
Surveys manually removed from selection:

610-1200 (units: )
01/01/00-25/11/09
3
0
0
1

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are show. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

## TRIP RATE for Land Use 04 - EDUCATION/B - SECONDARY

MULTI-MODAL VEHICLE OCCUPANTS
Calculation factor: 1 PUPILS

## BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

|  | ARRIVALS |  |  | DEPARTURES |  |  | TOTALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Range | No. Days | Ave. PUPILS | Trip Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { No. } \\ & \text { Days } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Ave. PUPILS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. PUPILS | Trip Rate |
| 00:00-01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00-02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00-03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00-04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00-05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00-06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00-07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00-08:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.029 | 3 | 887 | 0.003 | 3 | 887 | 0.032 |
| 08:00-09:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.129 | 3 | 887 | 0.039 | 3 | 887 | 0.168 |
| 09:00-10:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.031 | 3 | 887 | 0.012 | 3 | 887 | 0.043 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.022 | 3 | 887 | 0.017 | 3 | 887 | 0.039 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.008 | 3 | 887 | 0.011 | 3 | 887 | 0.019 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.019 | 3 | 887 | 0.013 | 3 | 887 | 0.032 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.011 | 3 | 887 | 0.009 | 3 | 887 | 0.020 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.011 | 3 | 887 | 0.027 | 3 | 887 | 0.038 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.012 | 3 | 887 | 0.063 | 3 | 887 | 0.075 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.007 | 3 | 887 | 0.044 | 3 | 887 | 0.051 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.008 | 3 | 887 | 0.017 | 3 | 887 | 0.025 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 2 | 1025 | 0.012 | 2 | 1025 | 0.012 | 2 | 1025 | 0.024 |
| 19:00-20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00-21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00-22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00-23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00-24:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rates: |  |  | 0.299 |  |  | 0.267 |  |  | 0.566 |

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP*FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

## Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:
Survey date date range:
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):
Number of Saturdays:
Number of Sundays:
Surveys manually removed from selection:

610-1200 (units: )
01/01/00-25/11/09
3
0
0
1

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are show. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

## TRIP RATE for Land Use 04 - EDUCATION/B - SECONDARY

## MULTI-MODAL PEDESTRIANS

## Calculation factor: 1 PUPILS

BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

|  | ARRIVALS |  |  | DEPARTURES |  |  | TOTALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Range | No. Days | Ave. PUPILS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. PUPILS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. PUPILS | Trip Rate |
| 00:00-01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00-02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00-03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00-04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00-05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00-06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00-07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00-08:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.039 | 3 | 887 | 0.005 | 3 | 887 | 0.044 |
| 08:00-09:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.358 | 3 | 887 | 0.006 | 3 | 887 | 0.364 |
| 09:00-10:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.053 | 3 | 887 | 0.005 | 3 | 887 | 0.058 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.017 | 3 | 887 | 0.027 | 3 | 887 | 0.044 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.016 | 3 | 887 | 0.012 | 3 | 887 | 0.028 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.008 | 3 | 887 | 0.020 | 3 | 887 | 0.028 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.024 | 3 | 887 | 0.020 | 3 | 887 | 0.044 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.021 | 3 | 887 | 0.014 | 3 | 887 | 0.035 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.024 | 3 | 887 | 0.393 | 3 | 887 | 0.417 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.008 | 3 | 887 | 0.027 | 3 | 887 | 0.035 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.004 | 3 | 887 | 0.011 | 3 | 887 | 0.015 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 2 | 1025 | 0.003 | 2 | 1025 | 0.003 | 2 | 1025 | 0.006 |
| 19:00-20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00-21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00-22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00-23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00-24:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rates: |  |  | 0.575 |  |  | 0.543 |  |  | 1.118 |

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP*FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

## Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:
Survey date date range:
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):
Number of Saturdays:
Number of Sundays:
Surveys manually removed from selection:

610-1200 (units: )
01/01/00-25/11/09
3
0
0
1

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are show. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

GUILDFORD

## TRIP RATE for Land Use 04 - EDUCATION/B - SECONDARY

## MULTI-MODAL PUBLIC TRANSPORT USERS

## Calculation factor: 1 PUPILS

BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

|  | ARRIVALS |  |  | DEPARTURES |  |  | TOTALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Range | No. Days | Ave. PUPILS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. PUPILS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. PUPILS | Trip Rate |
| 00:00-01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00-02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00-03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00-04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00-05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00-06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00-07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00-08:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.036 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.036 |
| 08:00-09:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.233 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.233 |
| 09:00-10:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.064 | 3 | 887 | 0.011 | 3 | 887 | 0.075 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.005 | 3 | 887 | 0.001 | 3 | 887 | 0.006 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.002 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.002 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.003 | 3 | 887 | 0.002 | 3 | 887 | 0.005 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.011 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.011 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.002 | 3 | 887 | 0.019 | 3 | 887 | 0.021 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.037 | 3 | 887 | 0.322 | 3 | 887 | 0.359 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.007 | 3 | 887 | 0.024 | 3 | 887 | 0.031 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.000 | 3 | 887 | 0.023 | 3 | 887 | 0.023 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 2 | 1025 | 0.012 | 2 | 1025 | 0.015 | 2 | 1025 | 0.027 |
| 19:00-20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00-21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00-22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00-23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00-24:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rates: |  |  | 0.412 |  |  | 0.417 |  |  | 0.829 |

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP*FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

## Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:
Survey date date range:
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):
Number of Saturdays:
Number of Sundays:
Surveys manually removed from selection:

610-1200 (units: )
01/01/00-25/11/09
3
0
0
1

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are show. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

## TRIP RATE for Land Use 04 - EDUCATION/B - SECONDARY

MULTI-MODAL TOTAL PEOPLE
Calculation factor: 1 PUPILS
BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

| Time Range | ARRIVALS |  |  | DEPARTURES |  |  | TOTALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. Days | Ave. PUPILS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. PUPILS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. PUPILS | Trip Rate |
| 00:00-01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00-02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00-03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00-04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00-05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00-06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00-07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00-08:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.105 | 3 | 887 | 0.008 | 3 | 887 | 0.113 |
| 08:00-09:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.729 | 3 | 887 | 0.045 | 3 | 887 | 0.774 |
| 09:00-10:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.148 | 3 | 887 | 0.028 | 3 | 887 | 0.176 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.044 | 3 | 887 | 0.045 | 3 | 887 | 0.089 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.026 | 3 | 887 | 0.024 | 3 | 887 | 0.050 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.030 | 3 | 887 | 0.034 | 3 | 887 | 0.064 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.046 | 3 | 887 | 0.029 | 3 | 887 | 0.075 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.035 | 3 | 887 | 0.062 | 3 | 887 | 0.097 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.076 | 3 | 887 | 0.785 | 3 | 887 | 0.861 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.022 | 3 | 887 | 0.100 | 3 | 887 | 0.122 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 3 | 887 | 0.011 | 3 | 887 | 0.052 | 3 | 887 | 0.063 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 2 | 1025 | 0.027 | 2 | 1025 | 0.031 | 2 | 1025 | 0.058 |
| 19:00-20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00-21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00-22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00-23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00-24:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rates: |  |  | 1.299 |  |  | 1.243 |  |  | 2.542 |

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP*FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

## Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:
Survey date date range:
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):
Number of Saturdays:
Number of Sundays:
Surveys manually removed from selection:

610-1200 (units: )
01/01/00-25/11/09
3
0
0
1

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are show. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

## APPENDIX 12



2014 -> 2020 PM Peak: x 1.0637


## APPENDIX 13

MAYOR OF LONDON


## APPENDIX 14




NOTE: THE VEHICLES PARKED ILLEGALLY IN ZONE 1, PARKED IN AN AREA RESERVED FOR COACH PARKING.
(NO COACHES WERE OBSEREVD, ONLY CARS USED THIS AREA WHICH COULD HOLD APPROXIMATELY 5 CARS).
THE VEHICLES PARKED ILLEGALLY IN ZONE 2 WERE NOT PARKED IN DESIGNATED BAYS.
three police officers were on site between 14:55 TO 15:55 MOVING ON VEHICLES WHICH WERE PARKED ILLEGALLY.

## APPENDIX 15



Notes:

* Committed Whitchurch Schools expansion vehicle trip generation taken from approved Mott MacDonalds Transport Assessment (March 2014)
** AHFS Parking accumulation derived from total TRICS vehicle arrival / departure trip rates over AM / PM periods (broken down by start / finish times - assumed $75 \%$ pupils arrive/exit school in 15 minutes before or after school start/ finish time. $25 \%$ pupils arrive/exit school 15-30 minutes before or after school start/ finish time).
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## APPENDIX 19

MTP Results Summary
MTP Results Summary

## User and Project Details

| Project: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Title: |  |
| Location: | 2015-06 Whitchurch Lane - Wemborough Road - Honeypot Lane - Marsh Lane <br> MITIGATION V2 14-042.Isg3x |
| File name: |  |
| Author: |  |
| Company: |  |
| Address: |  |
| Notes: |  |

Phase Diagram


## Phase Input Data

| Phase Name | Phase Type | Assoc. Phase | Street Min | Cont Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| B | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| C | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| D | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| E | Pedestrian |  | 7 | 7 |
| F | Pedestrian |  | 7 | 7 |
| G | Pedestrian |  | 7 | 7 |
| H | Pedestrian |  | 7 | 7 |

Phase Intergreens Matrix


## Stage Diagram



## Phase Delays

| Term. Stage | Start Stage | Phase | Type | Value | Cont value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

There are no Phase Delays defined

MTP Results Summary
Scenario 1: 'AM Peak Base + CD + Dev' (FG2: 'PM Peak Base + CD + Dev', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram


MTP Results Summary

## Lane Input Data

| Junction: Unnamed Junction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Type | Phases | Start Disp. | End Disp. | Physical Length (PCU) | Sat Flow Type | Def User Saturation Flow (PCU/Hr) | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Turns | Turning Radius (m) |
| 1/1 <br> (Whitchurch Lane) | U | D | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 2.50 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | 10.70 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arm 7 <br> Ahead | Inf |
| 1/2 <br> (Whitchurch Lane) | 0 | D | 2 | 3 | 7.0 | Geom | - | 2.80 | 0.00 | N | Arm 8 Right | 21.80 |
| 2/1 (Honeypot Lane) | U | B | 2 | 3 | 5.0 | Geom | - | 3.00 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 14.50 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Honeypot } \\ \text { Lane) } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | U | B | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.00 | 0.00 | N | Arm 8 <br> Ahead | Inf |
| 2/3 <br> (Honeypot Lane) | U | B | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.00 | 0.00 | $N$ | Arm 5 Right | 16.90 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arm 8 <br> Ahead | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 1 \\ \text { (Wemborough } \\ \text { Road) } \end{gathered}$ | U | C | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 2.60 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 5 <br> Ahead | Inf |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arm 8 Left | 18.00 |
|  | 0$U$ | CA | 22 | 33 | 3.060.0 | Geom <br> Geom | - | 2.60 | 0.000.00 | NY | Arm 6 Right | 20.10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arm 5 Left | 26.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.10 |  |  | Arm 6 Ahead | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ \text { (Marsh Lane) } \end{gathered}$ | U | A | 2 | 3 | 3.0 | Geom | - | 3.10 | 0.00 | $N$ | Arm 6 <br> Ahead <br> Arm 7 <br> Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inf } \\ 18.40 \end{gathered}$ |
| 5/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |

MTP Results Summary
Give-Way Lane Input Data

| Junction: Unnamed Junction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Movement | Max <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Min <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Opposing Lane | Opp. Lane Coeff. | Opp. Mvmnts | Right Turn Storage (PCU) | NonBlocking Storage (PCU) | RTF | Right Turn Move up (s) | Max <br> Turns in Intergreen (PCU) |
| $1 / 2$ | 8/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 3/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| (Whitchurch Lane) | 8/2 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 3/1 | 1.09 | All |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/2 <br> (Wemborough Road) | 6/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 1/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
|  | 6/2 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 1/1 | 1.09 | All |  |  |  |  |  |

## Traffic Flow Groups

| Flow Group | Start Time | End Time | Duration | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2: 'PM Peak Base + CD + Dev' | $16: 15$ | $17: 15$ | $01: 00$ |  |

Traffic Flows, Actual
Actual Flow :

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |  |
|  | A | 0 | 152 | 388 | 70 | 610 |  |  |
|  | B | 238 | 0 | 147 | 397 | 782 |  |  |
|  | C | 506 | 144 | 0 | 89 | 739 |  |  |
|  | D | 125 | 550 | 186 | 0 | 861 |  |  |
|  | Tot. | 869 | 846 | 721 | 556 | 2992 |  |  |




Scenario 2: 'PM Peak Base + CD + Dev' (FG2: 'PM Peak Base + CD + Dev', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram


MTP Results Summary

## Lane Input Data

| Junction: Unnamed Junction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Type | Phases | Start Disp. | End Disp. | Physical Length (PCU) | Sat Flow Type | Def User Saturation Flow (PCU/Hr) | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Turns | Turning Radius (m) |
| 1/1 <br> (Whitchurch Lane) | U | D | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 2.50 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | 10.70 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arm 7 <br> Ahead | Inf |
| 1/2 <br> (Whitchurch Lane) | 0 | D | 2 | 3 | 7.0 | Geom | - | 2.80 | 0.00 | N | Arm 8 Right | 21.80 |
| 2/1 (Honeypot Lane) | U | B | 2 | 3 | 5.0 | Geom | - | 3.00 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 14.50 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Honeypot } \\ \text { Lane) } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | U | B | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.00 | 0.00 | N | Arm 8 <br> Ahead | Inf |
| 2/3 <br> (Honeypot Lane) | U | B | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.00 | 0.00 | $N$ | Arm 5 Right | 16.90 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arm 8 <br> Ahead | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 1 \\ \text { (Wemborough } \\ \text { Road) } \end{gathered}$ | U | C | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 2.60 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 5 <br> Ahead | Inf |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arm 8 Left | 18.00 |
|  | 0$U$ | CA | 22 | 33 | 3.060.0 | Geom <br> Geom | - | 2.60 | 0.000.00 | NY | Arm 6 Right | 20.10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arm 5 Left | 26.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.10 |  |  | Arm 6 Ahead | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ \text { (Marsh Lane) } \end{gathered}$ | U | A | 2 | 3 | 3.0 | Geom | - | 3.10 | 0.00 | $N$ | Arm 6 <br> Ahead <br> Arm 7 <br> Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inf } \\ 18.40 \end{gathered}$ |
| 5/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |

MTP Results Summary
Give-Way Lane Input Data

| Junction: Unnamed Junction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Movement | Max <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Min <br> Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Opposing Lane | Opp. Lane Coeff. | Opp. Mvmnts | Right Turn Storage (PCU) | NonBlocking Storage (PCU) | RTF | Right Turn Move up (s) | Max <br> Turns in Intergreen (PCU) |
| $1 / 2$ | 8/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 3/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| Lane) | 8/2 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 3/1 | 1.09 | All |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/2 <br> (Wemborough Road) | 6/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 1/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
|  | 6/2 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | 1/1 | 1.09 | All |  |  |  |  |  |

## Traffic Flow Groups

| Flow Group | Start Time | End Time | Duration | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2: 'PM Peak Base + CD + Dev' | $16: 15$ | $17: 15$ | $01: 00$ |  |

Traffic Flows, Actual
Actual Flow :

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |
|  | A | 0 | 129 | 366 | 86 | 581 |
|  | B | 207 | 0 | 225 | 396 | 828 |
|  | C | 431 | 182 | 0 | 103 | 716 |
|  | D | 66 | 382 | 96 | 0 | 544 |
|  | Tot. | 704 | 693 | 687 | 585 | 2669 |


| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg } \\ & \text { Sat } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Mean <br> Max Queue (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 87.1\% | 243 | 0 | 25 | 40.7 | - |
| Unnamed Junction | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 87.1\% | 243 | 0 | 25 | 40.7 | - |
| 1/1 | Whitchurch Lane Left Ahead | U | D |  | 1 | 41 | - | 495 | 1799 | 727 | 68.1\% | - | - | - | 4.6 | 12.7 |
| 1/2 | Whitchurch Lane Right | 0 | D |  | 1 | 41 | - | 86 | 1904 | 189 | 45.4\% | 86 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
| 2/2+2/1 | Honeypot Lane Left Ahead | U | B |  | 1 | 22 | - | 490 | 2055:1735 | $311+264$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85.2: \\ & 85.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | 7.7 | 11.7 |
| 2/3 | Honeypot Lane Right Ahead | U | B |  | 1 | 22 | - | 338 | 1949 | 431 | 78.4\% | - | - | - | 5.3 | 10.9 |
| 3/1 | Wemborough Road Ahead Left | U | C |  | 1 | 41 | - | 534 | 1845 | 745 | 71.7\% | - | - | - | 5.1 | 14.2 |
| 3/2 | Wemborough Road Right | 0 | C |  | 1 | 41 | - | 182 | 1875 | 209 | 87.1\% | 157 | 0 | 25 | 5.0 | 7.9 |
| 4/1 | Marsh Lane Left Ahead | U | A |  | 1 | 16 | - | 263 | 1898 | 310 | 84.8\% | - | - | - | 5.6 | 9.8 |
| 4/2 | Marsh Lane Ahead Right | U | A |  | 1 | 16 | - | 281 | 2009 | 328 | 85.6\% | - | - | - | 6.0 | 10.5 |
| C1 |  |  |  | PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): <br> PRC Over All Lanes (\%): |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & 3.3 \end{aligned}$ | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr) Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr) |  |  | 40.7240.72 Cycle Time (s): 104 |  |  |  |  |  |

MTP Results Summary
Network Layout Diagram
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## 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Avanti House School (AHFS) is committed to minimising congestion, emissions and inconvenience to the local residents which may be caused by the operation of the school on Wemborough Road and the surrounding area. Through the implementation of this Travel Plan, which will be overseen by a member of staff who will be appointed to take on the role of Travel Plan Coordinator (TPC) and in liaison with Harrow Council the overall traffic and environmental footprint of the school will be managed;

The school is committed to implementing a TfL STARS accredited Travel Plan that will be underpinned by a comprehensive and deliverable Action Plan. The Action Plan will clearly outline a list of initiatives to be undertaken so as to promote the Travel Plan to students, parents/ carers and staff;

The success of the Travel Plan will be judged against TfL STARS accreditation criteria (as set out for the 2014/2015 academic year at Annex 1). An indicative STARS format Travel Plan for the AHFS has been developed by MTP, incorporating 'Gold' level STARS-specific objectives, targets, action plan and consultation / review processes. This should form the basis for the STARS Travel Plan to be completed on occupation of the site and is included at Annex 2;

Annual travel surveys of staff and students will be conducted, and survey results will be submitted to Harrow Council for monitoring. Following initial occupation, travel surveys will be carried out in the Autumn term of the 2017/2018 academic year. The TPC will be responsible for undertaking the initial and subsequent surveys as well as monitoring other aspects of the Travel Plan; and

Should it transpire that targets are not being met the TPC will, in consultation with the Harrow Council School Travel Plan Officer, amend the Action Plan detailing agreed activities to be undertaken and timescales for the implementation of recommendations/ modifications.

## 2. INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE OF TRAVEL PLAN

2.1 This Travel Plan has been prepared on behalf of the Avanti House School in conjunction with the Education Funding Agency (EFA). It supports a planning application to develop a Secondary School on existing Greenfield land at Whitchurch Playing Fields, Stanmore, HA7 2EQ.
2.3 The site is situated to the west of Marsh Lane (A4140) and east of Abercorn Road in a predominately residential area. The site entrance is located approximately 300 metres eastward along Wemborough Road which is directly south of the site. Its location in relation to the surrounding area is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1 Site Location


Harrow Council's 'Sustainable Modes of Travel Strategy' sets out Harrow's sustainable transport strategy, highlighting the "borough's commitment to a sustainable future". The strategy details the importance of finding alternative to reliance on car travel and the initiatives the Council us to encourage this modal shift.
2.5 This involves a range of initiatives such as: "raising awareness of available travel options through targeted promotions; supporting sustainable travel through small scale infrastructure projects such as cycle racks; building an understanding of factors motivating travel behaviour; and engaging directly with schools, workplaces and local communities."
2.10 The London Plan has development plan status with considerable weight in the planning process in Greater London. Policy 6.1 states that the Mayor will adopt a strategic approach to better integrate transport and development by "Encouraging patterns and nodes of development that reduce the need to travel, especially by car."
2.11 Harrow Council's Core Strategy, adopted 12 February 2012, is a key part of Harrow's Local Plan, and sets out the Borough's strategic approach to managing growth and development to 2026.
2.12 Within the Core Strategy, there are a number of objectives which relate to transport:

- "enhance the infrastructure, environment and other resources which make Harrow a desirable place to live, work and visit by improving sustainable transport capacity, accessibility and quality to meet users' needs and expectations;
- manage the Borough's contribution to climate change by co-ordinating development and public transport to promote more sustainable patterns of land use to reduce reliance on private vehicles;
- adapt to population and demographic changes to meet people's needs and quality of life by promoting walking, cycling and participation in sport by all ages."


## Scope of Travel Plan

2.13 Section 3 outlines the aims and objectives of the AHFS Travel Plan in accordance with the policies referred to in this section. Section 4 provides a description of the school's characteristics and expected travel patterns as well as existing infrastructure and accessibility.
2.14 Section 5 outlines the management of the Travel Plan in respect of roles and responsibilities of the Travel Plan Coordinator (TPC) and the Travel Plan Working Group (TPWG). Section 6 provides details of the measures and initiatives designed to deliver a successful Travel Plan;

Section 7 details the Travel Plan's Action Plan and Targets whilst Section 8 sets out the monitoring and review process and the corrective measures to be considered should targets not be met.

## 3. TRAVEL PLAN AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

3.3 The objectives of the AHFS Travel Plan will be to provide a focus for a range of initiatives to encourage journeys to the site to be made by sustainable modes of transport, and to inform the targets that in turn will assist in identifying and evaluating its success.
AHFS is committed to ensuring that everyone travelling to and from the school can do so as safely as possible. The school will encourage staff and students to choose active and sustainable modes of travel.

In accordance with the national and local policies identified in Section 2, the key objectives of the Travel Plan are as follows:

- Staff, students and parents/guardians will support the aims of the Travel Plan to reduce trips by car to and from the school by using alternative modes of transport;
- Reduce congestion on surrounding roads to improve road safety and minimise harmful emissions;
- Maximise opportunities for the use of alternative modes of travel;
- Increase awareness of the health benefits of walking and cycling to the school; and
- Raise awareness of road safety and environmental issues.


## 4. AVANTI HOUSE SCHOOL AND TRAVEL PATTERNS

## The Proposal

4.1 As noted in Section 2, the proposed AHFS plans to take occupation of the site in the Autumn term of the 2017/2018 academic year. The school will see an annual intake of 180 per annum until full occupation of 1,260 pupils plus 120 FTE staff. The school will provide secondary education for Year 7 11 inclusive in addition to sixth form.
4.2 School opening hours will be 07:00-17:30 and include a comprehensive range of pre and post-school activities including a breakfast club and additional education / training and sporting activities after school which will operate on a daily basis. This will result in staggering the start and finish times of the school, as detailed below.

Table 4.1 Proposed School Start and Finish Times

| Time | Activity | No. Pupil Arrivals / Departures |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Morning |  | Breakfast Club |
| 07:00-08:00 | Key Stage 4 Registration | 60 |
| $07: 45$ | Key Stage 3 Registration | 320 |
| $08: 15$ | Key Stage 5 Registration | 520 |
| $09: 45$ | Official KS3 \& KS4 end of day | 340 |
| Evening | KS3/KS4 After School Clubs end | 500 |
| $15: 45$ | Official KS5 end of day | 360 |
| $16: 45$ |  |  |
| $17: 30$ |  |  |

4.3 The majority of both staff and students of AHFS will be arriving and departing at different times to those of the network peak and the neighbouring Whitchurch Schools, which operate start times of 08:45/08:55 and finish times of 15:15/15:20. It is also the case that the arrival / departures times of the proposed school will be during the AM and PM 'shoulder' peak periods on the wider highway network thereby minimising the impact of school-related trips on the operation of the surrounding highway and transport networks.

It should be noted that the 2014/2015 Year 7-9 pupil home locations are not focused around the school site (at that time) on Common Road. It is in fact the case that the catchment of 2014-2015 pupils was centred more around the Whitchurch playing fields site providing considerable opportunity for existing and prospective pupils to walk and cycle to school. Figure 2 illustrates the 2014/2015 Year 7-9 pupil's home postcode locations, and also shows the location of the previous school site (as vacated July 2015), temporary school site on Beaulieu Drive, Pinner (to be occupied by the school over the 2015-2017 academic years) and the permanent school site at Whitchurch playing fields.

Figure 2 2014/2015 Year 7-9 Pupil Home Locations


Whilst a significant proportion of students within the catchment area will be able to use a public bus service, or combination of bus services to travel to school, it is proposed to supplement this with a private school operated bus service. Further details of the school bus service are provided later in this report.

## Vehicular Access

The existing playing fields on the proposed development site, and the Whitchurch First and Junior Schools southeast of the site are accessed from Wemborough Road via separate entry and egress simple priority junctions, and thereafter a shared access way. The egress onto Wemborough Road is provided with segregated left and right turn lanes.
4.7 With regard to the future vehicular access arrangements, upon occupation of the site by AHFS, it is proposed to utilise the existing priority junction arrangement and shared access way from Wemborough Road into the site for deliveries / servicing and staff access only. Delivery movements will be restricted to times away from the start and finish of the school day and outside of peak hours of congestions on the local highway network.
4.8 Further to the scoping meeting held with Harrow Council Highways on 13 January 2015, it was considered that vehicular set-down / pick-up trips were most likely to be undertaken in the public car park to the south of the school. On this basis, a parking beat survey was undertaken at the car park during the typical AM and PM drop-off / pick-up periods on 20 January 2015. The results of this parking survey with analysis of available parking supply and demand generated by the AHFS proposals are presented in the Transport Assessment that forms part of this planning submission.

Figure 3 shows the proposed movement and access arrangements for AHFS. These arrangements will be supported by signage and road markings, as appropriate. The strategy will also be embodied within the School's Delivery / Servicing Plan such that all end users will be made aware of the arrangements to be put in place. It should be noted that the pedestrian access point off Wemborough Road will act as the sole point of access on foot.

Figure 3 Site Layout, Movement \& Access Arrangements
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4.10

It is proposed that cyclists accessing the site use the dedicated routes on Wemborough Road, and alight before manoeuvring through the public car park to the south of the site (using the wide footways provided). Likewise, cyclists should not cycle through the car park on departure, mounting their cycles prior to joining Wemborough Road. This arrangement is proposed to avoid conflict between cyclists and vehicles within the public car park to the south of the site, particularly given the shared use with the Whitchurch First and Junior School.

## Car and Cycle Parking Provision

4.11 There is no prescriptive car parking standard within the London Plan (Further Alterations) or Harrow Council's Development Management Policies document in respect of education-based land uses. It is proposed to provide a total of 69 parking spaces (including $5 \%$ disabled provision, $10 \%$ active electric vehicle charging points and $10 \%$ passive electric vehicle charging points).
4.12 This level of parking is considered appropriate based on site specific demand for the school and any proposed 'out of hours' leisure activities. The disabled and electric vehicle provision accords with London Plan standards and reflects consultation with the GLA.
4.13 Specific guidance in respect of cycle parking is provided in the adopted London Plan Further Alterations (March 2015) document. It is therefore proposed to provide 1 long-term cycle parking space per 8 students / staff plus an additional short stay space per 100 students. In this regard, at full capacity, the school will provide as a minimum covered long-stay cycle parking for 173 cycles and 12 additional short stay spaces.

## Public Transport Accessibility

## Bus Services

To the east of the site, services 79,186 and 340 stop regularly along Whitchurch Lane (B461) and benefit from shelters, seating and timetable information. The walking route along these bus stops to the school is provided with a pelican pedestrian crossing with refuge island at the crossroads between Marsh Lane (A4140) / Whitchurch Lane (B461) / Honeypot Lane (A4140) / Wemborough Road.
4.16 The closest bus stop for Route N98 is located 480 m south of the site on Honeypot Lane and is provided with a bus shelter, seating and timetable information. Abercorn Road, west of the school, links bus service 324 which stops approximately 420 metres from the school entrance. The service runs between Stanmore London Underground (LU) Station and Brent Cross via Kingsbury. The walking route is provided with a zebra crossing at the roundabout, south on Abercorn Road.

A summary of the weekday daytime operations of these bus services is provided in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2 Direct Bus Services \& Frequencies

| Route <br> No. | Nearest Bus <br> Stop | Route | Frequency |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 186 | 250 metres | St Mark's Hospital-Harrow-Edgware-Brent Cross | Every 12 minutes |
| 79 | 260 metres | Edgware-Honeypot Lane-Alperton | Every 12 minutes |
| 340 | 260 metres | Edgware-Stanmore-Harrow | Every 12 minutes |
| 324 | 420 metres | Stanmore-Kingsbury Station-Brent Cross | $3 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| N98 | 480 metres | Stanmore-Willesden-Edgware-Holborn | $4 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{hr}$ |

4.18 The bus routes set out in Table 4.2 will provide a direct route to the proposed school for a good proportion of prospective students. Table 4.3 identifies additional connecting bus services which will allow access from other home locations with North London, particularly around the Enfield / Bush Hill Park or Cockfosters areas.

Table 4.3 Connecting Bus Services

| Route <br> No. | Route | Connecting At | Connecting <br> Route No. | Frequency |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 32 | Edgware-Cricklewood-Kilburn | High Street (A5) | $79 / 186 / 340$ | Every 20 minutes |
| 142 | Watford-Bushey-Brent Cross | High Street (A5) | $79 / 186 / 340$ | Every 12 minutes |
| 204 | Edgware-Wembley Central <br> Station-Sudbury | High Street (A5) | $79 / 186 / 340$ | Every 10 minutes |
| 288 | Queensbury-Edgware Bus <br> Station-Broadfields | High Street (A5) | $79 / 186 / 340$ | Every 10 minutes |
| 292 | Borehamwood-Barnet Way- <br> Colindale | High Street (A5) | $79 / 186 / 340$ | Every 15 minutes |
| 644 | Hatfield-Barnet-Edgware- <br> Wembley Park Station | High Street (A5) | $79 / 186 / 340$ | Every 30 minutes |

4.19 It can be seen that these connections offer students the opportunity to travel from their home from a variety of locations to the school by public transport, i.e. 'door to door' in a maximum journey time of 45 minutes.

## Rail Services

4.20 The nearest train station to the proposed school is Canons Park, approximately 600 metres ( 10 minute walk-time) to the east. Canons Park is operated by London Underground on the Jubilee Line located between Stanmore to the north and Queensbury to the south. A service is provided every 5 minutes and bus routes 79,186 and 340 stop outside then station.
4.21 Edgware Station (London Underground) is the northern terminus on the Northern Line, approximately 2.4 km from the proposed site and is also served by bus services 79,186 and 340 . Services arrive in Edgware every 12 minutes.

## PTAL

4.22 PTAL or Public Transport Accessibility Level is a widely adopted tool amongst London Authorities for measuring a sites' accessibility. The PTAL methodology identifies the key factors that influence personal choice of a public transport mode as being, number of accessible services, walk distances, frequency, reliability and time of day / day of week. On the basis of these factors, a formula has been developed to calculate an Accessibility Index (AI) for any given location.
4.23 Using the PTAL methodology / formula, a PTAL has been calculated for the application site, the results of which are included as Annex 3. From Annex 3 it can be seen that the application site has an Al value of 8.73 or a PTAL banding of 2 .
4.24 In overall terms, whilst the PTAL value for the site is low, the accessibility of the application site by public transport offers a range of alternative travel choices to both student and staff and there are a wide range of journey origins and destinations can be reached by the bus and underground networks.

## Surrounding Highway Network

4.25 Wemborough Road is a two-way residential road which forms a crossroad junction with Marsh Lane (A4140) / Whitchurch Lane (B461) / Honeypot Lane (A414) to the east and a 4 -arm roundabout with Abercorn Road / St. Andrew's Drive to the west. To the east of the signal junction is Canons Park Underground Station and to the north Stanmore Underground Station.
4.26 St Andrew's Drive has no on-street parking restrictions except within the vicinity of the roundabout. Abercorn Road is subject to on-street parking restrictions within the vicinity of the roundabout and the Stanburn Primary School access, with single yellow line parking restrictions present on the southbound side of the carriageway operational Monday-Friday 0800-0930 \& 1500-1630.
4.27 Wemborough Road is the subject of a 30 mph speed limit which continues along St. Andrew's Drive, Abercorn Road, Marsh Lane and Whitchurch Lane. The road has a vehicular weight restriction of 7.5T expect for access.
4.28 Honeypot Lane (A4140), a dual carriageway, is subject to a 40 mph speed limit and is provided with grass verges between the footway and both the north and southbound carriageways. Both Honeypot Lane (A4140) and Marsh Lane to the north of the crossroad junction are subject to double yellow line parking restrictions.
4.29 Whitchurch Lane (B461) is subject to double yellow line restrictions for an approximate distance of 500 m east of the crossroad junction and thereafter single yellow line restriction apply. Wemborough Road forms three priority junctions with Gyles Park, Bush Grove and Bromfield. Bush Grove and Bromfield are subject to single yellow line parking restrictions Monday - Friday 1400-1500 whereas Gyles Park has no on-street parking restrictions.
4.30 North of the development site, Old Church Lane connects with other neighbouring residential streets and cul-de-sacs including Cranmer Close and Lansdowne Road.
4.31 Wemborough Road is subject to recently implemented single yellow line parking restrictions, save for the pedestrian crossings and bus stops outside the school entrance. These were introduced following the Canons Park Area parking review. Restrictions are operational Mon-Fri, 2-3pm, aiming to reduce parking congestion created by commuters using Canons Park LU Station.

On-street parking bays are located outside Canons Park shopping parade which specifies restrictions Monday - Saturday 0800-1830. Parking is free for permit holders or pay and displays machines are available for a maximum stay of 2 hours. Single yellow restrictions apply Monday - Saturday 10001100 \& 1400-1500 at this location also.
4.33 To the north of the application site, Marsh Lane junctions with London Road and The Broadway. London Road provides access to the M1 via the A41 and the Broadway provides access to north Stanmore and further north towards Watford.

## Pedestrian \& Cycle Accessibility

The Chartered Institution of Highways and Transportation document 'Guidelines for Providing for Journeys on Foot' state that "walking accounts for over a quarter of all journeys and four fifths of journeys less than one mile". The document also provides guidance on acceptable walking distances and suggests that a preferred maximum walking distance of 2 km is applicable for school trips. In relation to cycling, it is also recognised that this mode also has the potential to substitute short car journeys particularly those less than 5.0 kilometres. Figure 4 below illustrates the 2.0 km walking and 5.0 km cycling catchment areas of AHFS.
4.35 Wemborough Road is provided with lit footways on both sides of the carriageway and approximately 10 metres from the main site entrance is a pelican crossing across Wemborough Road. Existing school signage and carriageway markings are present altering drivers to the fact that children will be crossing the road.
4.36 Pedestrian infrastructure within the vicinity of the site is of a good standard with pedestrian crossing points present along key pedestrian desire lines and the local footway network provided with lit footways. Abercorn Road to the west of the site benefits from three pedestrian crossing points.

The 4-arm roundabout located to the west of the site benefits from safe pedestrian crossing zones, with either zebra crossing facilities or pedestrian refuge islands and tactile paving on all junction arms.
4.38 Located to the east of the site is a signalised crossroad junction linking Marsh Lane / Whitchurch Lane (B461) / Honeypot Lane (A4140) / Wemborough Road which benefits from pedestrian crossings with tactile paving and pedestrian refuge islands on all arms of the junction. Honeypot Lane is provided with signalised pedestrian crossing facilities.

It is demonstrated within the Transport Assessment, forming part of this planning application submission that the footways surrounding the site access are capable of absorbing existing foot traffic and that associated with the school proposals and expansion of the neighbouring Whitchurch schools.
4.40 In consideration of the personal injury accident record at the signalised crossroads to the east of the site, and following comments from Harrow Council Highways and TfL, consideration has been given to the implementation of additional controlled crossing facilities at the junction. To this end, and contained within the TA submission for the scheme, it is proposed to remodel the junction and introduce a staggered pelican crossing facility over the junction's northern arm. The proposed layout and capacity modelling has been presented to Harrow Council Highways and accepted in principle.

Figure 4 Potential Walk \& Cycle Catchment

4.41 Figure 5 shows an extract of the local TfL cycle guide from which is can be seen that there is a network of signed and recommended routes for cyclists within the vicinity of the proposed school. Wemborough Road benefits from dedicated on-road cycle lanes as does Marsh Lane.

Where dedicated cycle routes are not present, carriageway widths are wide enough to accommodate both cyclists and vehicles and visibility is generally of a good level aiding inter-visibility between cyclists and vehicles.

Figure 5 Local Cycle Routes


Within Figure 5, yellow routes denote quieter roads that have been recommended by other cyclists and may connect to other route sections. Blue routes are signed or marked for use by cyclists on a mixture of quite or busier roads and green routes are off-road routes which may also be shared with pedestrians. The full map can be found in the TfL Local Cycle Guide 3.

## 5. TRAVEL PLAN MANAGEMENT

## Travel Plan Coordinator

5.1 AHFS places great importance on the role of the Travel Plan Coordinator (TPC) to deliver the aims and objectives of the Travel Plan. A member of staff will be appointed to carry out the role of TPC. This is most likely to be the Deputy Head Teacher, currently acting as TPC for AHFS. Contact details of the TPC will be provided to Harrow Council, and the Council will be informed should there be a change to the contact details of the appointed TPC.
5.2 The primary responsibilities of the TPC are to implement, communicate, monitor and manage the defined aims and objectives contained within the Travel Plan. The role of TPC also involves:

- Overseeing the development and implementation of the Travel Plan;
- Raising awareness of the Travel Plan through continued communication with staff, students and parents/ carers;
- Setting up and coordinating the Travel Plan Working Group (TPWG);
- Organising the necessary surveys or other data collection exercises required to develop/review the Travel Plan including questionnaires to staff and students;
- Liaising with the Harrow Council regarding all Travel Plan related matters;
- Coordinating the monitoring programme for the Travel Plan and ensuring targets are met (as agreed with Harrow Council and in line with the Tfl school travel plan STARS 'Gold' level accreditation criteria); and
- Reporting each term to the wider school and annually to the governors.
5.3 A budget will be allocated to implementing, managing and reviewing the Travel Plan. This budget will also cover any costs associated with the provision of infrastructure to support the implementation and on-going management of the Travel Plan.


## Travel Plan Working Group

5.4 The TPWG will be set up / reviewed in September 2017, following occupation of the site. Initially, the group will meet monthly to coordinate the travel survey that will be carried out during the Autumn term. Following the survey, the TPWG will meet each term to review the progress towards meeting the Travel Plan objectives and targets.

The members of the TPWG will be:

- The TPC;
- The Headteacher;
- Student representatives;
- Parent representatives;
- One member of non-teaching staff; and
- One governor.
5.7 Following the analysis of the initial survey and the communication of the results to all interested parties, the on-going responsibilities of the TPWG will involve the following:
- To engage regularly with external groups in the local community (particularly local residents associations) to ascertain any real or perceived issues or problems;
- To monitor and review the progress towards the fulfilment of the agreed actions and targets;
- To ensure that the objectives remain relevant and in focus;
- To ensure that those with responsibilities around the Travel Plan are held to account;
- To identify potential barriers to future progress, and to plan how to avoid, surmount or dismantle them;
- To keep alert of new developments in education and transport since the original Travel Plan was completed;
- To plan and carry out an annual repeat of the initial baseline survey; and
- To plan for the next triennial review of the whole Plan.


## Action Plan

5.8 The AHFS Travel Plan will be underpinned by a comprehensive and deliverable Action Plan that will clearly and concisely outline a list of actions to be undertaken in the implementation and communication of the Travel Plan to the wider school community, i.e. students, parents/ guardians and staff. The success of the Travel Plan will be judged against TfL STARS accreditation criteria. The school will target TfL STARS 'Gold' accreditation by year two, to be maintained for the life of the Travel Plan thereafter.
5.9 Full details of the Action Plan and Targets are provided in Section 7 and details of the monitoring and review process are set out in Section 8. Indicative STARS-based initiatives that will form the basis of the full Travel Plan at the site are set out within Annex 2.

## Administration

5.10 Administration of the Travel Plan involves the maintenance of necessary systems, data and paperwork, consultation and promotion. The TPC will be responsible for carrying out the administrative duties which include the regular updating of the Travel Plan document.
5.11 In the interest of confidentiality, any correspondence or data collected for the purposes of the Travel Plan will be retained within a secure, restricted access filing system, maintained by the TPC alone. Specifically in relation to the operation of the Travel Plan, the TPC will maintain details of travel patterns, monitoring records, historic review reports (for analysis of the longer term effectiveness of the Plan), details of meetings and feedback from the TPWG and comments from staff, students and parents/ guardians as well as any general observations.

## Publicity

5.12 The success of the Travel Plan is reliant upon effective communication strategies to ensure that governors, staff, students and parents/guardians are made fully aware of the principles and initiatives established. Accordingly the TPC will market and promote the Travel Plan through the following:

- Letters to parents;
- Welcome packs (including sustainable travel maps);
- Parents' Travel Plan Charter;
- Integration of active travel into the curriculum;
- The school website;
- Notice boards;
- Newsletters and blogs; and
- Meetings and Open Days.


## 6. SUSTAINABLE TRAVEL INITIATIVES

6.1 AHFS is committed to the promotion of maximising opportunities for sustainable transport as well as minimising the impact of travel to/ from the school on other road users. As such, a number of physical and management initiatives have been designed to facilitate travel to and from the school by sustainable modes of transport. The measures outlined in this section are designed to be reviewed as the school grows and as the Travel Plan develops. A full range of likely initiatives to form part of the full School Travel Plan are provided within Annex 2.

## Travel Plan Initiatives

6.2 Key physical and management initiatives to be implemented within the AHFS Travel Plan include:

- Staggering of school start / finish times by key stage and encouraging uptake of Breakfast and afterschool activities in order to dissipate school drop-off / pick-up traffic;
- Travel Information on the School Website and on notice boards, as appropriate, to include:
- guidance on road safety and safe access to / from the school;
- details of safe walking and cycling routes to the school;
- details of public transport services, with links to the TfL and other useful websites;
- details of the Car Share scheme.
- The implementation of a bus service to serve those students within the catchment area of the school that do not have direct access to existing public transport routes;
- Service to be provided over a single bus or two mini-buses (currently liaising with operators TfL and Desi Coaches);
- At full capacity service to transport 50 students over each start / finish time (totalling 150 students);
- Active promotion of service on School website;
- Regular monitoring of usage and increased provision to respond to demand, as required.
- On the basis of 2014/2015 home postcodes and bus service operations, Figure 6 below identifies an indicative route with two pick-up / drop-off points at strategic locations within the catchment. The route journey time would take less than 30 minutes and would therefore provided opportunity for buses to undertake a trip within each start / finish time stagger;

Figure 6 Indicative School Bus Route


- Engage with pupils and parents to promote principles of the highway code, and remind parents of parking awareness during school drop-off / pick-up periods;
- The establishment and operation of a School Car Share scheme;
- secure area on school website where parents can register interest and be linked up with other parents in their local vicinity;
- TPC to manage and promote scheme highlighting financial and environmental benefits of car sharing.
- Promotion of Walking and Cycling as viable modes of travel amongst students and staff;
- Integral part of school's daily exercise regime;
- Easy to understand mapping made available to students and staff;
- Promotion and participation in walking and cycling events / initiatives;
- Provision of secure, covered cycle parking close to pedestrian entrance of school building to accord with London Plan standards;
- Road safety training as part of the curriculum with specific guidance on the use of safe crossing facilities;
- Cycle skills training as part of curriculum including the Government-supported 'Bikeability' scheme;
- Cycle trips / excursions undertaken to build cycle skills and confidence;
- Cycling lessons provided as part of the PE curriculum;
- 'Cycle Club' to be set up for all cycling students or those that wish to cycle to school with lessons provided on cycle safety and maintenance.
- Active encouragement of the use of existing, local public transport services for access to the school;
- Website links to public transport operators;
- Raise awareness of Zip Oyster Cards that allow free bus travel for children aged 11-15 yrs;
- Easy to understand mapping made available to students and staff of most direct and safe routes to bus stops, rail and underground stations.
- The implementation of a personalised sustainable travel planning service;
- Parents / guardians provided with the opportunity to discuss the travel options available for their children when accessing AHFS.
- Working in partnership with Travel Plan officers at the Council and TPC's at other local schools;
- Develop partnerships to promote sustainable travel, coordinate joint activities and share ideas;
- Students to be involved in road safety initiatives, environmental and active travel voluntary organisations.
- Set up list of marshalls, rota and 'back up' marshalls for both AM and PM drop-off / pick-up periods on every school day - ensuring 4-5 marshalls are on-site at the car park to the south of the site to manage traffic flow. Marshalls should be provided with a strategy document for effective traffic management;
- Provide staff presence at key crossing locations around the school to promote safety of students, staff and visitors;
- Analysis of personal injury accident records undertaken within our submitted Transport Assessment showed that a number of accidents involving pedestrians have occurred at the signal junction to the east of the site as a result of the misuse or misunderstanding of crossing facilities. As noted previously, a junction improvement proposal has been put forward by MTP and agreed in principle with Harrow Council Highways which delivers an additional controlled crossing over the northern arm of the signalised crossroads to the east of the site.
- Parents agreeing and signing a 'Travel Plan charter' committing to the minimisation of car travel wherever possible. All school parents will be required to agree and sign the Travel Plan Charter as part of the application process for their child to attend the school.


## Travel Information

## Website

6.3 The school will update the travel page on its website. It will include information about the role of the Travel Plan and the importance of minimising trips by car. It will also set out details of all the modes of transport available for travelling to and from the school and the benefits of using them. The website will also display information about the benefits of using these 'active' modes of transport.
6.4 Details of the public transport services available in the vicinity of the site will also be set out on the website. Links to public transport websites such as http://www.tfl.gov.uk/ will be included.
6.5 The website will also be used to share information about the progress of the Travel Plan, including the results of travel surveys. In this way, staff, students and parents/ guardians will all be able to access the information and will therefore feel involved in the Travel Plan.
6.7 Notice boards will be placed in a communal area near the entrance of the main building and in the staff room. The notice boards will display information related to the Travel Plan and sustainable transport. They will display details of existing facilities such as bus routes and the locations of the nearby underground and rail stations, in addition to cycle routes in the vicinity of the school.

Information on notice boards will promote upcoming events, and will also display the results of past events. The aim will be to motivate the community to support Travel Plan activities by seeing the results and the benefits of the implemented initiatives.

Pupils will be provided with the opportunity to prepare their own sustainable travel material to be presented on notice boards.

## Newsletters / Blogs

Updates to the Travel Plan, survey results and new initiatives will be included in newsletters / blogs that are available to parents/ carers. Continued support of the Travel Plan is more likely if regular progress updates are given.
6.11 Newsletters, blogs and emails will also be used as a means of communicating local changes that may affect travelling to the site.

## Meetings and Open Days

6.12 Open Days for future students will include information about the Travel Plan so that potential students can consider their travel options prior to starting to attend the school.

When appropriate, updates about the Travel Plan will be included on the agenda at staff meetings. In addition, student meetings may be used as a means of communication regarding travel related issues.
6.14 Meetings with external parties may also include information about the Travel Plan so that other local organisations are kept aware of the measures that the school has put in place to promote a healthy community and to protect the environment.

## Walking

6.15 Walking to the school and the health benefits attributed to walking will be actively promoted through the school website. Walking is a form of 'active travel' that represents an important element of recommended daily exercise. Students and parents/guardians will be made aware of the pedestrian access routes to the school.

The school will arrange for the TfL Safety and Citizenship team and Harrow Council's Safer Transport Team to speak at school assemblies and provide key information on pedestrian and general travel skills. This will include specific guidance on the use of local pedestrian crossing facilities. Staff will also be provided with road safety and awareness training.
6.17 The school and TPC will actively promote participation in initiatives such as 'Walk to School Week', 'International Walk to School Month' and 'Walk in May'. Promotion will be undertaken using TfL toolkits (linked to the STARS programme), through incentivised competitions and promotional assemblies. These events aim to reduce car usage as well as promote the health, social and environmental benefits of walking.
6.18 Staff will be provided with access to a locker. This supports travel by foot by removing the need to transport everything home on a daily basis. It also allows the safe and secure storage of coats and umbrellas. The requirement for lockers for pupils will be monitored.
6.19 The school will coordinate with parents / pupils to set up 'walking buses' to escort students to / from the school from local residential catchments. Where possible, the school will use walking as a mode of transport for school trips / places of interest (combined with other sustainable modes where required). Walking buses will also be used as a method of educating children on the use of crossing facilities and more specifically the safest facilities to use in accessing the school.

## Cycling

6.20 Cycle parking facilities will be provided at AHFS in accordance with current London Plan standards of 1 cycle parking space per 8 staff or students. Cycle parking will be provided in a secure, covered location.
6.21 The TPC will arrange events to promote staff and parent cycling to the school in conjunction with local and national events.
6.22 In addition, cycle training will be provided to older students through the Government-supported 'Bikeability' scheme (www.dft.gov.uk/bikeability). The TPC will liaise with Harrow Council with the view to setting this up at the School annually to provide students with the opportunity to increase their confidence and to improve their road safety awareness. The 'Bikeability' training courses also assist individuals with planning cycle routes for students and parents between home and the School.
6.23 Staff will have access to showers. This facility will encourage cycling by providing an opportunity to freshen up and change clothes if necessary after the journey to school.

## Public Transport

AHFS is served by five frequent public bus services during the periods at the start and end of the academic day. The school website will include direct links to external websites that provide timetable information and route maps for these bus services and connecting services. Links will also be provided to rail and underground sites and journey planning websites including http://www.transportdirect.info and the TfL journey planner at http://www.tfl.gov.uk/plan-a-journey.
6.25 AHFS will actively encourage pupils to become 'Youth Travel Ambassadors' (YTAs). YTA is a youth-led TfL programme for Secondary Schools and Sixth Forms, where teams of up to 12 pupils are supported by the London Transport Museum and Harrow Council to create a behavioural change in local travel. The YTA programme contributes towards STARS accreditation.
6.26 Notice boards will also be used to display details of the bus routes that stop in the vicinity of the school and the routes that they connect to so as to help staff and students to plan their journeys. A map showing the location of the nearby bus stops, railway station and underground station will also be on display. New Year 7 students will be provided with guidance on the safe and active use of public transport and other sustainable travel modes within their introductory student handbooks. New Year 7 students will also be provided with practical independent travel training by the school's YTA team.
6.27 The school will arrange for the TfL Safety and Citizenship team and Harrow Council's Safer Transport Team to speak at school assemblies and provide key information on local public transport, and promote responsible behaviour on public transport.
6.28 Staff will all be issued with information about travelling by public transport and the benefits of purchasing season tickets and/or an Oyster Card. Staff will also be able to take advantage of Season Ticket loans and the government's cycle to work scheme enabling them to purchase bikes tax free via the school. Public transport will be used for school trips wherever feasible.

## Staff Car Sharing

6.29 Staff will be encouraged to car share. A car sharing company will be used to pair staff living in the same locality, with staff records updated on an annual basis (as advised to the car sharing company by the TPC with staff permission). The car sharing company will undertake the relevant vehicle and driver checks prior to pairing staff.

## Personalised Sustainable Travel Planning

6.30 Parents to new students at the school will be offered the opportunity to discuss their travel needs with the TPC. This will help them to consider all the options available to them and to understand the role of the AHFS Travel Plan.

## Curriculum and Partnership

6.31 The school will promote will use the school curriculum, particular through PE and Science to educate children in the benefits and environmental impacts of sustainable travel. Exercises in sustainable travel routing and planning will also be undertaken as part of Geography lessons.

The school will build partnerships with the TfL Safety and Citizenship team, Harrow Council's Safer Transport Team, local Police and MPs in order to promote and build the School Travel Plan. The school will seek to liaise with the neighbouring Whitchurch Schools in particular to manage drop-off / pick-up in the car park to the south of the school, but also to investigate whether any sustainable travel resources can be shared between the schools.

## 7. TRAVEL PLAN TARGETS AND ACTION PLAN

7.1 As is noted in the preceding sections, a key aspiration of the AHFS Travel Plan is to increase awareness of the sustainable travel options available for travel to/ from the site, with the objective of ensuring that journeys to and from the school are made by alternative modes of transport. The comprehensive TfL STARS monitoring and review programme will be put in place enabling the progress of the Travel Plan to be checked in the context of specific targets.
7.2 In order to achieve the aims and objectives of the Travel Plan, it is recognised that a clear framework of targets and milestones for implementation is required against which its success can be judged. This will be achieved through the Action Plan. The targets and milestones defined within the Action Plan are designed to be transparent, realistic and justified in the context of current National and Local Government guidance.
7.3 The Action Plan is not intended to be exhaustive and the TPC, in conjunction with the TPWG, will review and revise the list at appropriate milestones and investigate other potential initiatives. A comprehensive STARS Action Plan is provided with Annex 2.

The Action Plan will be reviewed by the TPC and the TPWG to check performance and to identify the need for any corrective actions that may need to be put in place. A revised Action Plan will then be incorporated into future updates of the Travel Plan. An indicative Action Plan is provided in Table 7.1.

Table 7.1 AHFS Travel Plan - Action Plan

| Action | Timescale | Responsibility |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Appoint Travel Plan Coordinator | In advance of 2017/18 academic year | AHFS |
| Update 'Travel' page on the school <br> website | In advance of 2017/18 academic year | TPC |
| Provide cycle parking | In advance of 2017/18 academic year | Developer |
| Engage with parents regarding school <br> bus facility | In advance of 2017/18 academic year <br> (ongoing) | TPC |
| Display information about the Travel <br> Plan on notice boards | Prior to initial occupation and updated <br> regularly | TPC |
| Set up /review the TPWG | Within the first half of the Autumn Term | TPC |
| Undertake initial travel survey | Autumn term 2017/18 | TPC and TPWG |

Table 7.1 (Cont.) AHFS Travel Plan - Action Plan

| Action | Timescale | Responsibility |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Produce a Full Travel Plan for submission <br> to Harrow Council (TfL STARS compliant) | 6 months into 2017/18 academic year | TPC and TPWG |
| Achieve TfL 'Silver' Level accreditation | By end of 2017/18 academic year | TPC and TPWG |
| Achieve TfL 'Gold' Level accreditation | By end of 2018/2019 academic year and <br> maintained thereafter | TPC and TPWG |
| Re-issue travel surveys to staff and <br> students | Annually | TPC and TPWG |
| Update the Action Plan and submit the <br> updated report to Harrow Council | Annually within 3 months of undertaking <br> travel surveys <br> TPC and TPWG <br> Include travel related information in <br> newsletters / blogs <br> Termly <br> Organise sustainable transport events <br> such as 'Walk to School Week' and 'Car <br> Share Week' <br> Annually TPC and TPWG |  |

## TfL STARS Targets

7.5 The predominant indicator of the success of a Travel Plan is generally considered to be a change in the modal split of trips to and from the site with a greater proportion of trips by non-car modes and a reduction in the number of single occupancy vehicles. An initial modal split has been identified on the basis of the existing school location, pupil catchment and TRICS data. On this basis targets have been set over the first five years of occupation with STARS 'Silver' accreditation targeted within one year and 'Gold' accreditation by year 2 to be maintained thereafter.

Table 7.2 Travel Plan Targets

| Mode | Baseline <br> Modal Split* | 2017/18 <br> (540 students) | 2018/19 <br> (720 students) | 2019/20 <br> (900 students) | 2020/21 <br> (1080 students) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Car Occupants | $15 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Cycle | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Walk | $33 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Public Transport | $36 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| School Bus | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| TOTALS | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

7.6 It should be noted that in order to derive future targets, staff and pupils surveys will be undertaken at the start of the 2017 / 2018 academic year, the results of which will form the baseline modal split for future assessment. Any amendments to targets will be subject to agreement with the Harrow Council travel planning team.
7.7 Should targets not be met at years 3 and 5, financial sanctions will be imposed to fund additional measures to support the travel plan and increases the school's sustainable mode share.

## 8. MONITORING AND REVIEW

## Monitoring

8.1 AHFS is committed to the regular monitoring and review of the Travel Plan as a means of ensuring that it meets the aims, objectives and targets set within the Plan. An annual programme of monitoring and review will be put in place to generate information by which the success of the Travel Plan will be evaluated.
8.2 Monitoring and review will be the responsibility of the TPC. It is expected that the TPWG will be able to assist with the process. All monitoring will be compliant with TfL's ATTrBuTE guidance and TfL's STARS school travel plan assessment criteria.
8.3 The most important part of the monitoring process will be the regular re-survey of students and staff on an annual basis. The main purpose of the surveys will be to identify modal split and monitor staff and student travel patterns. The results of these surveys will be analysed by the TPC and Harrow Council School Travel Plan Officer and will form a key element of the monitoring process. The surveys will seek to understand why certain modes of transport are used and to identify any possible barriers to using sustainable modes of transport.
8.4 All members of the AHFS community will be encouraged to comment upon the success or otherwise of the Travel Plan and general travel issues throughout the academic year. Information gathered through the monitoring process will be recorded for input to the annual review process.
8.5 Monitoring the use of the cycle parking facilities will also take place at the same time as the surveys are undertaken. This will be an important action to ensure that the supply of cycle parking remains sufficient.
8.6 Following the travel survey that will take place in the Autumn term of the 2017/18 academic year, the Action Plan will be reviewed. The TPC will discuss the actions with the School Travel Advisor at Harrow Council to agree any changes prior to implementing them. Further surveys will then be undertaken annually in the Autumn term of the following two years so as to be able to compare the modal split at the same time of year on an annual basis.

## Review

8.7 The results of the monitoring process, and in particular the travel survey results, will be compiled into an updated Tfl STARS accredited Travel Plan and Monitoring Report. The Monitoring Report will contain the modal split as identified through travel surveys compared with the baseline modal split set out in this report. The results will show the progress of the Travel Plan towards meeting objectives and targets.
8.8 The results of the surveys and monitoring will be reported annually to the Harrow Council travel planning team to ensure targets are being met.

Minutes of the TPWG meetings will also be recorded for use within the review process. In addition, any feedback from Governor meetings specifically related to the Travel Plan will also be recorded and reported within the Monitoring Report.

If the Monitoring Report shows that targets are not being met at years 3 and 5, financial sanctions will be imposed to fund additional measures to support the travel plan and increases the school's sustainable mode share.

ANNEX 1

Criteria for STARS Accreditation for academic year 2014/15

| Bronze criteria - Silver criteria - Gold criteria |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| School Profile information | Hands up surveys and targets |
| School details | Hands up survey completed for pupils with at least an $90 \%$ respondent rate reason given if not achieved |
| DfE number |  |
| Type of school |  |
| Age range | Staff hands up surveys completed |
| Number of pupils including nursery | Modal shift away from the car must be achieved for silver level |
| Number of staff full time and part time |  |
| School opening and closing time | Mode shift away from car of at least 6\% is required for gold level or $90 \%$ must travel by non-car modes |
| Catchment area |  |
| Facilities |  |
| Engineering e.g. pedestrian guard railing, zebra crossing, traffic calming outside school | Targets set for a minimum of two modes |
| Working group members - Pupils are required for silver and gold level accreditation | Targets from last academic year achieved or exceeded for specific modes of travel for gold level |


| All Silver consultations required <br> All items in silver must be completed to achieve silver level - <br> consultations completed in the current or previous 3 academic <br> years are valid (2011/ 12-2014/15) | All Gold Consultations required <br> All items in gold must be completed to achieve gold <br> level -consultations completed in the current or <br> previous 3 academic years are valid (2011/ 12-2014/ 15) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The school has an STP working group (should <br> include student representatives) |  | Residents and neighbours are aware of <br> the schools plans to promote more safe <br> and active travel |  |
| Whole school community involvement: Evidence <br> of minutes of meetings with governors, staff, <br> management team and school council |  | The travel plan is an agenda item on at <br> least one governors/ senior <br> management meeting a year |  |
| Pupil involvement: evidence of pupils work <br> relating to the plan (e.g. updating plan, run travel <br> initiatives, survey analysis, posters, monitoring of <br> WoW) |  | Safe and active travel is part of the <br> School Improvement Plan/ School <br> Development Plan. |  |
| The school has carried out in depth <br> research/alternative consultation methods (e.g. <br> walking/cycling audits with pupils, mapping <br> exercises) |  |  |  |
| Conducted consultation with parents: <br> Evidence of questionnaires, survey results |  |  |  |

Validity of initiatives - Completed initiatives carried out in the current and previous academic year (2013/14-2014/15) count towards bronze level, the current and previous 2 academic years (2012/132014/15) for silver level and the current and 3 academic years (2011/12 - 2014/15) for gold level.

## Bronze award

You need to complete 10 different travel initiatives from Walking, Scooting, Cycling, Road Safety, Smarter Driving and Public Transport. No evidence required, but recommended.
Silver award
You need to complete 20 different travel initiatives from Walking, Scooting, Cycling, Road Safety, Smarter Driving and Public Transport. Evidence needs to be provided for each item; this can be a photograph, minutes, a letter or a poster etc to show the initiative was completed.
Gold standard
You need to complete 25 different travel initiatives from Walking, Scooting, Cycling, Road Safety, Smarter Driving and Public Transport. Evidence needs to be provided for each item.
You must also demonstrate an outstanding level of participation in, walking, cycling, road safety, smarter driving, public transport or a pupil led project, which needs to be entered into the STARS tab.
If your school is unable to meet specific criteria, contact your borough officer for discussion and state the reason in the comments box on the online system 'sign off page'.

| WoW (Walk on Wednesdays), Step Up or Free your Feet | TfL New Families toolkit (walking and cycling - primary schools) | School promotes car sharing/has a car pool scheme |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walk to school week (WTSW) | TfL New Pupils toolkit (walking and cycling secondary schools) | Car free days |
| October Walk to school month (WTSM) | TfL Bikers Breakfast Toolkit | Park and walk/car free zone in place |
| Other walking events/competitions | TfL Cycle Club toolkit (primary) | Removal of car parking spaces |
| Other walking reward schemes | Cycle parking/cycle pod installed | Lobbied for speed reduction |
| Walking bus in place | Cycling trips/holidays/ excursions | Highway code is promoted to students, staff and parents |
| Walking trips | Cycling lessons (PE) | TfL Zig Zig - Park and walk toolkit |
| TfL Big Walking Month Toolkit (Primary) | Cycling at break times (SEN) | School keep clear / zig zag enforcement |
| TfL Walking and Running Toolkit (Primary) | Pool bike/scooter system in place | Other smarter driving initiative -1 |
| Independent travel training | Cycling competitions/ schools cycle challenge | Other smarter driving initiative - 2 |
| Road Safety Talks | Other cycle reward schemes | Public transport used for school trips |
| Pedestrian skills training | Bike week | School promotes public transport |
| Junior Citizen weeks | Bikers breakfast | Use of transition resources (E.g. TfL Start your journey Zcard) |
| JRSO's / JTAs in place (KS1/2) | Bike maintenance sessions | TfL Safety and Citizenship have visited |
| YTAs in place (KS3) | Cycle club in place | School promotes responsible behaviour on public transport |
| Children's Traffic Club (Essential for nurseries) | Balance Bike training | Private coaches used for school trips, pick up and set down follow a strict code of conduct |
| Scooter storage installed | TfL Big Bikers Breakfast toolkit (secondary) | Additional information SEN, Nursery, PRU and Infant schools |
| Scooter training | TfL Cycle track toolkit | Personal Safety |
| TfL Scooter and bike pool toolkit (primary) | Other walking, cycling or road safety initiative-1 | Other public transport initiative - 1 |
| Cycle training for staff and/or parents | Other walking, cycling or road safety initiative - 2 | Other public transport initiative - 2 |
| Pupils cycle training (E.g. Bikeability) | Total for W,C\&RS | Total for PT \& SD |

## Supporting Activities

## Bronze level

You need to complete a minimum of 6 supporting activities from the following categories; at least 3 Curriculum activities, 2 Promotion activities, 1 Partnership or Funding activity. No evidence required but recommended.

| Silver level |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Curriculum activities, 4 Promotion activities, 2 activities from Partnerships and Funding. Evidence needs to be provided for each activity. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Gold level |  |  |
| You need to complete at least 15 supporting activities from the following Categories from Promotion, Curriculum and Partnerships and Funding. <br> Evidence needs to be provided for each activity. <br> Please note: If your school is unable to meet specific criteria, contact your borough officer for discussion and state the reason in the comments box on the sign off page (STARS website) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Promotion | Curriculum |  |
| Newsletter | School takes part in competitions (E.g. WoW badge design) |  |
| Notice Board | Cycle curriculum resource (KS2 or KS3) |  |
| Competitions | Curriculum Focus on the Environment i.e. cleaner air, carbon reduction (KS1 \& 2) |  |
| Councillor/MP/Mayor invited to an event | School teaches the health benefits of safe and active travel in PSHE, Science, or PE. |  |
| Presenting to/sharing ideas with other schools | School teaches the environmental benefits of active travel in PSHE, Geography etc |  |
| Information on the website | Theatre in Education |  |
| Assembly | A-Z traffic tales (KS1) |  |
| Within the Prospectus | Just a journey (KS2) |  |
| Letter from Head Teacher to Parents | Life's journey (KS3) |  |
| Info sent to residents | In a flash (Post 16) |  |
| Local media | Learning zone (KS3+4) |  |
| Distributing cycling and public transport maps | For SEN schools sustainability, active travel and road safety education is included in the curriculum |  |
| Parents' evenings/Induction evenings | Other curriculum work |  |
| Parent coffee mornings | Mapping exercises - route planning |  |
| Other promotion method | Pupil journey planning |  |
| Total Promotion | Total Curriculum |  |
| Funding | Partnerships |  |
| School needs to show funding has been identified and obtained other sources of funding aside from that available from Transport for London and the local authority -provide information and evidence | Attendance at TfL/ borough school travel workshop |  |
|  | Police/Safer Neighbourhood Team |  |
|  | Local councillors/Mayor/MPs |  |
|  | School is working towards or has achieved Eco School status |  |
|  | Buddy schools - running joint initiatives with schools and advising on school travel activities |  |
| Information and how much |  |  |
|  | School works with local charities/NGOs to promote safe and sustainable travel |  |
|  | School working towards or has achieved healthy schools status |  |
|  | Other Partnership |  |
|  | Total Partnerships |  |
| Additional information to justify why criteria not met or why should be a Silver or Gold STARS Accredited |  |  |

ANNEX 2


Avanti House Free School, Whitchurch Playing Fields


## School Travel Plan

[To be Completed on Occupation]


## 1. Introduction

| Description of the School | Avanti House School |
| :--- | :--- |
| School Name: | Wemborough Road, Stanmore, HA7 2EQ |
| School Address: | TBC |
| Travel Plan Coordinator: | 020 8249 6830 |
| Telephone Number: | avantihouse@avanti.org.uk |
| Email Address: | http://www.avantihouse.org.uk |
| Website Address: | $310 / 4000$ |
| DcSF Number: | Secondary |
| Type of School: | North of Wemborough Road, west of Marsh Lane and east <br> of Abercorn Road |
| Location of the school: | Single pedestrian and vehicular access point from the |
| public car park to the south of the site (accessed from |  |
| Wemborough Road) |  |
| Pedestrian \& school entrances: |  |

MILESTONE

## Description of the School

## School Map:



## Catchment:



MILESTONE

| Facilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Description | Numbers |
| Car Park | No. staff parking spaces | 69 [Shared staff and visitor] |
|  | No. visitor spaces | 69 [Shared staff and visitor] |
|  | No. disabled spaces | 6 |
| Cycle Storage | Received free Cycle Storage (Mayor's Scheme): |  |
|  | Covered Sheffield Stands: | 173 [long stay] |
|  | Sheffield Stands: | 12 [short stay] |
|  | Cycle Racks: |  |
|  | Cycle Pod / Mini Pod: |  |
|  | Other Cycle Spaces: |  |
|  | Scooter Parking Available: |  |
| If storage is available, how secure is it? |  |  |
| Storage Lockers: | No. staff storage lockers: |  |
|  | No. Pupil storage lockers: |  |
| Shower Facilities | Are staff shower facilities available? |  |
|  | Are pupil show facilities available? |  |

MILESTONE

School opening and closing times:

|  | Start Time: | Finish Time: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School Site: | $07: 00$ | $17: 30$ |
| Pupils official school time | $07: 45(\mathrm{KS4}), 08: 15$ <br> $09: 45(\mathrm{KS} 3)$ | $15: 45(\mathrm{KS3} / 4), 17: 30(\mathrm{KS5})$ |
| Breakfast club (if applicable) | $07: 00$ | $08: 00$ |
| Afterschool club (if applicable) | $15: 45$ | $16: 45$ |


| Transportation Links | BusesThe nearest bus stops to the application site are located on Wemborough <br> Road, the closest being 250 m west of the main pedestrian entrance to the <br> school. The bus stops further west are provided with bus shelters, seating, <br> timetable information, with the exception of Stop BL which is not provided <br> with sheltering. These stops are served by route 186. |
| :--- | :--- |
| To the east of the site, services 79,186 and 340 stop regularly along <br> Whitchurch Lane and benefit from shelters, seating and timetable <br> information. Route 79,186 and 340 operate services approximately every <br> 12 minutes during weekday daytime hours. <br> A bus stop for Route N98 is located 480 m south of the site on Honeypot <br> Lane and is provided with a bus shelter, seating and timetable information. <br> Route N98 provides approximately 4 buses per hour during weekday <br> daytime hours. <br> Abercorn Road, west of the school, links bus service 324 which stops <br> approximately 420 metres from the school entrance. The service runs <br> between Stanmore Station and Brent Cross via Kingsbury and provides <br> approximately 3 buses per hour during weekday daytime hours. |  |
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## Transportation Links

| Trains / Tubes | The nearest train / LU station to the proposed school is Canons Park, <br> approximately 600 metres ( 10 minute walk-time) to the east. Canons Park <br> is operated by London Underground on the Jubilee Line located between <br> Stanmore to the north and Queensbury to the south. A service is provided <br> every 5 minutes and bus routes 79,186 and 340 stop outside then station. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Roads |  |
| Edgware Station (London Underground) is the northern terminus on the <br> Northern Line, approximately 2.4 km from the proposed site and is served <br> by bus routes 79, 186 and 340. |  |
| Wemborough Road is provided with lit footways on both sides of the |  |
| carriageway and approximately 10 metres from the main site entrance is a |  |
| pelican crossing across Wemborough Road. Existing school signage and |  |
| carriageway markings are present altering drivers to the fact that children |  |
| will be crossing the road. |  |
| Pedestrian infrastructure within the vicinity of the site is of a good |  |
| Wemborough Road benefits from dedicated on-road cycle lanes as does |  |
| Marsh Lane. Whitchurch Lane benefits from on-road cycle markings (diag. |  |
| 1057) alerting drivers to the presence of cyclists. A dedicated cycle lane is |  |
| present along the eastbound side of the carriageway approximately 160 |  |
| metres from the signalised junction. |  |
| desire lines and the local footway network provided with lit footways. |  |
| Abercorn Road to the west of the site benefits from three pedestrian |  |
| crossing points along the length of the road. |  |
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Pupils and Staff Numbers

| Pupils roll: | 1,260 (Max) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age range of pupils: | 11-18 |
| Number of pupils entitled to SEN transportation and how their needs are taken into account: |  |
| Full-time staff roll: |  |
| Part-time staff roll: |  |
| Support staff roll: |  |
| Use of school outside of school hours including extended school activities: |  |
| Other information about the pupils who attend our school: |  |
| Other information about the people who work at our school: |  |
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## 2. Survey Results (Predicted)

TRICS Generated Travel Mode Predictions (Students \& Staff)


|  | Actual Mode of Travel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bus | School bus | Car | Car Share | Cycle | Park / Stride | Rail | Scoot | Walk | Other |
| 2015 \% <br> (TRICS) | 18\% | 15\% | 15\% |  | 1\% |  | 18\% |  | 33\% |  |
| $2017 \text { \% }$ <br> (Surveyed) | TO BE COMPLETED ON OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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3. Working Group \& Involvement [TO BE COMPLETED ON OCCUPATION]

Working Group

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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TRANSPORT PLANNING

## 4. Travel and Transport Issues [TO BE COMPLETED ON OCCUPATION]

Original Travel and Transportation Issues

| Details of the Issue/concern | Photo | Is this still an issue? | Please Explain: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

New Travel and Transportation Issues

## 5. Objectives and Targets

## Modal Shift

|  | Bus | School <br> bus | Car | Car <br> Share | Cycle | Park / <br> Stride | Rail | Scoot | Walk | Other |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 \%} \%$ <br> (Target) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 \%} \%$ <br> (Surveyed) | TO BE COMPLETED ON OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## New Objectives

S1. Committed to ensuring that everyone travelling to and from the school can do so as safely as possible - The school will encourage staff and students to make transport choices that demonstrate absolute regard for the need to minimise potential damage to the environment.

S2. To raise awareness of road safety and environmental issues relating to mode of travel choices.
AO1. Ensure that staff and students travel to and from the school by foot, bicycle and/or public transport and that they are offered assistance in identifying routes by which they can travel by these modes.

AO2. To maximise opportunities for the use of alternative modes of travel.
H1. Aim to provide a focus for a range of initiatives to encourage journeys to the school by sustainable modes of transport and to inform the targets that in turn will assist in identifying and evaluating its success or otherwise.

H2. To increase awareness of the health benefits of walking and cycling to the school
E1. To reduce congestion on surrounding roads thereby improving road safety and minimising the effects in terms of emissions.

## New Targets

## 6. Consultation and Collaboration

| Code | Activity | Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\hat{515}_{51}^{5}$ | The school has an STP working group (should include student representatives). |  |
| $\hat{S 1}_{52}$ | Conducted consultation with parents: Evidence of questionnaires, survey results. |  |
| $\hat{515}_{53}$ | Whole school community involvement: Evidence of minutes of meetings with governors, staff, management team and school council. |  |
| $\hat{5}_{54}$ | Pupil involvement: evidence of pupils work relating to the plan (e.g. updating plan, run travel initiatives, survey analysis, posters, monitoring of WoW). |  |
| $\hat{5 S 5}_{50}$ | The school has carried out in depth research/alternative consultation methods (e.g. walking/cycling audits with pupils, mapping exercises). |  |
| $\hat{H I N}_{\text {G1 }}$ | Residents and neighbours are aware of the schools plans to promote more safe and active travel. |  |
| $\hat{H}_{\mathrm{G} 2}$ | The travel plan is an agenda item on at least one governors/ senior management meeting a year. |  |
| $\stackrel{1}{4}_{\text {G3 }}$ | Safe and active travel is part of the School Improvement Plan/ School Development Plan. |  |

## 7. Initiatives - Action Plan

## Planned Initiatives

| Initiative | Details | Reporting | Evidence (where required) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walking |  |  |  |
| W1 Walk on Wednesday, Step Up or Free Your Feet | On occupation | Ensure participation in walking initiatives such as 'Walk on Wednesdays'. |  |
| W3 Walk to school week | On occupation | Publicise local pedestrian routes on school website and promote participation in 'Walk to School Week' in May every year. |  |
| W7 Walking Bus | On occupation | Set up walking bus to escort children to / from local home locations. |  |
| W8 Walking Trips | On occupation | Whenever possible students should walk to places of interest for trips. |  |
| W9 Independent <br> Travel training | On occupation | Inclusion Team to work closely with students to develop independent travel eg. through 1:1 bus trips or sessions about independent travel. |  |
| W10 Pedestrian skills training | On occupation | TPC to book in skills training with road safety officer. |  |
| W12 Travel skills training | On occupation | Travel skills training to be provided to students + arrange visits from TfL Safety and Citizenship team / Harrow Council Safer Transport Team. |  |
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| Initiative | Details | Reporting | Evidence (where required) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cycling |  |  |  |
| C4 Cycle training for pupils (E.g. <br> Bikeability) | On occupation | Provide cycle training through the Governmentsupported 'Bikeability' scheme <br> (www.dft.gov.uk/bikeability). Undertake cycle trips / excursions to build skills and confidence. |  |
| C7 Cycling lessons | On occupation | Provide cycling lessons as part of PE curriculum. |  |
| C18 Other cycle initiatives | On occupation | Provide lessons on cycle safety and maintenance. <br> Set up a 'cycle club' for all pupils cycling or proposing to cycle to school. |  |
| Smarter Driving |  |  |  |
| SD1 School promotes car sharing/has a car pool scheme | On occupation | Encourage car-sharing by setting up school database where parents can register to find other local people travelling to the school. |  |
| SD6 Highway Code education | On occupation | Promote highway code to students and staff through assesmblies. Remind parents of parking awareness during school drop-off / pick-up periods and through newsletters / blog. |  |
| SD7 Other Smarter Driving Initiatives | On occupation | Set up list of marshalls, rota and 'back up' marshalls for both AM and PM drop-off / pick-up periods on every school day - ensuring 4-5 marshalls are on-site to manage traffic flow. Marshalls should be provided with a strategy document for effective traffic management. |  |
| Public Transportation |  |  |  |
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| Initiative | Details | Reporting | Evidence <br> (where <br> required) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PT1 Public transport <br> used for school trips | On <br> occupation | Use public transport for school trips (risk <br> assessments to be completed). |  |
| PT2 School <br> promotes public <br> transport | On <br> occupation | Use school assemblies and parent newsletters to <br> encourage use of local TfL bus services. |  |
| PT3 Use of transition <br> resources | On <br> occupation | Continue to provide guidance on safe and active <br> travel within Year 7 student handbooks. |  |
| PT4 School invites <br> experts / <br> organisations to talk <br> about personal <br> safety | On <br> occupation | Invite representatives from TfL and Harrow <br> Council Safer Transport Team to attend and <br> deliver assemblies on safety and citizenship. |  |
|  <br> Citizenship invited <br> to talk to pupils | On <br> occupation | Invite TfL Safety and Citizenship team to deliver <br> assemblies to all school year groups. |  |
| PT5 School <br> promotes <br> responsible <br> behaviour on public <br> transport | On <br> Occupation <br> initiatives | Onsure students attend assemblies where TfL / <br> Harrow Council Safer Transport Team <br> representatives provide tips about behaviour and <br> personal safety. |  |
| PT7 Other public <br> transportation <br> initiatives | On <br> occupation | Provision of privately run school bus service with <br> strategic pick-up / drop-off points to offset car <br> trips. |  |
| additional bus services for pupils. |  |  |  |
| Maintain engagement with TfL on the delivery of |  |  |  |
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| Initiative | Details | Reporting | Evidence (where required) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Promotion |  |  |  |
| PR1 Newsletter | On occupation | Within newsletter and blogs provide updates on Travel Plan, survey results and new initiatives. |  |
| PR2 Notice Board | On occupation | Notice boards to be placed in a communal area near the entrance of the main building and in the staff room. Notice boards will display information related to the Travel Plan and sustainable transport. They will display details of existing facilities such as bus routes and the locations of the nearby underground and rail stations, in addition to cycle routes in the vicinity of the school. |  |
| PR2 Notice Board | On occupation | Students to prepare presentations board on sustainable travel and display at a central location within the school. |  |
| PR4 Assembly | On occupation | Arrange regular assemblies with guest speakers providing information on road safety / cycling / citizenship / public transport. |  |
| PR5 Parents / Induction evenings | On occupation | Provide Travel Plan information as part of evening events for parents / students. |  |
| PR6 Information on website | On occupation | Update school website to provide page on travel, including information on walk / cycle initiatives, public transport, and updates on the Travel Plan. |  |
| PR7 Councillor / MP / Mayor invited to an event | On occupation | Arrange for local MP to visit school. |  |
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| Initiative | Details | Reporting | Evidence (where required) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PR8 Within the Prospectus | On occupation | Update school prospectus to include statement on Travel Planning and expectation that wherever possible students should travel to school by sustainable modes. |  |
| PR14 Distributing cycling and public transport maps | On occupation | Publicise improvements to local cycle routes and public transport information via school website / newsletters. |  |
| Road Safety |  |  |  |
| R4 Other Road Safety Initiatives | On occupation | Stagger start / finish times by key stage to alleviate traffic impact. |  |
| R5 School crossing patrol | On occupation | Provide staff presence at key crossing locations around the school to promote safety of students, staff and visitors. |  |
| R6 Pedestrian skills training | On occupation | Arrange pedestrian skills training from road safety officer. |  |
| R7 Road safety talks | On occupation | Arrange senior leaders or TfL representatives to deliver assemblies on road safety and citizenship. |  |
| Curriculum |  |  |  |
| CU2 School teaches the health benefits of safe / active travel | On occupation | School to deliver assemblies on safe / active travel. |  |
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$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Initiative } & \text { Details } & \text { Reporting } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Evidence } \\ \text { (where } \\ \text { required) }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { CU3 School teaches } \\ \text { environmental } \\ \text { benefits of active } \\ \text { travel }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { On } \\ \text { occupation }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Use science curriculum to demonstrate need for } \\ \text { active transport and identify impact on } \\ \text { environment. }\end{array} & \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { CU14 Mapping } \\ \text { exercises - route } \\ \text { planning }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { On } \\ \text { occupation }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Use route and planning exercises as part of } \\ \text { geography work. }\end{array} & \\ \hline \text { Partnerships } & & \text { Invite Police / Harrow Council Safer Transport }\end{array}\right]$

## 8. Monitoring and Review

Our next hands up surveys be on:

Our Annual Progress review will be completed in:

The person responsible for ensuring that the annual review will be actioned is:

When reviewing our School travel Plan we will take into consideration any issues arising from new developments in education and transport since the original STP was completed with specific emphasis on the proposed school expansion.

## 9. Sign Off

School Name:
School travel plan champion:
Year of school travel plan document:

Approval of the school travel plan by the school travel plan champion confirms the schools management (including but not limited to the Head Teacher and Governors) have read, understood and agreed to the contents of this document. Avanti House School further acknowledges that they have committed to achieving all targets highlighted in their action plan and to the annual review and monitoring of the plan.

| School Travel Plan Champion* |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Head Teacher's Name*: |  |
| Chair of Governors Name*: |  |
| Pupil Representatives <br> (Optional): |  |
| Parent Governors (Optional): |  |
| Other Stakeholders involved <br> (Optional): <br> e.g. Police, bus operators etc. |  |

ANNEX 3

## PTAI Study Report File Summary

## PTAI Run Parameters

```
PTAI Run 20142305144724
Description 20142305144724
Run by user PTAL web application
Date and time 23/05/2014 14:47
```


## Walk File Parameters

|  | Walk File | PLSQLTest |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Day of Week | M-F |
| $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ | Time Period | AM Peak |
| $\underset{\text { \& }}{ }$ | Walk Speed | 4.8 kph |
|  | BUS Walk Access Time (mins) | 8 |
| BUS Reliability Factor | 2.0 |  |
| LU LRT Walk Access Time (mins) | 12 |  |
| LU LRT Reliability Factor | 0.75 |  |
| NATIONAL_RAIL Walk Access Time (mins) 12 |  |  |
| NATIONAL_RAIL Reliability Factor | 0.75 |  |

Coordinates: 517579, 191147

| Mode | Stop |  | Route | Distance <br> (metres) | Frequency <br> $(\mathbf{v p h})$ | Weight | Walk <br> time <br> $(\mathbf{m i n s})$ | SWT <br> $(\mathbf{m i n s})$ | TAT <br> $(\mathbf{m i n s})$ | EDF AI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| BUS | WHITCHURCH LANE MARSH LN | 79 | 263.33 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 3.29 | 8.0 | 11.29 | 2.662 .66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUS | WHITCHURCH LANE MARSH LN | 340 | 263.33 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 3.29 | 8.0 | 11.29 | 2.661 .33 |
| BUS | ABERCORN ROAD | 324 | 421.63 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 5.27 | 12.0 | 17.27 | 1.740 .87 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LU } \\ & \text { LRT } \end{aligned}$ | Canons Park | Jubilee Line Stanmore to Stratford | 639.9 | 17.8 | 1.0 | 8.0 | 2.44 | 10.43 | 2.882 .88 |
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# Avanti House Free School, Whitchurch Playing Fields <br> Planning Committee Members Highways and Transport Briefing Note <br> March 2016 

## Introduction

This note considers the Highways and Transport comments raised by Harrow Council Planning Committee Members at Planning Committee on 17 February 2016. The queries relate to the Avanti House Free School proposal, Whitchurch Playing Fields (Harrow Council planning ref: P/4910/15).

At Planning Committee, members were minded to grant planning permission subject to referral to the Greater London Authority (GLA), conditions, and completion of a Section 106 obligation to be brought to separate committee by July 2016. The remainder of this notes seek to clarify and address member's highways and transport comments.

## Member's Highways and Transport Comments

## Wemborough Road / Abercorn Road / St Andrews Drive Roundabout

Comments were made in relation to the existing and proposed performance of the above roundabout junction to the west of the application site. Cllr Kendler in particular raised concerns over peak hour queuing and wished to see additional mitigation measures provided at this junction.

It is worth noting that the junction capacity modelling undertaken reflects average maximum queuing over the AM and PM peak hourly periods, and it is accepted that over an hourly period there will be inevitable peaks and troughs in traffic flow and queuing.

The analysis undertaken within the Transport Assessment submission took a robust approach towards junction capacity testing, by superimposing all Avanti House School morning / afternoon car trips onto the network peak hour periods. In reality, due to proposals to stagger school start / finish times this would not be the case - by example, in the AM only around $40 \%$ of Avanti trips would take place between 0745-0845 when local roads are at their busiest.

Whilst accepted that the roundabout junction does operate close to capacity and with notable queuing (in particular on the Wemborough Road (E) and Abercorn Road approaches), investigation has been undertaken into physical mitigation measures such as conversion to a signalised junction.

Table 1 below compares predicted queuing at the junction with Avanti House School traffic under both roundabout and signalised layout conditions. The signal arrangement tested uses optimised signal timings and makes no allowance for controlled pedestrians crossing facilities.

Table 1 Wemborough Road / Abercorn Road / St Andrews Drive Roundabout / Signals Queue Comparison

| Approach Arm | AM Peak Ave. Queue |  | PM Peak Ave. Queue |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Roundabout | Signals | Roundabout | Signals |
| Wemborough Road (E) | 6.8 | 14.2 | 21.3 | 16.1 |
| St Andrews Drive | 2.5 | 8.5 | 3.6 | 7.0 |
| Wemborough Road (W) | 3.1 | 7.8 | 3.0 | 6.4 |
| Abercorn Road | 10.9 | 14.0 | 3.6 | 12.5 |
| TOTAL: | $\mathbf{2 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 0}$ |

The results indicate that under signalised conditions, although there would be a slight improvement in queuing on the Wemborough Road (E) approach in the PM peak all remaining approach arms would experience significant queue increases across both peak periods. This reflects the traffic flow characteristics at this location where high volumes of right-turning traffic enter from each approach.

It should also be taken into account that the junction arrangement as existing has no discernible record of personal injury accidents, and it would be beneficial to retain such a record given the vicinity to local schools.

On the basis of the above and in consultation with Harrow Council Highway Officers it has been concluded that retaining the existing roundabout arrangement represents the best option to maximise junction capacity and safety at this location.

## Avanti House Minibuses

Planning Committee Members also queried whether Avanti House could increase the number of school-operated minibuses to minimise the number of pupils being brought to school by car. For clarification, it is proposed that the school minibus service will accommodate c. 50 pupils and will run 3 services in the AM and PM to reflect the staggered school start / finish times.

A route and strategic pick-up / drop-off points have been identified within the submitted School Travel Plan confirming that this operation would be feasible. The school minibus service would in total transport c. 150 pupils to and from the school.

## Public Bus Services

Through consultation with the GLA and Transport for London (TfL) it has been identified that the route 186 bus, which runs along Wemborough Road, experiences capacity concerns at peak times. TfL have confirmed that Mayoral funds are available to mitigate the public transport impacts of free school developments, and they will contribute $£ 75,000$ to operate an additional AM and PM peak service on route 186 (exact timings to be confirmed - for confirmation see appended email). The bus will be double-deck and accommodate 87 seated passengers (with additional standing capacity).

## Coordinated Marshalling and Travel Planning Strategy with Whitchurch Schools

Owing to the staggered start / finish times of Avanti House, it is not envisaged that there would be simultaneous drop-off / pick-up activity with Whitchurch Schools. It is however noted that a consistent and coordinated approach should be taken by both schools when managing traffic through the public car park area.

It is therefore suggested and will be written into the Avanti House School Travel Plan that regular termly meetings should be undertaken between Avanti, Whitchurch and Stanburn Schools to ensure that any travel issues between the schools are raised and addressed, and that coordinated approaches are taken to traffic marshalling with car sharing between siblings at separate schools encouraged.

Committee members also questioned whether additional controls could be implemented within the Harrow Council public car park, in particular with regard to use by Avanti House School Sixth Form students. Whilst this is not a matter that can be addressed through the planning mechanism, Harrow Council Highways will in consultation with their car parking and property services departments, investigate the option of introducing controls that would discourage long-term parking. This is with a view to maximising space available for pupil drop-off / pick-up for both Avanti and Whitchurch Schools.

## Avanti House School Travel Plan

The Avanti House School Travel Plan as submitted includes details on the routing and operation of the school minibus service but will be updated to reflect proposals for the additional TfL 186 bus services and further emphasise the importance of coordinated Travel Planning between Avanti, Whitchurch and Stanburn Schools.

Whilst noted that the targeted 'Car Occupants' modal split of 9\% is ambitious, this is reflective of the above measures and the track-record of Avanti House in their occupation at Common Road and Beaulieu Drive. It is therefore considered that, subject to baseline travel surveys, this target is maintained, monitored and enforced through the TfL STARS Travel Planning mechanism and the school's Section 106 agreement.

## Summary

In light of the above measures and additional detail provided it is considered that the impact of the Avanti House School proposals has been duly considered with suitable and robust mitigation measures developed to ensure the school has a minimal impact on the operation of the local highway and transport networks.

Appendix 1 - Email Confirmation of TfL Funding for Increased Service on Route 186

| From: | Pak-Lim Wong [PakLim.Wong@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:PakLim.Wong@tfl.gov.uk) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursdav. March 3, 2016 3:52 PM |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | RE: Avanti House School - TfL Buses |

## Hi

Following our conversation earlier in the week, based on the trip estimated in the transport assessment for school; TfL is currently envisaged that an addition return bus journey, likely to be the 186 would be required to mitigate increase demand to bus service following the occupation of the school. As per previous advice, TfL not seeking a financial contribution from this school having understood this is a Free School.

The cost for the additional service, estimated at approx. $£ 75 \mathrm{~K}$ per year will be funded by the lump sum granted by the Government to TfL toward bus service improvements for Free Schools.

I hope this is of helpful.

Kind regards

## PakLim Wong

Planning Officer
Borough Planning, Transport for London
10th Floor, Windsor House, 50 Victoria Street, London SW1H OTL
Tel: (020) 30541779 | Auto: 81779 |
Email: paklim.wong@tfl.gov.uk
For more information regarding the TfL Borough Planning team, including TfL's Transport assessment best practice guidance and pre-application advice please visit
http://www.tfl.gov.uk/info-for/urban-planning-and-construction/planning-applications?intcmp=3484


[^0]:    For further information, contact: GLA Planning Unit (Development \& Projects Team):
    Colin Wilson, Senior Manager - Planning Decisions
    02079834271 email: colin.wilson@london.gov.uk
    Samantha Wells, Principal Strategic Planner
    02079834266 email: samantha.wells@london.gov.uk
    Tefera Tibebe, Case Officer
    02079834312 email: tefera.tibebe@london.gov.uk
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